



Spontaneous Formation of Prebiotic Compartment Colonies on Hadean Earth and Pre-Noachian Mars**

Elif S. Köksal,^[a] Inga Põldsalu,^[a] Henrik Friis,^[b] Stephen J. Mojzsis,^[c, d, e] Martin Bizzarro,^[f] and Irep Gözen*^[a, g]

Prominent among the models for protocells is the spherical biosurfactant shell, freely suspended in aqueous media. This model explains initial, but not subsequent events in the development process towards structured protocells. Taking into consideration the involvement of naturally occurring surfaces, which were abundant on the early Earth, feasible and productive pathways for the development of primitive cells are reported. Surfaces intrinsically possess energy, easily utilized by the interfacing amphiphiles, such as lipids, to attain selforganization and spontaneous transformations. This work shows that the physical interaction of phospholipid pools with 20 Hadean Earth analogue materials as well as a Martian meteorite composed of fused regolith representing the ancient

	Centre for Molecular Medicine Norway
	Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo
	0318 Oslo (Norway)
	E-mail: irep@uio.no
[b]	Prof. H. Friis
	Natural History Museum, University of Oslo
	Postboks 1172, Blindern 0318 Oslo (Norway)
[c]	Prof. S. J. Mojzsis
	Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences
	15–17 Konkoly Thege Miklós Road, Budapest 1121, (Hungary)
[d]	Prof. S. J. Mojzsis
	Department of Lithospheric Research
	University of Vienna
	UZA 2, Althanstraße 14, 1090 Vienna (Austria)
[e]	Prof. S. J. Mojzsis
	Department of Geological Sciences
	University of Colorado
	UCB 399, 2200 Colorado Avenue, Boulder, CO 80309-0399 (USA)
[f]	Prof. M. Bizzarro
	Centre for Star and Planet Formation
	GLOBE Institute, University of Copenhagen
	1350 Copenhagen K (Denmark)
[g]	Prof. I. Gözen
	Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences,
	University of Oslo
	U315 Oslo (Norway)
[**]	A previous version of this manuscript has been deposited on a preprint
	server (https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.11.443509).
	Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under
	https://doi.org/10.1002/syst.202100040
ſ	© 2021 The Authors. ChemSystemChem published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This
	is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attri-
	bution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribu-
	tion in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is

non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

[a] Dr. E. S. Köksal, Dr. I. Põldsalu, Prof. I. Gözen

crust of Mars consistently lead to the shape transformation and autonomous formation of surfactant compartment assemblies. Dense, colony-like protocell populations grow from these lipid deposits, predominantly at the grain boundaries or cleavages of the investigated natural surfaces, and remain there for several days. The model protocells in this study are able to autonomously develop, transform and pseudo-divide, and encapsulate RNA as well as DNA. Moreover, they can accommodate nonenzymatic, DNA strand displacement reactions. These findings suggest a feasible route towards the transformation from nonliving to living entities, and provide fresh support for the 'Lipid World' hypothesis.

Introduction

All contemporary life forms feature cell membranes, twodimensional fluid films composed of biosurfactants, which define the boundaries of the cell, and those of its internal substructures. It can be argued that the protocell building blocks, chemically closely related to today's membrane constituents, may have existed prior to 4 billion years ago at the time of life's emergence. Fatty acids and phospholipids have long been considered for prebiotic compartmentalization. Synthesis of the structurally simpler fatty acids might have been favorable under prebiotic conditions,^[1] whereas an alternative source is that they were delivered by meteorites.^[1b] Phospholipids likewise have proposed prebiotic synthesis pathways.^[2] Furthermore, it has been suggested that membranes composed of mixtures of fatty acids and phospholipids render certain evolutionary advantages such as facilitating rudimentary protometabolism and giving rise to the emergence of primitive membrane transporters.^[3] Yet, how featureless self-assembled containers -considered to be the stepping stone to life- may have developed on the early Earth, and what their exact structural and dynamic characteristics were, remains undefined. The current paradigm calls for the involvement of spherical primitive soft compartments which are formed by self-assembly in bulk aqueous environments.^[4] Biosurfactant assemblies are, however, capable of amazingly versatile shape and phase transformations,^[5] requiring only tiny energy input. Our recent work shows that through the involvement of an artificial solid surface, strikingly sophisticated sequences of autonomous soft matter shape transformations are possible.^[6] A potentially critical role of natural surfaces in enabling the formation and development of protocells has possibly been overlooked all



along, until interactions of amphiphiles with solid mineral micro-particles under formation of closed compartments was reported.^[7] The possible presence of lipid molecules and the undebated abundance of rocks and minerals on the early Earth^[8] and Mars, provides a strong incentive for transferring the previously observed spontaneous vesiculation on engineered surfaces to natural specimens. We view this as a critical step in the understanding of protocell formation and fate, which would significantly extend the 'lipid world' hypothesis.^[9]

Here, we focus now on the impact of the natural surfaces on formation and development of model protocells. We utilize 20 thin, continuous flat natural Earth surfaces, *i.e.* rocks, minerals, glasses (*cf.* Table S1 for a complete list), and a Martian meteorite specimen, and exposed them to lipid reservoirs. The Martian meteorite sample, Northwest Africa 7533 (NWA 7533), is documented to contain ancient crustal fragments that date back to the earliest history of the planet. The centimeter-sized optically transparent micro-thin sections provide extended areas for direct observation of topological transformations. The polished surfaces are not uniformly flat; they retain some of the irregularities (cracks, fissures, grain boundaries) of the natural materials.

