

(NON)EXOTIC COMPLETIONS OF THE GROUP ALGEBRAS OF ISOTROPY GROUPS

JOHANNES CHRISTENSEN¹ AND SERGEY NESHVEYEV²

ABSTRACT. Motivated by the problem of characterizing KMS states on the reduced C^* -algebras of étale groupoids, we show that the reduced norm on these algebras induces a C^* -norm on the group algebras of the isotropy groups. This C^* -norm coincides with the reduced norm for the transformation groupoids, but, as follows from examples of Higson–Lafforgue–Skandalis, it can be exotic already for groupoids of germs associated with group actions. We show that the norm is still the reduced one for some classes of graded groupoids, in particular, for the groupoids associated with partial actions of groups and the semidirect products of exact groups and groupoids with amenable isotropy groups.

INTRODUCTION

Over the last fifty years there has been a significant interest in describing KMS states for different C^* -dynamical systems. By now there are several strategies how to approach this problem in concrete examples. One such strategy, which has proven to be extremely useful, is to represent a given system as a C^* -algebra of a locally compact étale groupoid \mathcal{G} with the time evolution defined by a continuous real-valued 1-cocycle c on the groupoid. By a result of Renault [Ren80], if the groupoid is Hausdorff and principal, then all KMS_β states on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ arise by integration with respect to quasi-invariant probability measures μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ with Radon-Nikodym cocycle $e^{-\beta c}$, and they all factor through the reduced groupoid C^* -algebra $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$. The situation for non-principal groupoids is more complicated. As was shown by the second author [Nes13], in this case the KMS states are classified by pairs $(\mu, \{\varphi_x\}_x)$ consisting of a quasi-invariant measure μ and a measurable field of tracial states φ_x on the C^* -algebras $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ of the isotropy groups satisfying certain conditions.

The present paper is motivated by the natural question of how to describe the KMS states on the reduced groupoid C^* -algebras $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$. In other words, the question is under which conditions on $(\mu, \{\varphi_x\}_x)$ the corresponding KMS state on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ factors through $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$. A sufficient condition, which follows immediately from the construction, is that μ -almost all traces φ_x factor through $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$. But this condition is not in general necessary, since by an example of Willett [Wil15] based on the HLS groupoids [HLS02] there exist groupoids \mathcal{G} such that $C^*(\mathcal{G}) = C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$, yet \mathcal{G} has a nonamenable isotropy group; see the related Example 3.2 below. In order to obtain a necessary and sufficient condition we introduce a new, in general exotic, C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|_e$ on the group algebras of the isotropy groups. Denoting by $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ the corresponding completions, we prove then that the state defined by $(\mu, \{\varphi_x\}_x)$ factors through $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ if and only if μ -almost all traces φ_x factor through $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$, see Proposition 3.1.

Although our initial motivation was to characterize KMS states on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$, in the end the main focus of the paper is the norm $\|\cdot\|_e$ itself. In particular, we provide several sufficient conditions on the groupoid that ensure that this norm is equal to the reduced norm. It is easy to show that this

Date: December 21, 2020; minor changes April 13, 2021.

¹KU Leuven, Department of Mathematics (Belgium). E-mail: johannes.christensen@kuleuven.be.

²University of Oslo, Mathematics institute (Norway). E-mail: sergeyn@math.uio.no.

J.C. is supported by a DFF-International Postdoctoral Grant and partially by the DFF project no. 7014-00145B. S.N. is partially supported by the NFR funded project 300837 “Quantum Symmetry”.

is always the case for the transformation groupoids, see Proposition 2.10. We show that this is also often the case for graded groupoids, see Theorems 4.5 and 4.11 for the precise statements.

The paper consists of four sections. In Section 1 we recall basic properties of locally compact étale groupoids and their associated C^* -algebras and we prove a few auxiliary results. In Section 2 we define the C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|_e$ on the group algebras $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$ of the isotropy groups of a locally compact étale groupoid \mathcal{G} . We prove that the norm $\|\cdot\|_e$ agrees with the reduced norm for transformation groupoids and, using [HLS02, Wil15], we provide an example of a groupoid of germs where it is a genuine exotic norm. In Section 3 we prove that this norm completely governs which states on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ with $C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ in their centralizers factor through $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$, and we give a partial extension of this result to weights. This covers the KMS states on the reduced groupoid C^* -algebras for the time evolutions defined by continuous real-valued 1-cocycles. In Section 4 we give two sufficient conditions for the exotic norm to agree with the reduced norm. A common assumption in both cases is the existence of a grading of the groupoid, so to illustrate the relevance of our results, we begin Section 4 by discussing several examples of graded groupoids. We end the paper by showing that the property $\|\cdot\|_e = \|\cdot\|_r$ is invariant under Morita equivalence.

As a last remark, let us comment on our assumptions on the groupoids. Since the groupoids of germs and the groupoids associated with semigroups are increasingly popular and can easily be non-Hausdorff, we work with not necessarily Hausdorff locally compact étale groupoids throughout the paper, see Section 1 for the precise setup. This requires some extra care at a few places, but no fundamental changes compared to the Hausdorff case. Apart from Section 3, we do not assume that our groupoids are second countable either.

Acknowledgement: We thank the referee for carefully reading the manuscript and suggesting to look at Morita equivalent groupoids.

1. PRELIMINARIES

For a groupoid \mathcal{G} , we denote by $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{G}$ its unit space and by $r, s: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ the range map and the source map, respectively, so that $r(g) = gg^{-1}$ and $s(g) = g^{-1}g$. For $x, y \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, we set $\mathcal{G}_x := s^{-1}(x)$, $\mathcal{G}^x := r^{-1}(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}_x^y := \mathcal{G}^y \cap \mathcal{G}_x$. In particular, \mathcal{G}_x^x denotes the isotropy group at x .

We will be working with locally compact, but not necessarily Hausdorff, étale groupoids, by which we mean groupoids \mathcal{G} endowed with a topology such that:

- the groupoid operations are continuous;
- the unit space $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is a locally compact Hausdorff space in the relative topology;
- the map r is a local homeomorphism.

These assumptions imply that the map s is a local homeomorphism as well, the sets \mathcal{G}^x and \mathcal{G}_x are discrete (in particular, Hausdorff), and every point of \mathcal{G} has a compact Hausdorff neighbourhood. It is known and not difficult to see that they also imply that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is open in \mathcal{G} .

If $W \subset \mathcal{G}$ is open and $r|_W: W \rightarrow r(W)$ and $s|_W: W \rightarrow s(W)$ are homeomorphisms onto the open sets $r(W)$ and $s(W)$, then W is called an (open) bisection. Given two subsets U and V of \mathcal{G} , we denote by UV the set of pairwise products. If U and V are bisections, then so is UV .

Recall how to construct the full and reduced groupoid C^* -algebras of \mathcal{G} . For an open Hausdorff subset $U \subset \mathcal{G}$, consider the space $C_c(U)$ of continuous compactly supported functions on U . We can consider every $f \in C_c(U)$ as a function on \mathcal{G} by continuing f by zero. Define the function space $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ as the sum of the spaces $C_c(U)$ for all U . Note that the functions in $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ are not necessarily continuous. Later we will need the following simple lemma, proved using a partition of unity argument.

Lemma 1.1 ([KS02, Lemma 1.3]). *If $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ is a covering of \mathcal{G} by open Hausdorff sets, then $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ is the sum of the spaces $C_c(U_i)$, $i \in I$.*

We make $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ into a $*$ -algebra by defining the convolution product $f_1 * f_2$ of two functions $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ via the formula

$$(f_1 * f_2)(g) := \sum_{h \in \mathcal{G}^r(g)} f_1(h) f_2(h^{-1}g) \quad \text{for } g \in \mathcal{G},$$

and the involution by $f^*(g) := \overline{f(g^{-1})}$. We define a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ by setting

$$\|f\| := \sup_{\rho} \|\rho(f)\|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all representations of $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ by bounded operators on Hilbert spaces. Completing $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ with this norm we obtain the *full groupoid C^* -algebra* $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ of \mathcal{G} .

To introduce the reduced norm on $C_c(\mathcal{G})$, for every point $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ define a representation $\rho_x: C_c(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\mathcal{G}_x))$ by

$$\rho_x(f)\delta_g := \sum_{h \in \mathcal{G}_r(g)} f(h)\delta_{hg}, \quad (1.1)$$

where δ_g is the Dirac delta-function. The reduced norm $\|\cdot\|_r$ on $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_r := \sup_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \|\rho_x(f)\|,$$

and the *reduced groupoid C^* -algebra* $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ is then the completion of $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ with respect to this norm. The identity map $C_c(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_c(\mathcal{G})$ extends to a surjective $*$ -homomorphism $C^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$.

For all $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$, we have the inequalities $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_r \leq \|f\|$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the supremum-norm. If $f \in C_c(U)$ for a bisection U , then

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_r = \|f\|_\infty. \quad (1.2)$$

It follows from this and the definition of the product (1) that the space $C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ with its usual C^* -algebra structure is embedded into $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ and $C^*(\mathcal{G})$.

Next, recall the definition of induced representations. Take $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and consider the full group C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ of the isotropy group \mathcal{G}_x^x . Denote by $u_g, g \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$, the canonical unitary generators of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$. Assume that $\rho: C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a representation. Let L be the space of functions $\xi: \mathcal{G}_x \rightarrow H$ satisfying

$$\xi(gh) = \rho(u_h^*)\xi(g)$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{G}_x$ and $h \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and such that

$$\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}_x / \mathcal{G}_x^x} \|\xi(g)\|^2 < \infty.$$

We define a representation $\text{Ind } \rho: C^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B(L)$ by

$$((\text{Ind } \rho)(f)\xi)(g) := \sum_{h \in \mathcal{G}^r(g)} f(h)\xi(h^{-1}g) \quad \text{for } f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}).$$

If $\rho = \lambda_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}$, the left regular representation of \mathcal{G}_x^x , then $\text{Ind } \lambda_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}$ is unitarily equivalent to the representation $\rho_x: C^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\mathcal{G}_x))$ defined by (1.1). Explicitly, in this case we have $L = \ell^2(\mathcal{G}_x \times_{\mathcal{G}_x^x} \mathcal{G}_x^x)$, where $\mathcal{G}_x \times_{\mathcal{G}_x^x} \mathcal{G}_x^x$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{G}_x \times \mathcal{G}_x^x$ by the equivalence relation $(gh', h) \sim (g, h'h)$ ($g \in \mathcal{G}_x, h, h' \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$), and the canonical bijection $\mathcal{G}_x \times_{\mathcal{G}_x^x} \mathcal{G}_x^x \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_x, (g, h) \mapsto gh$, gives rise to a unitary intertwiner between $\text{Ind } \lambda_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}$ and ρ_x .

For a general representation ρ of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$, we have a coisometric map

$$v: L \rightarrow H, \quad v\xi = \xi(x),$$

with the adjoint given by

$$(v^*\zeta)(g) = \begin{cases} \rho(u_g)^*\zeta, & \text{if } g \in \mathcal{G}_x^x, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Denote by η_x the restriction map $C_c(\mathcal{G}) \ni f \mapsto f|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x} \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$. We then have

$$v(\text{Ind } \rho)(f)v^* = \rho(\eta_x(f)) \quad (1.4)$$

for all $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$. Taking a faithful representation and then the regular representation of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ as ρ , we get the following result.

Lemma 1.2. *The restriction map $\eta_x: C_c(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ extends to a completely positive contraction*

$$\vartheta_x: C^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x),$$

as well as to a completely positive contraction $\vartheta_{x,r}: C_r^(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$.*

The states $\varphi \circ \vartheta_x$ are of particular interest to us. Their GNS-representations are described as follows.

Lemma 1.3. *Assume φ is a state on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ and $(H_\varphi, \pi_\varphi, \xi_\varphi)$ is the associated GNS-triple. Consider the induced representation $\text{Ind } \pi_\varphi: C^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B(L)$. Then $(L, \text{Ind } \pi_\varphi, v^*\xi_\varphi)$ is a GNS-triple associated with $\varphi \circ \vartheta_x$, where v^* is the isometry (1.3).*

Proof. Identity (1.4) for $\rho = \pi_\varphi$ implies that

$$\varphi \circ \vartheta_x = ((\text{Ind } \pi_\varphi)(\cdot)v^*\xi_\varphi, v^*\xi_\varphi).$$

Therefore we only need to check that the vector $v^*\xi_\varphi$ is cyclic.

It suffices to show that if $\xi \in L$ is nonzero, then there exists $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ such that

$$(\xi, (\text{Ind } \pi_\varphi)(f)v^*\xi_\varphi) \neq 0.$$

Let $g \in \mathcal{G}_x$ be such that $\xi(g) \neq 0$. There exists $h \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ such that $(\xi(g), \pi_\varphi(u_h)\xi_\varphi) \neq 0$. Choose a bisection U containing gh and pick $f \in C_c(U)$ with $f(gh) = 1$. Since $U \cap \mathcal{G}_x = \{gh\}$ we have $f(gh) = 1$ and $f = 0$ on $\mathcal{G}_x \setminus \{gh\}$. Then

$$((\text{Ind } \pi_\varphi)(f)v^*\xi_\varphi)(g) = \sum_{g' \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} f(gg')(v^*\xi_\varphi)(g'^{-1}) = f(gh)(v^*\xi_\varphi)(h^{-1}) = \pi_\varphi(u_h)\xi_\varphi,$$

and similarly $(\text{Ind } \pi_\varphi)(f)v^*\xi_\varphi = 0$ on $\mathcal{G}_x \setminus g\mathcal{G}_x^x$. It follows that

$$(\xi, (\text{Ind } \pi_\varphi)(f)v^*\xi_\varphi) = (\xi(g), \pi_\varphi(u_h)\xi_\varphi) \neq 0,$$

as needed. □

The maps ϑ_x and $\vartheta_{x,r}$ have large multiplicative domains. Specifically, we have the following elementary result, which we will use repeatedly throughout the paper.

Lemma 1.4. *Assume that g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n are distinct points in \mathcal{G}_x^x and $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a family of bisections with $g_i \in U_i$ for each i . Assume $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ is zero outside $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$. Then f lies in the multiplicative domains of ϑ_x and $\vartheta_{x,r}$.*

Proof. Take $f' \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$. For $g \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$, we have that

$$(f * f')(g) = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} f(h)f'(h^{-1}g).$$

If $h \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $f(h) \neq 0$, we have $h \in U_j$ for some j . Since $g_j \in U_j$ and $r(g_j) = x$ we conclude that $h = g_j \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$. This implies that

$$(f * f')(g) = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} f(h)f'(h^{-1}g) = (\eta_x(f) * \eta_x(f'))(g),$$

hence $\eta_x(f * f') = \eta_x(f) * \eta_x(f')$. In a similar way we check that $\eta_x(f' * f) = \eta_x(f') * \eta_x(f)$. \square

2. A POSSIBLY EXOTIC NORM ON THE GROUP ALGEBRAS OF ISOTROPY GROUPS

Assume that we are given a locally compact étale groupoid \mathcal{G} and a point $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. We define a C^* -norm on the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$ as follows.

Definition 2.1. For $h \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$, let

$$\|h\|_e := \sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{S}_x} \|\rho(h)\|, \quad (2.1)$$

where \mathcal{S}_x is the collection of representations ρ of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ such that $\text{Ind } \rho$ factors through $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$.

Since \mathcal{S}_x contains the regular representation $\lambda_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}$, this is indeed a C^* -norm and $\|\cdot\|_e \geq \|\cdot\|_r$. Denote by $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ the completion of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$ with respect to this norm.

Proposition 2.2. *For any representation ρ of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$, the representation $\text{Ind } \rho$ of $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ factors through $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ if and only if ρ factors through $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$.*

Proof. If $\text{Ind } \rho$ factors through $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$, then by definition $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_x$ and hence ρ factors through $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$. Conversely, assume ρ factors through $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$. Since the collection \mathcal{S}_x is closed under direct sums, we can find $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_x$ such that $\|h\|_e = \|\pi(h)\|$ for all $h \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$. Then ρ is weakly contained in π . Since weak containment is preserved under induction, we conclude that $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_x$. \square

The norm $\|\cdot\|_e$ can also be defined in terms of states as follows.

Proposition 2.3. *A state φ on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ factors through $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ if and only if the state $\varphi \circ \vartheta_x$ on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ factors through $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$. Hence, for every $h \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$, we have*

$$\|h\|_e = \sup \varphi(h^* * h)^{1/2},$$

where the supremum is taken over all states φ on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$ such that $\varphi \circ \eta_x$ is bounded with respect to the reduced norm on $C_c(\mathcal{G})$.

Proof. Since a state on a C^* -algebra vanishes on a closed ideal if and only if the associated GNS-representation vanishes on the same ideal, the result follows from Lemma 1.3 and Proposition 2.2. \square

Similarly to the existence of contractions $\vartheta_x: C^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ and $\vartheta_{x,r}: C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$, identity (1.4) for any faithful representation ρ of $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ shows that η_x extends to a completely positive contraction

$$\vartheta_{x,e}: C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x).$$

This contraction is surjective and then as a Banach space $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the quotient space $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) / \ker \vartheta_{x,e}$. Indeed, if $A \subset C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ is the multiplicative domain of $\vartheta_{x,e}$, then by Lemma 1.4 the image of the C^* -algebra A in $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ under $\vartheta_{x,e}$ is dense, hence it coincides with $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ and the norm on $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \cong A / \ker(\vartheta_{x,e}|_A)$ is the quotient norm. Since $\vartheta_{x,e}$ is a contraction, we then conclude that the norm $\|\cdot\|_e$ can also be described as the quotient norm on the Banach space $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) / \ker \vartheta_{x,e}$, as claimed.

From the practical point of view this is still not very useful, as it is not clear what the kernel of $\vartheta_{x,e}$ is. The following theorem sharpens the above observation and will allow us to describe the kernel.

Theorem 2.4. *For any locally compact étale groupoid \mathcal{G} , $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and $h \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$, we have*

$$\|h\|_e = \inf \{ \|f\|_r : f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}), \eta_x(f) = h \}. \quad (2.2)$$

Furthermore, let \mathcal{V} be a neighbourhood base at x partially ordered by containment. For each $V \in \mathcal{V}$, choose a function $q_V \in C_c(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ such that $q_V(x) = 1$, $0 \leq q_V \leq 1$ and $\text{supp } q_V \subset V$. For $h \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$, choose any function $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\eta_x(f) = h$. Then

$$\|h\|_e = \lim_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \|q_V * f * q_V\|_r. \quad (2.3)$$

We divide the proof of the theorem into several lemmas. Denote the right hand side of (2.2) by $\|h\|'_e$.

Lemma 2.5. *If $\eta_x(f) = h$, then the limit in (2.3) exists and equals $\|h\|'_e$.*

Proof. Let us show first that if $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ satisfies $\eta_x(f) = 0$, then

$$\lim_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \|q_V * f * q_V\|_r = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

By Lemma 1.1 we can write $f = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i$, with $f_i \in C_c(U_i)$ for a bisection U_i . Assume

$$\mathcal{G}_x^x \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m U_i \right) = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}.$$

Take an index i . Assume first that $\mathcal{G}_x^x \cap U_i = \emptyset$. In this case we eventually have $q_V * f_i * q_V = 0$. Indeed, let $K_i \subset U_i$ be the support of $f_i|_{U_i}$. For every $g \in K_i$ we must have that either $r(g) \neq x$ or $s(g) \neq x$, so we can find a neighbourhood W_g of g in U_i with either $x \notin \overline{r(W_g)}$ or $x \notin \overline{s(W_g)}$. By compactness of K_i there is a neighbourhood W of x in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ such that for each $g \in K_i$ we have either $r(g) \notin W$ or $s(g) \notin W$. This implies that if $x \in V \subset W$, then $q_V * f_i * q_V = 0$, proving our claim.

Consider now indices i such that $\mathcal{G}_x^x \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$. For every such i there is a unique index k_i such that $\mathcal{G}_x^x \cap U_i = \{g_{k_i}\}$. Put

$$W_k = \bigcap_{i:k_i=k} U_i.$$

For every k , the set W_k is a bisection containing g_k and we have

$$\sum_{i:k_i=k} f_i(g_k) = f(g_k) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Since $(r^{-1}(V) \cap U_i)_{V \in \mathcal{V}}$ is a neighbourhood base for g_{k_i} in U_i and $f_i|_{U_i}$ is continuous at g_{k_i} , we have

$$\lim_V \|q_V * f_i * q_V - f_i(g_{k_i})q_V * \mathbf{1}_{W_{k_i}} * q_V\|_\infty = 0.$$

For $x \in V \subset \overline{V} \subset r(W_{k_i})$, we have $q_V * f_i * q_V - f_i(g_{k_i})q_V * \mathbf{1}_{W_{k_i}} * q_V \in C_c(U_i)$. Hence, by (1.2), we may conclude that

$$\lim_V \|q_V * f_i * q_V - f_i(g_{k_i})q_V * \mathbf{1}_{W_{k_i}} * q_V\|_r = 0,$$

which together with (2.5) gives

$$\lim_V \left\| \sum_{i:k_i=k} q_V * f_i * q_V \right\|_r = 0$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, n$. This, combined with the fact that we eventually have $q_V * f_i * q_V = 0$ for i such that $\mathcal{G}_x^x \cap U_i = \emptyset$, proves (2.4).

Assume now that $\eta_x(f) = h$. For every $W \in \mathcal{V}$, we have

$$\lim_V \|q_V * f * q_V - (q_V q_W) * f * (q_W q_V)\|_r = 0$$

by (2.4) applied to $f - q_W * f * q_W$ or simply because $\|q_V - q_W q_V\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. As $\|(q_V q_W) * f * (q_W q_V)\|_r \leq \|q_W * f * q_W\|_r$, this implies that

$$\limsup_V \|q_V * f * q_V\|_r \leq \|q_W * f * q_W\|_r.$$

Since this is true for all $W \in \mathcal{V}$, we conclude that the limit in (2.3) indeed exists. Furthermore, since $\eta_x(q_V * f * q_V) = \eta_x(f) = h$ and $\|q_V * f * q_V\|_r \leq \|f\|_r$, we have

$$\|h\|'_e \leq \lim_V \|q_V * f * q_V\|_r \leq \|f\|_r. \quad (2.6)$$

Now, take another function $f' \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\eta_x(f') = h$. By (2.4) applied to $f - f'$ we conclude that the limit of $\|q_V * f * q_V\|_r$ is independent of f such that $\eta_x(f) = h$. Together with the inequalities (2.6) and the definition of $\|h\|'_e$, this proves that this limit is $\|h\|'_e$. \square

It is clear that $\|\cdot\|'_e$ is a seminorm on $C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$, but we can now say much more.

Lemma 2.6. *The seminorm $\|\cdot\|'_e$ is a C^* -norm on the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$, and $\|\cdot\|'_e \geq \|\cdot\|_e$.*

Proof. Since $\vartheta_{x,e}: C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ is a contraction, if $h \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ and $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ satisfy $\eta_x(f) = h$, we get

$$\|h\|_e = \|\eta_x(f)\|_e \leq \|f\|_r.$$

By the definition of $\|\cdot\|'_e$ it follows that $\|h\|_e \leq \|h\|'_e$. In particular, $\|\cdot\|'_e$ is a norm.

Next, let us check that $\|h_1 * h_2\|'_e \leq \|h_1\|'_e \|h_2\|'_e$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $f_1 \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\eta_x(f_1) = h_1$ and $\|f_1\|_r < \|h_1\|'_e + \varepsilon$. Suppose h_2 is supported on $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \subset \mathcal{G}_x^x$. Let U_1, \dots, U_n be bisections such that $\mathcal{G}_x^x \cap U_i = \{g_i\}$. Choose $\varphi_i \in C_c(U_i)$ such that $\varphi_i(g_i) = 1$ and let $f_2 = \sum_i h_2(g_i) \varphi_i$. Then $\eta_x(f_2) = h_2$ and by Lemma 1.4 we have $\eta_x(f_1 * f_2) = \eta_x(f_1) * \eta_x(f_2) = h_1 * h_2$. By Lemma 2.5, by replacing φ_i with $q_V * \varphi_i * q_V$ (where q_V is as in Theorem 2.4), we may assume that $\|f_2\|_r < \|h_2\|'_e + \varepsilon$. Hence

$$\|h_1 * h_2\|'_e \leq \|f_1 * f_2\|_r \leq (\|h_1\|'_e + \varepsilon)(\|h_2\|'_e + \varepsilon),$$

which implies that $\|h_1 * h_2\|'_e \leq \|h_1\|'_e \|h_2\|'_e$, as $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary.

In order to show that $\|\cdot\|'_e$ is a C^* -norm, it remains to check that $\|h\|_e^2 \leq \|h^* * h\|'_e$. Similarly to the previous paragraph, by Lemma 1.4 we can find $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\eta_x(f) = h$ and $\eta_x(f^* * f) = h^* * h$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_e^2 &= \lim_V \|q_V * f * q_V\|_r^2 = \lim_V \|q_V * f^* * q_V^2 * f * q_V\|_r \\ &\leq \lim_V \|q_V * f^* * f * q_V\|_r = \|h^* * h\|'_e, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4. We already know that $\|\cdot\|_e \leq \|\cdot\|'_e$. In order to prove the opposite inequality it suffices to show that if φ is a state on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$ bounded with respect to $\|\cdot\|'_e$, then it is also bounded with respect to $\|\cdot\|_e$. By Proposition 2.3 this means that we have to show that $\varphi \circ \eta_x$ is bounded with respect to the reduced norm on $C_c(\mathcal{G})$. But this is obvious, since by definition $\|\cdot\|'_e$ is the quotient reduced norm on $C_c(\mathcal{G}) / \ker \eta_x$. \square

Corollary 2.7. *The space $\ker(\eta_x: C_c(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x))$ is dense in $\ker(\vartheta_{x,e}: C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x))$.*

Proof. Take $a \in \ker \vartheta_{x,e}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We can find $f_1 \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\|a - f_1\|_r < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|\eta_x(f_1)\|_e = \|\vartheta_{x,e}(f_1 - a)\|_e < \varepsilon.$$

By Theorem 2.4 it follows that we can find $f_2 \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\eta_x(f_2) = \eta_x(f_1)$ and $\|f_2\|_r < \varepsilon$. Then for $f := f_1 - f_2 \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ we have $\eta_x(f) = 0$ and $\|a - f\|_r \leq \|a - f_1\|_r + \|f_2\|_r < 2\varepsilon$. \square

Corollary 2.8. *If $\{x\} \subset \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is an invariant subset, so that $\mathcal{G}_x^y = \emptyset$ for all $y \neq x$, then $\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_x^x$ is a locally compact étale groupoid and we have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_x^x) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_x^x$ is an open subgroupoid of \mathcal{G} . As $\vartheta_{x,e}: C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ is a *-homomorphism in the present case and $\ker \eta_x$ is dense in $\ker \vartheta_{x,e}$, we then only need to show that $C_c(\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_x^x)$ is dense in $\ker \eta_x$ with respect to the reduced norm on $C_c(\mathcal{G})$. But this follows from (2.4), since $f - q_V * f * q_V \in C_c(\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_x^x)$ for any $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ as long as $q_V = 1$ in a neighbourhood of x . \square

Remark 2.9. If one is interested only in the setting of Corollary 2.8, then it is actually easier to first realize that there is a C*-norm $\|\cdot\|_e$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$ making (2.7) exact, and then that this norm must satisfy (2.1) and (2.2), cf. [Ren80, Proposition II.4.5] (but note that this proposition erroneously claims that we get the reduced norm) and [Wil15, Lemma 2.7]. Similar statements can be proved for any closed invariant subset of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ in place of $\{x\}$. \diamond

As a first example consider the transformation groupoids. Assume X is a locally compact Hausdorff space and a discrete group Γ acts on X by homeomorphisms. The transformation groupoid $\mathcal{G} := \Gamma \ltimes X$ corresponding to such an action is the set $\Gamma \times X$ with product

$$(g, hx)(h, x) = (gh, x), \quad \text{so that} \quad r(g, x) = gx, \quad s(g, x) = x \quad \text{and} \quad (g, x)^{-1} = (g^{-1}, gx).$$

Endowed with the product topology, it becomes a locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoid, and then $C_r^*(\Gamma) \cong C_0(X) \rtimes_r \Gamma$. For the isotropy groups we have $\mathcal{G}_x^x = \Gamma_x \times \{x\} \cong \Gamma_x$, where Γ_x is the stabilizer of x .

Proposition 2.10. *For any transformation groupoid $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma \ltimes X$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_e$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x \cong \mathbb{C}\Gamma_x$ coincides with the reduced norm.*

Proof. We have an isometric embedding of $C_r^*(\Gamma_x)$ into $M(C_0(X) \rtimes_r \Gamma)$. Using this embedding, for any $a \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma_x$ and $f \in C_c(X)$ such that $\|f\|_\infty = 1$ and $f(x) = 1$, we have $af \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ and $\eta_x(af) = a$. It follows that $\|a\|_e \leq \|af\|_r \leq \|a\|_r$. Hence $\|a\|_e = \|a\|_r$. \square

Examples where the norm $\|\cdot\|_e$ is exotic (that is, it is neither reduced nor maximal [KS12]) are, however, just one step away from the transformation groupoids. Namely, they can be found among the associated groupoids of germs, which are defined as follows.

Given an action of a discrete group Γ on a locally compact Hausdorff space X , define an equivalence relation on $\Gamma \times X$ by saying $(g, x) \sim (h, x)$ if $gy = hy$ for all y in a neighbourhood of x . Then $\mathcal{G} = (\Gamma \times X)/\sim$ is a groupoid with the unit space X and the composition $[g, hx][h, x] = [gh, x]$, where $[g, x]$ denotes the equivalence class of (g, x) . Equipped with the quotient topology, \mathcal{G} becomes a locally compact étale groupoid. In general, such groupoids are non-Hausdorff.

It follows from examples of Higson–Lafforgue–Skandalis [HLS02, Section 2], see also [Wil15], that the norm $\|\cdot\|_e$ can be exotic for groupoids that are group bundles. In the next example we make a minimal modification of their construction to demonstrate the same phenomenon for groupoids of germs.

Example 2.11. Let Γ be a discrete group and $(\Gamma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a decreasing sequence of finite index normal subgroups of Γ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \Gamma_n = \{e\}$. Let $X_n := \Gamma/\Gamma_n$ and view X_n as a finite discrete set. We have an action of Γ on X_n by translations. Let X be the one-point compactification of $\bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty X_n$. The actions of Γ on X_n together with the trivial action on the point $\infty \in X$ define an action of Γ on X .

Let \mathcal{G} be the corresponding groupoid of germs. Explicitly, we have

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty ((\Gamma/\Gamma_n) \times X_n) \sqcup (\Gamma \times \{\infty\}),$$

each finite set $(\Gamma/\Gamma_n) \times X_n$ is open and has the discrete topology, while the sets $\cup_{n \geq N} (\{\pi_n(g)\} \times X_n) \cup \{(g, \infty)\}$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ form a neighbourhood base at (g, ∞) , where $\pi_n: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_n$ is the quotient map. Note that \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff.

Consider the point $x = \infty \in X = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, which is the only point of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ with nontrivial isotropy. Then $\mathcal{G}_x^x = \Gamma \times \{\infty\} \cong \Gamma$. Using (2.3) it is easy to see that, for all $a \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$,

$$\|a\|_e = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \sup_{n \geq N} \|\lambda_n(a)\|, \|a\|_r \right\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\lambda_n(a)\|, \quad (2.8)$$

where λ_n denotes the quasi-regular representation of Γ on $\ell^2(\Gamma/\Gamma_n)$ and the second equality above follows because the sequence $\{\|\lambda_n(a)\|\}_n$ is nondecreasing and the regular representation of Γ is weakly contained in $\bigoplus_n \lambda_n$, so that $\|a\|_r \leq \sup_n \|\lambda_n(a)\|$, cf. [Wil15, Lemma 2.7].

Following [HLS02, Wil15], an explicit example with $\|\cdot\|_e \neq \|\cdot\|_r, \|\cdot\|_{\max}$ can be obtained as follows. Take $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\Gamma_n = \ker(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}))$. Since Γ is nonamenable and the trivial representation of Γ is contained in λ_n for every n , we cannot have $\|\cdot\|_e = \|\cdot\|_r$. On the other hand, the trivial representation is isolated in the irreducible unitary representations weakly contained in $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n$ by Selberg's theorem, yet Γ does not have property (T). Hence we cannot have $\|\cdot\|_e = \|\cdot\|_{\max}$ either.

3. APPLICATION TO STATES AND WEIGHTS WITH DIAGONAL CENTRALIZERS

Throughout this section we assume that \mathcal{G} is a second countable locally compact étale groupoid. (But we still do not require \mathcal{G} to be Hausdorff.)

Consider a Radon measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. A μ -measurable field of states on the C^* -algebras of the isotropy groups is a collection $\{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$, where φ_x is a state on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, such that the function

$$\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \ni x \mapsto \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} f(g) \varphi_x(u_g)$$

is μ -measurable for all $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$, where $\{u_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}_x^x}$ denotes the canonical unitary generators of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

By [Nes13, Theorem 1.1], there is a bijective correspondence between the states on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ containing $C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ in their centralizers and the pairs $(\mu, \{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ consisting of a (regular, Borel) probability measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and a μ -measurable field of states $\{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$. The state φ corresponding to $(\mu, \{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ is given by

$$\varphi(f) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} f(g) \varphi_x(u_g) d\mu(x)$$

for all $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$. In other words,

$$\varphi = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \varphi_x \circ \vartheta_x d\mu(x), \quad (3.1)$$

with the integral understood in the weak* sense. To be precise, the formulation in [Nes13] requires \mathcal{G} to be Hausdorff, but as has already been observed in [NS19], the result is true in the non-Hausdorff case as well, with essentially the same proof; see also Remark 3.5 below.

Proposition 3.1. *For any second countable locally compact étale groupoid \mathcal{G} , a state φ on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ with centralizer containing $C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ factors through $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ if and only if the state φ_x factors through $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ for μ -almost all x , where $(\mu, \{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ is the pair associated with φ .*

Proof. Let I be the kernel of the canonical map $C^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$. Since \mathcal{G} is second countable, the C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ is separable. (Indeed, we can cover \mathcal{G} by countably many bisections U_n , choose countable subsets of $C_c(U_n)$ that are dense in the supremum-norm, then the sums of finitely many

elements in the union of all these subsets will be dense in $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ by (1.2) and Lemma 1.1.) Let F be a countable dense subset of I_+ . The state φ factors through $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ if and only if it vanishes on F . On the other hand, by Proposition 2.3, the state φ_x factors through $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ if and only if $\varphi_x \circ \vartheta_x$ vanishes on F . Since

$$\varphi(a) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} (\varphi_x \circ \vartheta_x)(a) d\mu(x)$$

for all $a \in F$, we get the result. \square

Example 3.2. As discussed in the introduction, our main motivation for writing this paper was to characterize the KMS states on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ for the time evolutions defined by real-valued 1-cocycles on \mathcal{G} . A KMS state for such a time evolution on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ is always given by a pair $(\mu, \{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}})$ satisfying some extra conditions [Nes13, Theorem 1.3], and the question was when it factors through $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$.

Consider, for instance, the groupoid \mathcal{G} from Example 2.11 defined by a group Γ and a decreasing sequence $(\Gamma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of finite index normal subgroups. Take the zero cocycle, so we want to describe the tracial states on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$. Then Proposition 3.1 and [Nes13, Theorem 1.3] imply that such traces are classified by pairs (μ, τ) , where μ is a probability measure on X such that $\mu|_{X_n}$ is a scalar multiple of the counting measure for all n and, if $\mu(\infty) > 0$, τ is a tracial state on $C_e^*(\Gamma) = C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_\infty^\infty)$, otherwise τ is irrelevant. (This description also easily follows from Corollary 2.8, since $C_r^*(\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_\infty^\infty) \cong c_0 \cdot \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty B(\ell^2(\Gamma/\Gamma_n))$.)

Note that there can be many more tracial states on $C_e^*(\Gamma)$ than those that factor through $C_r^*(\Gamma)$, which are the only obvious ones that give rise to tracial states on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$. Consider, for example, the free group $\Gamma = F_2$ on two generators, and define the subgroups $(\Gamma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ as in [Wil15, Lemma 2.8]. It then follows from [Wil15, Lemma 2.8] and (2.8) that $\|\cdot\|_e$ agrees with the full norm on $\mathbb{C}\Gamma = \mathbb{C}F_2$. Therefore there are plenty of tracial states on $C_e^*(\Gamma)$, but only one tracial state on $C_r^*(\Gamma)$, namely, the canonical trace. \diamond

For completeness, let us describe the GNS-representation defined by the state φ given by a pair $(\mu, \{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}})$. Let (H_x, π_x, ξ_x) be the GNS-triple associated with $\varphi_x \circ \vartheta_x$. Recall that by Lemma 1.3 the representation π_x is induced from the GNS-representation defined by φ_x .

Lemma 3.3. *There is a unique structure of a μ -measurable field of Hilbert spaces on $(H_x)_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ such that the sections $(\pi_x(f)\xi_x)_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ are measurable for all $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$. Namely, a section $(\zeta_x)_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ is measurable if and only if the function $x \mapsto (\zeta_x, \pi_x(f)\xi_x)$ is μ -measurable for all $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$.*

Proof. Choose a sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ of elements of $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ that is dense in $C^*(\mathcal{G})$. Then $\{\pi_x(f_n)\xi_x\}_n$ is dense in H_x for every $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. By [Tak02, Lemma IV.8.10] it follows that there is a unique structure of a μ -measurable field of Hilbert spaces on $(H_x)_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ such that the sections $(\pi_x(f_n)\xi_x)_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ are measurable for all n , namely, a section $(\zeta_x)_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ is measurable if and only if the function $x \mapsto (\zeta_x, \pi_x(f_n)\xi_x)$ is μ -measurable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To finish the proof of the lemma it is then enough to show that the section $(\pi_x(f)\xi_x)_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ is measurable for every $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$. But this is clearly true, since we can find a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}_k$ converging to f in $C^*(\mathcal{G})$, and then $\pi_x(f_{n_k})\xi_x \rightarrow \pi_x(f)\xi_x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. \square

We can therefore consider the direct integral π of the GNS-representations π_x on

$$H := \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}}^\oplus H_x d\mu(x).$$

Consider also the vector $\xi := (\xi_x)_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \in H$.

Proposition 3.4. *With the above notation, (H, π, ξ) is a GNS-triple associated with φ .*

Proof. We only need to show that the vector ξ is cyclic. Consider the representation m of $L^\infty(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}, \mu)$ on $H = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}}^{\oplus} H_x d\mu(x)$ by diagonal operators. As $\pi_x(f)\xi_x = f(x)\xi_x$ for all $f \in C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ and $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, we then have

$$\pi(f)\xi = m(f)\xi \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}).$$

It follows that for all $a \in C^*(G)$ and $f \in C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ we have

$$m(f)\pi(a)\xi = \pi(a)m(f)\xi = \pi(af)\xi.$$

Therefore the subspace $\overline{\pi(C^*(\mathcal{G}))\xi}$ is invariant under the diagonal operators, hence the projection P onto this subspace is a decomposable operator. Thus, $P = (P_x)_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ for a measurable family of projections P_x . For μ -almost all x , we also have that the projection P_x commutes with $\pi_x(C^*(G))$ and $P_x\xi_x = \xi_x$. Since the vector ξ_x is cyclic, it follows that $P_x = 1$ a.e., hence $P = 1$. \square

Remark 3.5. The above proposition can be approached from a different angle by trying to disintegrate the GNS-representation of a given state φ . This leads to a proof of decomposition (3.1) that relies only on disintegration of representations rather than on Renault's disintegration theorem for groupoids [Ren87], which is a more delicate result, especially in the non-Hausdorff setting. Let us sketch this argument, which elaborates on the discussion in [Nes13, Section 2].

So, assume we have a state φ on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ with $C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ in its centralizer. Let (H, π, ξ) be the corresponding GNS-triple. By [Nes13, Lemma 2.2] and its proof, there is a representation $\rho: C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}) \rightarrow \pi(C^*(\mathcal{G}))' \subset B(H)$ such that $\rho(h)\pi(a)\xi = \pi(ah)\xi$ for all $h \in C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ and $a \in C^*(\mathcal{G})$. We can then disintegrate π with respect to $\rho(C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}))$, so that $\pi = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}}^{\oplus} \pi_x d\nu(x)$ for representations $\pi_x: C^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B(H_x)$ and ρ becomes the representation by diagonal operators. If $\xi = (\xi_x)_x$, we define a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ by $d\mu(x) = \|\xi_x\|^2 d\nu(x)$ and, for μ -almost all $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, states ψ_x on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ by $\psi_x = \|\xi_x\|^{-2}(\pi_x(\cdot)\xi_x, \xi_x)$. Then $\varphi = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \psi_x d\mu(x)$.

Since $\pi(h)\xi = \rho(h)\xi$, we have $\pi_x(h)\xi_x = h(x)\xi_x$, and hence $\psi_x(ah) = \psi_x(ha) = h(x)\psi_x(a)$, for μ -a.e. x and all $h \in C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ and $a \in C^*(\mathcal{G})$. By the analogue of (2.4) for the full norm, which is proved using the same arguments, we conclude that, for μ -a.e. x and all $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$, the value $\psi_x(f)$ depends only on $\eta_x(f)$, so that $\psi_x = \varphi_x \circ \eta_x$ on $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ for a linear functional φ_x on $C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$. This linear functional is positive, hence it extends to a state on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$, since by Lemma 1.4, for every $h \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$, we can find $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ such that $h^* * h = \eta_x(f^* * f)$. \diamond

Proposition 3.1 can be extended to a class of weights on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$. Let us first recall some terminology. A weight φ on a C^* -algebra A is a map $\varphi: A_+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ satisfying $\varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$ and $\varphi(\lambda a) = \lambda\varphi(a)$ for all $a, b \in A_+$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. A weight φ is called densely defined when $\{a \in A_+ \mid \varphi(a) < \infty\}$ is dense in A_+ , and it is called lower semi-continuous if $\{a \in A_+ \mid \varphi(a) \leq \lambda\}$ is closed for all $\lambda \geq 0$. We call φ *proper* if φ is nonzero, densely defined and lower semi-continuous. We use the standard notation

$$\mathfrak{N}_\varphi := \{a \in A \mid \varphi(a^*a) < \infty\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{M}_\varphi^+ := \{a \in A_+ \mid \varphi(a) < \infty\}.$$

Any weight φ extends uniquely to a linear functional on the subspace $\mathfrak{M}_\varphi := \mathfrak{N}_\varphi^* \mathfrak{N}_\varphi = \text{span } \mathfrak{M}_\varphi^+$, which is a dense $*$ -subalgebra of A if φ is densely defined.

Let $\{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ be a μ -measurable field of states for a non-zero Radon measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Then the map $x \mapsto (\varphi_x \circ \vartheta_x)(a)$ is μ -measurable for all $a \in C^*(\mathcal{G})_+$, and hence we can define a map $\varphi: C^*(\mathcal{G})_+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ by

$$\varphi(a) := \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} (\varphi_x \circ \vartheta_x)(a) d\mu(x) \quad \text{for } a \in C^*(\mathcal{G})_+. \quad (3.2)$$

Since \mathcal{G} can be covered by bisections and μ is Radon, it follows from Lemma 1.1 that φ is finite on $C_c(\mathcal{G})_+$. By Fatou's lemma it is also lower semi-continuous. It follows that φ is a proper weight on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$, with $C_c(\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathfrak{M}_\varphi$. In conclusion we can associate a proper weight φ on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ to any

pair $(\mu, \{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}})$ consisting of a Radon measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and a μ -measurable field of states $\{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$. We say that the weight φ factors through $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ if there exists a proper weight $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\varphi(a) = \tilde{\varphi}(\pi(a))$ for all $a \in C^*(\mathcal{G})_+$, where $\pi: C^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ is the canonical surjection.

Proposition 3.6. *Let \mathcal{G} be a second countable locally compact étale groupoid and φ be the proper weight on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ associated to a pair $(\mu, \{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}})$ consisting of a Radon measure μ and a μ -measurable field of states $\{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) φ factors through $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$;
- (2) $\varphi((\ker \pi)_+) = 0$;
- (3) φ_x factors through $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x)$ for μ -almost all x .

Proof. That (1) implies (2) is clear. Assume now that (2) is true. Let $\{V_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of open sets in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ with compact closures such that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty V_n$. Since μ is a Radon measure, the measures $\mu_n := \mu|_{V_n}$ are all finite regular Borel measures on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Let ψ_n be the positive linear functional on $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ associated to $(\mu_n, \{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}})$. It then follows from (3.2) that for any $a \in C^*(\mathcal{G})_+$ we have

$$\varphi(a) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} (\varphi_x \circ \vartheta_x)(a) d\mu(x) \geq \int_{V_n} (\varphi_x \circ \vartheta_x)(a) d\mu(x) = \psi_n(a).$$

By assumption this must imply that $\psi_n((\ker \pi)_+) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence (3) is true by Proposition 3.1.

Assume now that (3) is true. Then similarly to φ we can define a proper weight $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ by

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a) := \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} (\varphi_x \circ \vartheta_{x,e})(a) d\mu(x) \quad \text{for } a \in C_r^*(\mathcal{G})_+,$$

where we view φ_x as a state on $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x)$ whenever it makes sense, which is the case for μ -a.e. x by assumption. Since $\varphi_x \circ \vartheta_x = \varphi_x \circ \vartheta_{x,e} \circ \pi$, we obviously have $\varphi(a) = \tilde{\varphi}(\pi(a))$ for all $a \in C^*(\mathcal{G})_+$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.7. There is no known analogue of decomposition (3.1) for arbitrary proper weights with centralizer containing $C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$. If one assumes that \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff and restricts attention to KMS weights with respect to the time evolution defined by a real-valued 1-cocycle on \mathcal{G} , then it follows from Theorem 6.3 in [Chr20] that every KMS weight is given by a pair $(\mu, \{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}})$ (satisfying some extra conditions), and hence Proposition 3.6 can be used to describe all KMS weights on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ in this setting.

4. GRADED GROUPOIDS

Given a locally compact étale groupoid \mathcal{G} and a discrete group Γ , by a Γ -valued 1-cocycle on \mathcal{G} we mean a continuous homomorphism $\Phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$. We then say that Φ defines a Γ -grading on \mathcal{G} . In this section we prove several extensions of Proposition 2.10 of the form that if \mathcal{G} is a graded groupoid satisfying some extra assumptions, then the norm $\|\cdot\|_e$ coincides with the reduced norm.

Let us first give a few examples of graded groupoids.

Example 4.1 (Semidirect products). Assume a discrete group Γ acts by automorphisms on a locally compact étale groupoid \mathcal{G} . Then the semidirect product $\Gamma \rtimes \mathcal{G}$ is the space $\Gamma \times \mathcal{G}$ with product

$$(\gamma_2, g_2)(\gamma_1, g_1) = (\gamma_2\gamma_1, \gamma_1^{-1}(g_2)g_1).$$

Therefore the unit space of $\Gamma \times \mathcal{G}$ is $\{e\} \times \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, and if we identify it with $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, then the range and source maps are

$$r(\gamma, g) = r(\gamma(g)), \quad s(\gamma, g) = s(g).$$

Equipped with the product topology, $\Gamma \ltimes \mathcal{G}$ becomes a locally compact étale groupoid. The map $\Phi: \Gamma \ltimes \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$, $\Phi(\gamma, g) = \gamma$, defines a grading on $\Gamma \ltimes \mathcal{G}$.

Note that in general the isotropy groups $\Gamma \ltimes \mathcal{G}$ are not determined by those of \mathcal{G} and the stabilizers of the Γ -action, but for every $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ we at least have $(\Gamma \ltimes \mathcal{G})_x^x \cap \ker \Phi = \{e\} \times \mathcal{G}_x^x \cong \mathcal{G}_x^x$, so that $(\Gamma \ltimes \mathcal{G})_x^x$ is an extension of a subgroup of Γ by \mathcal{G}_x^x . \diamond

Example 4.2 (Partial group actions). Given a discrete group Γ and a locally compact Hausdorff space X , a partial action of Γ on X is given by the following data. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we are given open subsets $X_{\gamma^{-1}}$ and X_γ of X and a homeomorphism $X_{\gamma^{-1}} \rightarrow X_\gamma$, which we denote simply by γ , such that $X_e = X$ and, for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$, we have $(\gamma_1 \gamma_2)x = \gamma_1(\gamma_2 x)$ whenever the right hand side is well-defined (in other words, the homeomorphism $\gamma_1 \gamma_2$ is an extension of the composition of γ_1 and γ_2).

Every partial action of Γ defines a transformation-type groupoid \mathcal{G} [Aba04]:

$$\mathcal{G} = \{(y, \gamma, x) \in X \times \Gamma \times X : y = \gamma x\},$$

with the obvious product

$$(z, \gamma_2, y)(y, \gamma_1, x) = (z, \gamma_2 \gamma_1, x). \quad (4.1)$$

Equipped with the topology inherited from the product topology on $X \times \Gamma \times X$, the groupoid \mathcal{G} becomes a locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoid. The map $\Phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$, $\Phi(y, \gamma, x) = \gamma$, defines a Γ -grading on \mathcal{G} . This grading is *injective* in the sense that $\ker(\Phi|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}) = \{x\}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

The simplest way of getting a partial action of Γ is to start with a genuine action on a locally compact Hausdorff space Y containing X as an open subset. We then get a partial action of Γ on X by restriction, so that $X_\gamma = X \cap \gamma(X)$. In this case the groupoid \mathcal{G} of the partial action is simply the reduction of the transformation groupoid $\Gamma \times Y$ by X . But not all partial actions can be obtained this way, at least if we require Y to be Hausdorff. A necessary condition for a partial action to arise as a reduction of a genuine action is that the graphs $\{(x, \gamma x) : x \in X_{\gamma^{-1}}\}$ must be closed in $X \times X$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This condition is actually also sufficient, see [Aba03, Proposition 2.10 and Remark 2.11].

As an example, assume we are given partial homeomorphisms S_1 and S_2 on X , so that we are given open subsets A_i and B_i of X ($i = 1, 2$) and homeomorphisms $S_i: A_i \rightarrow B_i$. From this we can construct a partial action of the free group F_2 with two generators s_1 and s_2 . Namely, given a reduced nonempty word $\gamma = s_{i_1}^{a_1} \dots s_{i_n}^{a_n} \in F_2$, the action of γ is given by $S_{i_1}^{a_1} \dots S_{i_n}^{a_n}$. If the graph of S_1 or S_2 is not closed in $X \times X$, this partial action is not a reduction of a genuine action. \diamond

Example 4.3 (Generalized Deaconu–Renault groupoids). We recall the construction of Exel and Renault [ER07] generalizing the Deaconu–Renault groupoids of local homeomorphisms [Ren80, Dea95].

Let Γ be a discrete group and $S \subset \Gamma$ be a submonoid such that $SS^{-1} \subset S^{-1}S$. Assume S acts by local homeomorphisms on a locally compact Hausdorff space X . We define a groupoid by

$$\mathcal{G} := \{(y, \gamma, x) \in X \times \Gamma \times X : \exists s, t \in S \text{ such that } \gamma = s^{-1}t \text{ and } sy = tx\}. \quad (4.2)$$

The product is defined by (4.1). The sets

$$\{(y, s^{-1}t, x) : x \in A, y \in B, sy = tx\},$$

where $A, B \subset X$ are open and $s, t \in S$, form a basis of topology on \mathcal{G} . This topology is stronger than, and in general different from, the one induced by the product topology on $X \times \Gamma \times X$. The map $\Phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$, $\Phi(y, \gamma, x) = \gamma$, defines an injective Γ -grading on \mathcal{G} .

In most concrete examples of this construction studied in the literature the group Γ is abelian, which is not particularly interesting for our purposes, so let us give a class of examples with potentially more complicated isotropy groups.

Assume G is a discrete group, $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$, and consider the group $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\theta} G$. Consider the submonoid $S := \mathbb{Z}_+ \rtimes_{\theta} G \subset \Gamma$. Then $SS^{-1} = S^{-1}S = \Gamma$. Assume we have an action of S on X by local homeomorphisms. Then the corresponding groupoid is

$$\mathcal{G} = \{(y, k-l, g, x) \in X \times (\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\theta} G) \times X : k, l \geq 0, \sigma^l(y) = \sigma^k(gx)\}, \quad (4.3)$$

where σ is the local homeomorphism defined by the action of $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Note that to have an action of S by local homeomorphisms is the same as having a local homeomorphism $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ and an action of G on X by homeomorphisms such that $\sigma(gx) = \theta(g)\sigma(x)$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in X$. A simple, but possibly not the most exciting, way of producing such examples is to consider a local homeomorphism $\sigma_1: X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ and an action of Γ on X_2 , and then consider the local homeomorphism $\sigma = (\sigma_1, 1)$ on $X := X_1 \times X_2$ together with the action of G on the second factor of X . \diamond

In some cases a graded groupoid can be transformed into a new injectively graded one.

Example 4.4. Let \mathcal{G} be a locally compact étale groupoid with a grading $\Psi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$. Assume that the following condition is satisfied: if $\Psi(g) = e$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, then $r = s$ in a neighbourhood of g . We then construct a new groupoid \mathcal{G}_{Ψ} as follows.

Put $X := \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and consider the set

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Psi} := \{(y, \gamma, x) \in X \times \Gamma \times X : \exists g \in \mathcal{G}_x^y \text{ such that } \Psi(g) = \gamma\}.$$

The product is again defined by (4.1).

To define a topology on \mathcal{G}_{Ψ} , take a bisection U of \mathcal{G} and consider the set

$$U_{\Psi} := \{(r(g), \Psi(g), s(g)) : g \in U\}.$$

We claim that these sets form a basis of a topology on \mathcal{G}_{Ψ} . It is clear that the sets U_{Ψ} cover \mathcal{G}_{Ψ} . Assume now that U and V are two bisections of \mathcal{G} and $(y, \gamma, x) \in U_{\Psi} \cap V_{\Psi}$. Let $g \in U$ and $h \in V$ be the unique elements such that $g, h \in \mathcal{G}_x^y$. Then $g^{-1}h \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $\Psi(g^{-1}h) = e$. By our assumption, there is a bisection O containing $g^{-1}h$ such that $r = s$ on O and $\Psi(O) = \{e\}$. Note that UO (the set of pairwise products) is a bisection and $gO = h$. Hence we can find an open neighbourhood W of g such that $W \subset U$, $s(W) \subset r(O)$ and $h \in WO \subset V$. Since $r(kO) = r(k)$, $s(kO) = s(k)$ and $\Psi(kO) = \Psi(k)$ for all $k \in W$, we then have $(y, \gamma, x) \in W_{\Psi} \subset U_{\Psi} \cap V_{\Psi}$.

Observe next that the open sets U_{Ψ} are bisections. It is then easy to see that \mathcal{G}_{Ψ} is a locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoid. The map $\Phi: \mathcal{G}_{\Psi} \rightarrow \Gamma$, $\Phi(y, \gamma, x) = \gamma$, defines an injective Γ -grading on \mathcal{G}_{Ψ} . For the isotropy groups we have $(\mathcal{G}_{\Psi})_x^x \cong \Psi(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$.

The above construction can be viewed as a generalization of Example 4.3. Indeed, consider an action of $S \subset \Gamma$ on X by local homeomorphisms as in that example. For every $s \in S$, $s \neq e$, we can cover X by open sets $U_{s,i}$ such that $s|_{U_{s,i}}$ is a homeomorphism onto $sU_{s,i}$. Consider the free group F with generators $g_{s,i}$ for all s and i . We can define a partial action of F on X similarly to Example 4.2, with $g_{s,i}$ acting by $s|_{U_{s,i}}$. Let \mathcal{G} be the corresponding groupoid. It is F -graded, but we rather view it as Γ -graded using the homomorphism $\phi: F \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that $\phi(g_{s,i}) = s$ for all s and i .

To check that our assumption on the corresponding cocycle $\Psi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is satisfied, assume $g_{s_1, i_1}^{a_1} \dots g_{s_n, i_n}^{a_n} x = x$ for some $x \in X$ and $g_{s_1, i_1}^{a_1} \dots g_{s_n, i_n}^{a_n} \in F$ ($a_j = \pm 1$) such that $s_1^{a_1} \dots s_n^{a_n} = e$. We have to show that $g_{s_1, i_1}^{a_1} \dots g_{s_n, i_n}^{a_n} y = y$ for all y close to x . By the assumption $SS^{-1} \subset S^{-1}S$, we can write st^{-1} for any given $s, t \in S$ as $p^{-1}q$, which implies that for any indices i, j and any point $y \in X$ we can find indices k, l such that $g_{s,i} g_{t,j}^{-1} z = g_{p,k}^{-1} g_{q,l} z$ for all z close to y . Using this property repeatedly, we can move all the negative powers to the left, that is, without loss of generality we may assume that $a_1 = \dots = a_m = -1$ and $a_{m+1} = \dots = a_n = 1$ for some m . But then for

$$s := s_{m+1} \dots s_n = s_m \dots s_1$$

we have $sg_{s_1, i_1}^{a_1} \dots g_{s_n, i_n}^{a_n} y = sy$, and since s acts by a local homeomorphism, we conclude that $g_{s_1, i_1}^{a_1} \dots g_{s_n, i_n}^{a_n} y = y$ for all y close to x . It is now straightforward to check that the groupoid \mathcal{G}_Ψ , with its topology, is exactly the groupoid (4.2).

Consider a related example inspired by [HL18, Example 3.3]. Let \mathcal{G} be the groupoid (4.3) defined by an action of $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times_\theta G$ on X by local homeomorphisms. Take any discrete group Γ together with a homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that

$$\Omega := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \phi(g)\gamma = \gamma\phi(\theta(g)) \text{ for all } g \in G\} \neq \emptyset.$$

For any continuous G -invariant function $d: X \rightarrow \Omega$, we can then define a 1-cocycle $\Psi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$ by

$$\Psi(y, k-l, g, x) := d(y)d(\sigma(y)) \dots d(\sigma^{l-1}(y))d(\sigma^{k-1}(x))^{-1} \dots d(\sigma(x))^{-1}d(x)^{-1}\phi(g),$$

if $\sigma^l(y) = \sigma^k(gx)$. Our condition on Ψ reads as follows: if $\sigma^l(x) = \sigma^k(gx)$ and $\Psi(x, k-l, g, x) = e$, then $\sigma^l(y) = \sigma^k(gy)$ for all y close to x . If it is satisfied, we get an injectively Γ -graded locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoid \mathcal{G}_Ψ . For $G = \{e\}$ this groupoid coincides with the one defined in [HL18, Example 3.3]. \diamond

Theorem 4.5. *Assume Γ is a discrete group and \mathcal{G} is a Γ -graded locally compact étale groupoid, with grading $\Phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$. For a fixed $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, assume the group $\ker(\Phi|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x})$ is amenable and $\Phi(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ is exact. Then $\|\cdot\|_e = \|\cdot\|_r$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$.*

We need some preparation to prove this theorem. The 1-cocycle Φ defines a Γ -grading on $C_c(\mathcal{G})$, or equivalently, a coaction $\delta: C_c(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_c(\mathcal{G}) \odot \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ of the Hopf algebra $(\mathbb{C}\Gamma, \Delta)$, where $\Delta(\lambda_g) = \lambda_g \otimes \lambda_g$ and \odot denotes the algebraic tensor product. Namely, if we view the elements of $C_c(\mathcal{G}) \odot \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ as $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ -valued functions on \mathcal{G} , then

$$\delta(f)(g) = f(g)\lambda_{\Phi(g)}.$$

The following lemma and its proof are standard.

Lemma 4.6. *The map δ extends to an injective $*$ -homomorphism $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \otimes C_r^*(\Gamma)$, which defines a coaction of $(C_r^*(\Gamma), \Delta)$ on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$.*

Proof. For every $y \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, define a unitary operator

$$W_y: \ell^2(\mathcal{G}_y) \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathcal{G}_y) \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma) \quad \text{by} \quad (W_y\xi)(g, \gamma) := \xi(g, \Phi(g)^{-1}\gamma).$$

A simple computation shows then that for every $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ we have

$$W_y(\rho_y(f) \otimes 1) = (\rho_y \otimes \iota)(\delta(f))W_y.$$

It follows that we have an injective $*$ -homomorphism $\delta_y: \rho_y(C_r^*(\mathcal{G})) \rightarrow \rho_y(C_r^*(\mathcal{G})) \otimes C_r^*(\Gamma)$ defined by $\delta_y(a) = W_y(a \otimes 1)W_y^*$, and then $(\rho_y \otimes \iota) \circ \delta = \delta_y \circ \rho_y$. Taking the direct sum of the representations ρ_y we conclude that the homomorphisms δ_y define the required extension of δ . \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let A be a C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{A} \subset A$ a dense $*$ -subalgebra. For $h \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot \mathcal{A}$, define*

$$\|h\|_e = \inf\{\|f\|_r : f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}) \odot \mathcal{A}, \quad (\eta_x \otimes \iota)(f) = h\},$$

where $\|f\|_r$ is the norm of f in the minimal tensor product $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \otimes A$. Then $\|\cdot\|_e$ extends uniquely to a C^* -cross norm on $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot A$, and if $(q_V)_V$ is a net as in Theorem 2.4, then

$$\|h\|_e = \lim_V \|(q_V \otimes 1)f(q_V \otimes 1)\|_r \tag{4.4}$$

for every $h \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot \mathcal{A}$ and any $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}) \odot \mathcal{A}$ such that $(\eta_x \otimes \iota)(f) = h$.

We will need this lemma only for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}G \subset A = C_r^*(G)$ for a discrete group G , in which case a large part of it is a consequence of Theorem 2.4 applied to the groupoid $\mathcal{G} \times G$. The general case is, however, of some independent interest and the proof is not much longer.

Proof. Identity (4.4) and the C*-seminorm property of $\|\cdot\|_e$ are proved exactly as Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, if we view the elements of $C_c(\mathcal{G}) \odot \mathcal{A}$ as \mathcal{A} -valued functions on \mathcal{G} . Furthermore, identities (2.3) and (4.4) imply that $\|h \otimes a\|_e = \|h\|_e \|a\|$ for all $h \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ and $a \in A$.

Next, the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_e$ on $C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot \mathcal{A}$ dominates the norm defined by the embedding $C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \otimes A$. This is proved similarly to the proof of the inequality $\|\cdot\|'_e \geq \|\cdot\|_e$ in Lemma 2.6: since $\vartheta_{x,e} \otimes \iota: C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \otimes A \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \otimes A$ is a contraction, for all $h \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}) \odot \mathcal{A}$ such that $(\eta_x \otimes \iota)(f) = h$, the norm of h in $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \otimes A$ is not larger than the norm of f in $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \otimes A$. In particular, $\|\cdot\|_e$ is a C*-norm on $C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot \mathcal{A}$, and if we denote by B the $\|\cdot\|_e$ -completion of $C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot \mathcal{A}$, then the identity map on $C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot \mathcal{A}$ extends to a *-homomorphism $\varphi: B \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \otimes A$.

On the other hand, the equality $\|h \otimes a\|_e = \|h\|_e \|a\|$ implies that the identity map on $C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot \mathcal{A}$ extends to a *-homomorphism $\psi: C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot A \rightarrow B$. By construction, $\varphi \circ \psi$ is the identity map on $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot A$, hence ψ is injective. Therefore $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot A$ can be viewed as a subalgebra of B , so the restriction of the norm on B to this subalgebra gives the required extension of $\|\cdot\|_e$.

Finally, $C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot \mathcal{A}$ is dense in $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot A$ with respect to any C*-cross norm on $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot A$, so any such norm is completely determined by its restriction to $C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot \mathcal{A}$. \square

Therefore the completion of $C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot \mathcal{A}$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_e$ is a C*-tensor product of $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ and A .

Lemma 4.8. *If A is an exact C*-algebra, then the completion of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x \odot \mathcal{A}$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_e$ is the minimal tensor product $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \otimes A$.*

Proof. The lemma is obviously true for nuclear C*-algebras, in particular, for finite dimensional ones. Assuming that A is only exact, represent it as a concrete C*-algebra $A \subset B(H)$. Then the embedding map $A \rightarrow B(H)$ is nuclear. It follows that if we fix $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}) \odot \mathcal{A}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then we can find contractive completely positive maps $\theta: A \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi: \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B(H)$ such that $\|f - (\iota \otimes \psi \circ \theta)(f)\|_r < \varepsilon$.

Put $h := (\eta_x \otimes \iota)(f) \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x \odot \mathcal{A}$. Let us denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the minimal norm on $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \odot B$ for $B = A$ and $B = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Since the lemma is true for $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$, by using (4.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\| &\geq \|(\iota \otimes \theta)(h)\| = \liminf_V \|(\iota \otimes \theta)((q_V \otimes 1)f(q_V \otimes 1))\|_r \\ &\geq \liminf_V \|(\iota \otimes \psi \circ \theta)((q_V \otimes 1)f(q_V \otimes 1))\|_r \\ &\geq \liminf_V \|(q_V \otimes 1)f(q_V \otimes 1)\|_r - \varepsilon = \|h\|_e - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

As ε was arbitrary, we thus have $\|h\| \geq \|h\|_e$. Since the opposite inequality holds by the previous lemma, we get the result. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.5. Since $\delta: C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \otimes C_r^*(\Gamma)$ is isometric and

$$\delta(q_V * f * q_V) = (q_V \otimes 1)\delta(f)(q_V \otimes 1)$$

for every $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$, identities (2.3) and (4.4) imply that δ induces an injective *-homomorphism Δ_x from $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ into the $\|\cdot\|_e$ -completion of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x \odot C_r^*(\Gamma)$. Put $G := \Phi(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \subset \Gamma$. Then the image of Δ_x is contained in the closure of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x \odot C_r^*(G)$ in the $\|\cdot\|_e$ -completion of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x \odot C_r^*(\Gamma)$, hence (by (4.4)) in the $\|\cdot\|_e$ -completion of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x \odot C_r^*(G)$. Since G is exact by assumption, by Lemma 4.8 we conclude that Δ_x can be viewed as a homomorphism $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \otimes C_r^*(G)$.

For a discrete group H and a subgroup H_0 , denote by λ_{H/H_0} the quasi-regular representation of H on $\ell^2(H/H_0)$ and by ε_H the trivial representation of H . Let us also denote weak containment and quasi-equivalence of representations by \prec and \sim , respectively. Now, put $H := \mathcal{G}_x^x \cap \ker \Phi$ and let ρ be a unitary representation of \mathcal{G}_x^x that integrates to a faithful representation of $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$. Let $\rho \times \lambda_G$ denote the injective representation of $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \otimes C_r^*(G)$ arising from taking the tensor product

of the integrated representations of ρ and λ_G , and let $\rho \otimes (\lambda_G \circ \Phi|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x})$ denote the tensor product representation of \mathcal{G}_x^x . Then $(\rho \otimes (\lambda_G \circ \Phi|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}))(h) = (\rho \times \lambda_G)(\Delta_x(h))$ for $h \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$, so the injectivity of Δ_x implies that $\rho \prec \rho \otimes (\lambda_G \circ \Phi|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x})$, hence

$$\rho \prec \rho \otimes (\lambda_G \circ \Phi|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}) \sim \rho \otimes \lambda_{\mathcal{G}_x^x/H} \sim \rho \otimes (\text{Ind } \varepsilon_H).$$

Since H is amenable by assumption, we have $\varepsilon_H \prec \lambda_H$, hence

$$\rho \otimes (\text{Ind } \varepsilon_H) \prec \rho \otimes (\text{Ind } \lambda_H) \sim \rho \otimes \lambda_{\mathcal{G}_x^x} \sim \lambda_{\mathcal{G}_x^x},$$

where in the last step we used Fell's absorption principle. Therefore $\rho \prec \lambda_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}$. Since $\|\cdot\|_e$ dominates the reduced norm, we conclude that $\|\cdot\|_e = \|\cdot\|_r$. \square

Remark 4.9. If G is an exact group, then Lemma 4.8 for $A = C_r^*(G)$ can be rephrased by saying that for any locally compact étale groupoid \mathcal{G} and any $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ we have $C_e^*((\mathcal{G} \times G)_x^x) = C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \otimes C_r^*(G)$. If we could prove this for arbitrary G , the assumption of exactness in Theorem 4.5 would be unnecessary. \diamond

Applying Theorem 4.5 to groupoids from Example 4.1 and recalling that the subgroups of exact groups are exact, we get the following.

Corollary 4.10. *Assume an exact discrete group Γ acts on a locally compact étale groupoid \mathcal{G} . For a fixed $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, assume that the isotropy group \mathcal{G}_x^x is amenable. Then $\|\cdot\|_e = \|\cdot\|_r$ on the group algebra of $(\Gamma \ltimes \mathcal{G})_x^x$.*

Note that if \mathcal{G} is topologically amenable (see [ADR00, Section 2.2.b]), then its isotropy groups are amenable.

Theorem 4.5 also applies to the injectively graded groupoids from Examples 4.2–4.4, when the grading group Γ is exact. Although the assumption of exactness is very mild, it is still somewhat unsatisfactory, since Theorem 4.5 does not fully cover even the trivial case of transformation groupoids (Proposition 2.10). We will therefore prove the following result, which assumes much more about the groupoid structure, but does not need exactness of the grading group.

Theorem 4.11. *Suppose Γ is a discrete group and \mathcal{G} is a Γ -graded locally compact étale groupoid, with grading $\Phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$. For a fixed $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, assume Φ is injective on \mathcal{G}_x^x and there is a family $\{U_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}_x^x}$ of bisections such that:*

- (1) $g \in U_g$ and $\Phi(U_g) = \Phi(g)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$;
- (2) $U_x = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and, for all $g \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$, $U_{g^{-1}} = U_g^{-1}$;
- (3) if $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $g_1 \dots g_n = x$, then $U_{g_1} U_{g_2} \dots U_{g_n} \subset \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

Then $\|\cdot\|_e = \|\cdot\|_r$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$.

Again, we need some preparation to prove the theorem. Take $y \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

Lemma 4.12. *Define a binary relation \sim_x on \mathcal{G}_y by*

$$g \sim_x h \iff \exists g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{G}_x^x \text{ with } h = U_{g_1} \dots U_{g_n} g.$$

Then \sim_x is an equivalence relation.

Proof. Since $U_x = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, for every $g \in \mathcal{G}_y$ we have that $g = U_x g$, and hence $g \sim_x g$.

Assume now that $g \sim_x h$, and let $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ with $h = U_{g_1} \dots U_{g_n} g$. Then $r(h) \in r(U_{g_1}) = s(U_{g_1^{-1}})$ and hence the composition $U_{g_1^{-1}} h = U_{g_1^{-1}} U_{g_1} \dots U_{g_n} g$ gives an element in \mathcal{G}_y . By our assumptions, $U_{g_1^{-1}} U_{g_1}$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, so

$$U_{g_1^{-1}} U_{g_1} U_{g_2} \dots U_{g_n} g = U_{g_2} \dots U_{g_n} g.$$

Continuing like this we get that $U_{g_n^{-1}} \dots U_{g_2^{-1}} U_{g_1^{-1}} h = g$, and hence $h \sim_x g$.

To complete the proof that \sim_x is an equivalence relation assume that $g \sim_x h$ and $h \sim_x k$. There exist $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ such that $h = U_{g_1} \dots U_{g_n} g$ and $k = U_{h_1} \dots U_{h_m} h$. Combining these two identities we get that

$$k = U_{h_1} \dots U_{h_m} h = U_{h_1} \dots U_{h_m} U_{g_1} \dots U_{g_n} g$$

and hence $g \sim_x k$. \square

Let $K_y := \mathcal{G}_y / \sim_x$. For each $\kappa \in K_y$, set

$$H_\kappa := \overline{\text{span}\{\delta_g : g \in \kappa\}} \subset \ell^2(\mathcal{G}_y).$$

Then $\ell^2(\mathcal{G}_y) = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in K_y} H_\kappa$.

Lemma 4.13. *If $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ is zero outside $\bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} U_g$, then each subspace H_κ ($\kappa \in K_y$) is invariant under the action of $\rho_y(f)$.*

Proof. Take $g \in \kappa$. Since

$$\rho_y(f)\delta_g = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{G}_r(g)} f(h)\delta_{hg},$$

it suffices to prove that $hg \in \kappa$ whenever $f(h) \neq 0$. If $f(h) \neq 0$, then by assumption $h \in U_k$ for some $k \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$. This means that $hg = U_k g$, and hence $hg \sim_x g$. This proves the lemma. \square

The following lemma will allow us to embed H_κ into $\ell^2(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 4.14. *For every $\kappa \in K_y$, the map $\kappa \ni g \mapsto \Phi(g) \in \Gamma$ is injective.*

Proof. Assume $g, h \in \kappa$ are such that $\Phi(g) = \Phi(h)$. By definition, there exist $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ such that $g = U_{g_1} U_{g_2} \dots U_{g_n} h$. Applying Φ to both sides we get that

$$\Phi(g) = \Phi(g_1) \dots \Phi(g_n) \Phi(h).$$

Since $\Phi(g) = \Phi(h)$ and Φ is injective on \mathcal{G}_x^x , this implies that $g_1 \dots g_n = x$. By our assumptions we then have that $U_{g_1} U_{g_2} \dots U_{g_n} \subset \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, and hence $g = h$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.11. Fix a nonzero function $h \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$. Let $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \subset \mathcal{G}_x^x$ be the support of h . Choose an open set $V \subset \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ such that $x \in V \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n r(U_{g_i})$, the closure of $W_i := r^{-1}(V) \cap U_{g_i}$ is compact and contained in U_{g_i} for each i , and such that $\Phi(W_i) = \Phi(g_i)$ for each i , which in particular implies that the sets W_1, \dots, W_n are disjoint. Next, choose functions $f_i \in C_c(U_{g_i})$ such that $f_i(g) = h(g_i)$ for all $g \in W_i$. Finally, choose a function $q \in C_c(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ with $\text{supp } q \subset V$ such that $0 \leq q \leq 1$ and $q(x) = 1$. We now define

$$f := \sum_{i=1}^n q * f_i \in C_c(\mathcal{G}).$$

Then $\eta_x(f) = h$, and in order to prove the theorem it suffices to show that $\|\rho_y(f)\| \leq \|h\|_r$ for all $y \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

By Lemma 4.13 it is then enough to show that $\|\rho_y(f)|_{H_\kappa}\| \leq \|h\|_r$ for all $y \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and $\kappa \in K_y = \mathcal{G}_y / \sim_x$. This can be reformulated as follows. By identifying \mathcal{G}_x^x with the subgroup $\Phi(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$ of Γ , we can extend the function h by zero to a function \tilde{h} on Γ , so that $\tilde{h}(\Phi(g)) = h(g)$ for $g \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $\tilde{h} = 0$ on $\Gamma \setminus \Phi(\mathcal{G}_x^x)$. Denote by λ_Γ the regular representation of Γ . Since $\lambda_\Gamma \circ \Phi|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}$ decomposes into a direct sum of copies of the regular representation of \mathcal{G}_x^x , we have $\|h\|_r = \|\lambda_\Gamma(\tilde{h})\|$. Therefore we need to show that

$$\|\rho_y(f)|_{H_\kappa}\| \leq \|\lambda_\Gamma(\tilde{h})\|. \quad (4.5)$$

Let us show first that

$$f(gk^{-1}) = q(r(g))\tilde{h}(\Phi(gk^{-1})) \quad \text{for all } g, k \in \kappa. \quad (4.6)$$

If $gk^{-1} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n W_i$, then this follows by the definition of f and \tilde{h} . If $gk^{-1} \in (\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{g_i}) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^n W_i)$, then both sides of (4.6) are zero, again by the definition of f and since $q(r(g)) = 0$. Assume now that $gk^{-1} \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{g_i}$. Then $f(gk^{-1}) = 0$, and we are done if $r(g) \notin V$. So assume that $r(g) \in V$. If $\Phi(gk^{-1}) \notin \{\Phi(g_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ then the right-hand side of (4.6) is zero, so let us assume that $\Phi(gk^{-1}) = \Phi(g_l)$ for some $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $g, k \in \kappa$, there are elements $s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ such that $g = U_{s_1} \dots U_{s_m} k$. Hence $g = r_1 \dots r_m k$ for uniquely defined elements $r_i \in U_{s_i}$. Since $r(gk^{-1}) \in V \subset r(U_{g_l})$, we have

$$\emptyset \neq U_{g_l}^{-1} g k^{-1} = U_{g_l}^{-1} r_1 \dots r_m \subset U_{g_l}^{-1} U_{s_1} \dots U_{s_m}.$$

But since $\Phi(s_1 \dots s_m) = \Phi(gk^{-1}) = \Phi(g_l)$, the last set is contained in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ by assumption, hence $gk^{-1} \in U_{g_l}$, which is a contradiction. Thus (4.6) is proved.

Now, by Lemma 4.14 we have an isometry

$$u: H_\kappa \rightarrow \ell^2(\Gamma), \quad u\delta_g := \delta_{\Phi(g)}.$$

In terms of this isometry, identity (4.6) can be written as

$$(\rho_y(f)\delta_k, \delta_g) = q(r(g))(\lambda_\Gamma(\tilde{h})u\delta_k, u\delta_g) \quad \text{for all } g, k \in \kappa.$$

In other words,

$$\rho_y(f)|_{H_\kappa} = u^* m_{\tilde{q}} \lambda_\Gamma(\tilde{h}) u,$$

where $m_{\tilde{q}}: \ell^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \ell^2(\Gamma)$ is the operator of multiplication by the function $\tilde{q}: \Gamma \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by $\tilde{q}(\Phi(g)) := q(r(g))$ for $g \in \kappa$ and $\tilde{q} := 0$ on $\Gamma \setminus \Phi(\kappa)$. This clearly implies (4.5). \square

Corollary 4.15. *Let \mathcal{G} be the étale groupoid associated with a partial action of a discrete group Γ on a locally compact Hausdorff space X . Then, for every $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} = X$, we have $\|\cdot\|_e = \|\cdot\|_r$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$.*

Proof. Using the notation from Example 4.2, for every $g = (x, \gamma, x) \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ consider the bisection

$$U_g := \{(\gamma y, \gamma, y) : y \in X_{\gamma^{-1}}\}.$$

Then the assumptions of Theorem 4.11 are satisfied, so we get the result. \square

Note that if the assumptions of Theorem 4.5 or 4.11 are satisfied for some $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, then they are also satisfied for the points in the orbit of x . More generally, we have the following simple observation.

Proposition 4.16. *Assume \mathcal{G} is a locally compact étale groupoid and $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is a point such that $\|\cdot\|_e = \|\cdot\|_r$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$. Then $\|\cdot\|_e = \|\cdot\|_r$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_y^y$ for all points $y \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ in the \mathcal{G} -orbit of x .*

Proof. If $g \in \mathcal{G}_x^y$, then the conjugation by g defines an isomorphism $\pi: \mathcal{G}_x^x \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_y^y$. The map $\rho \mapsto \rho \circ \pi$ defines a bijection between the sets \mathcal{S}_y and \mathcal{S}_x from Definition 2.1, since $\text{Ind}(\rho \circ \pi) \sim \text{Ind} \rho$. Hence π extends to an isomorphism $C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \cong C_e^*(\mathcal{G}_y^y)$. Since it also extends to an isomorphism $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x) \cong C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_y^y)$, this proves the result. \square

Following [KS02] we say that locally compact étale groupoids \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{G} are Morita equivalent if there exists a locally compact étale groupoid \mathcal{T} with unit space equal to the topological disjoint union $\mathcal{T}^{(0)} = \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \sqcup \mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ such that \mathcal{T} restricted to $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ is, resp., \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} , and such that both $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ meet all \mathcal{T} -orbits.

Corollary 4.17. *If \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are Morita equivalent locally compact étale groupoids and $\|\cdot\|_e = \|\cdot\|_r$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, then the groupoid \mathcal{H} has the same property.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{T} be a groupoid defining a Morita equivalence between \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} . We can view every function $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ as an element of $C_c(\mathcal{T})$. For every $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, we have $\rho_x^{\mathcal{T}}(f) = \rho_x^{\mathcal{G}}(f) \oplus 0$ with respect to the decomposition $\ell^2(\mathcal{T}_x) = \ell^2(\mathcal{G}_x) \oplus \ell^2(\mathcal{T}_x \setminus \mathcal{G}_x)$, where $\rho_x^{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\rho_x^{\mathcal{G}}$ are the representations (1.1) defined for \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{G} , resp. We also have $\|\rho_x^{\mathcal{T}}(f)\| = \|\rho_y^{\mathcal{T}}(f)\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{T}^{(0)}$

belonging to the same \mathcal{T} -orbit. This implies that the embeddings $C_c(\mathcal{G}) \hookrightarrow C_c(\mathcal{T})$ and $C_c(\mathcal{H}) \hookrightarrow C_c(\mathcal{T})$ are isometric with respect to the reduced norm. By an application of Theorem 2.4 then the norms $\|\cdot\|_e$ agree on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{T}_x^x$ for $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{H}_y^y$ and $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{T}_y^y$ for $y \in \mathcal{H}^{(0)}$. Hence the corollary follows from Proposition 4.16. \square

As an application, using this corollary and Proposition 2.10, we see that if \mathcal{G} is injectively graded, with grading $\Phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$ that is closed and transverse in the sense of [KS02, Definition 1.6], then $\|\cdot\|_e = \|\cdot\|_r$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_x^x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, since by [KS02, Theorem 1.8] the groupoid \mathcal{G} is Morita equivalent to a transformation groupoid.

REFERENCES

- [Aba03] F. Abadie, *Enveloping actions and Takai duality for partial actions*, J. Funct. Anal. **197** (2003), no. 1, 14–67, DOI:10.1016/S0022-1236(02)00032-0. MR1957674
- [Aba04] F. Abadie, *On partial actions and groupoids*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 4, 1037–1047, DOI:10.1090/S0002-9939-03-07300-3. MR2045419
- [ADR00] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche and J. Renault, *Amenable groupoids*, Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique [Monographs of L'Enseignement Mathématique], vol. 36, L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 2000. With a foreword by G. Skandalis and Appendix B by E. Germain. MR1799683
- [Chr20] J. Christensen, *The structure of KMS weights on étale groupoid C^* -algebras*, preprint (2020), available at [arXiv:2005.01792](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.01792) [math.OA].
- [Dea95] V. Deaconu, *Groupoids associated with endomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 5, 1779–1786, DOI:10.2307/2154972. MR1233967
- [ER07] R. Exel and J. Renault, *Semigroups of local homeomorphisms and interaction groups*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **27** (2007), no. 6, 1737–1771, DOI:10.1017/S0143385707000193. MR2371594
- [HL18] R. Hazrat and H. Li, *Homology of étale groupoids, a graded approach*, preprint (2018), available at [1806.03398v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.03398v2) [math.KT].
- [HLS02] N. Higson, V. Lafforgue, and G. Skandalis, *Counterexamples to the Baum-Connes conjecture*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **12** (2002), no. 2, 330–354, DOI:10.1007/s00039-002-8249-5. MR1911663
- [KS02] M. Khoshkam and G. Skandalis, *Regular representation of groupoid C^* -algebras and applications to inverse semigroups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **546** (2002), 47–72, DOI:10.1515/crll.2002.045.
- [KS12] D. Kyed and P. M. Sołtan, *Property (T) and exotic quantum group norms*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **6** (2012), no. 4, 773–800, DOI:10.4171/JNCG/105. MR2990124
- [Nes13] S. Neshveyev, *KMS states on the C^* -algebras of non-principal groupoids*, J. Operator Theory **70** (2013), no. 2, 513–530, DOI:10.7900/jot.2011sep20.1915. MR3138368
- [NS19] S. Neshveyev and N. Stammeier, *The groupoid approach to equilibrium states on right LCM semigroup C^* -algebras*, preprint (2019), available at [arXiv:1912.03141](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.03141) [math.OA].
- [Ren80] J. Renault, *A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 793, Springer, Berlin, 1980. MR584266
- [Ren87] J. Renault, *Représentation des produits croisés d'algèbres de groupoïdes*, J. Operator Theory **18** (1987), no. 1, 67–97 (French). MR912813
- [Tak02] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras. I*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 124, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Reprint of the first (1979) edition; Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5. MR1873025
- [Wil15] R. Willett, *A non-amenable groupoid whose maximal and reduced C^* -algebras are the same*, Münster J. Math. **8** (2015), no. 1, 241–252, DOI:10.17879/65219671638. MR3549528