Results and Discussion

We report results from a total of 21 naturally occurring surfaces (Table S1) prepared as substrates for lipid membrane adhesion. For microscopy imaging, the surfaces were planarized to an even thickness of 170 μ m (Figure 1a). Figure 1b shows a top view photograph of a semi-transparent granite section, and a largely opaque section of the Martian meteorite NWA 7533.

For inverted confocal fluorescence microscopy of fully- or semi-transparent surfaces, the thin sections were mounted on a perforated glass cover slip (Figure 1c). Opaque samples were mounted on a standard cover slip, and an upright fluorescence confocal microscope was used instead. A silicone frame was adhered to the glass carrier, surrounding the specimen, and filled with aqueous solution. Multilamellar lipid reservoirs in aqueous suspension were added to the solution with an automatic pipette (Figure 1d).

Upon contact of the lipid reservoir with the surface, wetting^[10] and subsequent transformations occur, resulting in the formation of the adherent lipid compartments physically connected via a dense lipid nanotube network (tube Ø~ 100 nm). We have recently thoroughly characterized and reported these transformations on nano-engineered silica substrates,^[6a,b] and reproduced these experiments for this study (Figure 2a). Briefly, each reservoir spontaneously spreads as a double lipid bilayer membrane, followed by rupture of the distal (upper) bilayer, and its rapid transformation into a network of nanotubes. Sections of the nanotubes swell over time to form vesicular compartments, governed by the tendency of the system to reduce its bending energy cost, minimizing the surface free energy of the membrane. Figure 2a shows a lipid nanotube network with small vesicles nucleating from the network on a deposited SiO₂ surface. Figure 2b is a schematic drawing of a single nanotube-connected vesicle as seen in panel (a). Figure 2c-f shows confocal micrographs of vesicle-nanotube networks on several natural mineral, glass and rock surfaces: olivine, fluorite, dolerite and picrite basalt. SI contains additional examples (Figure S2, section S3, S12), including sequences of earlier steps of the transformation described above, e.g. rupturing of double bilayers (Figure S3, Supporting Information). Protocell populations formed via the



Figure 1. Summary of the experiment. a) Earth surfaces (20 specimens) and one Martian meteorite NWA 7533 sample have been planarized to an even thickness of 170 µm. b) Photographs of two examples of natural surfaces: granite (top) and NWA 7533 (bottom), from top view. Scale bars: 1 cm. (cf. Figure S1 for photographs of other specimens) c) Schematic drawing showing the preparation of the sample chamber. The thin section has been gently mounted on a perforated glass cover slide (ID 0.5 cm). The hole allows direct contact with the oil objective of an inverted confocal microscope. The glass slide provides support for the thin fragile sections. d) A flat-bottomed silicon frame is air-sealed onto the glass cover slip and filled with an aqueous suspension of lipid reservoirs. For almost completely opaque samples, a standard cover slide with an upright confocal microscope was employed (not shown).



Figure 2. Formation of lipid nanotube-protocell networks. a) Confocal micrograph showing the positive control: a lipid nanotube-protocell network formed on a nano-engineered SiO_2 surface, from top view. b) Schematic drawing depicting the membrane configuration of the structure shown in a white dashed frame. A nanotube, a fraction of which is swelling as a lipid compartment, resides on a single bilayer. c-f) Confocal micrographs showing the phenomenon described in (a-b) on natural surfaces: c) olivine, d) fluorite, e) dolerite, f) picrite basalt. Scale bars: 10 μ m.

nanotube-mediated path are typically physically connected to each other, but can also separate from the population, migrate to remote locations, and adhere there.^[6a] These features of the nanotube-vesicle networks led to a new early division hypothesis.^[11]

Besides the heterogeneous composition of natural surfaces with distinct grain boundaries, a prominent difference to synthetic surfaces is the presence of fissures and cracks. We observe the emergence of protocell colonies predominantly along these natural interface features (Figure 3, also Figure S4). The figure shows examples of protocell colonies emerging on olivine (a–c), oligoclase (d–f), nephelinite (g–i), granite (j), eclogite (k) and fluorite (l). Panels (a), (d) and (g) are epifluorescence projections of the colonies while (b), (e) and (h) are cross sections (x-y plane). (c), (f) and (i) show cross sections along the dashed lines in (b), (e) and (h) (x-z plane). In Figure 3c, up to three stacked layers of protocells are distinguishable. Figure 3f (also Figure S5, section S6, and S12) reveals the presence of subcompartments inside single, domeshaped protocells, a phenomenon we had recently reported on artificial surfaces.^[6c] In the report, we pointed out a possible pathway to pseudo-division as the consequence of rupture of the enveloping surfactant shell.

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing

Snapshots from early stages of protocell colony formation provided in Figure S6, suggest that the formation at the surface cracks can be nanotube-mediated. In Figure S6g–k, it appears that nanotubes protruding from the surface cracks undergo pearling.^[12] Formation of vesicles/model protocells as a result of pearling instabilities on lipid tubes in bulk suspensions was previously reported.^[3a,7a,13]

In minerals the cleavages and fractures follow specific orientations dictated by the crystal structure. This results in a change in surface energy and wettability depending on the surface exposed, as each surface orientation will have a slightly different atomic density.^[14] The cleavages and fractures represent areas where the atomic density is changed resulting in a local change of surface energy. For the rock samples, which contain aggregates of multiple minerals with different orientations, each grain in the rock will have its own surface energy, but this is abruptly changed at the grain boundary. Neighboring minerals in the rocks will all have different orientations, even between grains of the same mineral. Consequently, every grain boundary presents a slightly different surface energy regime. SEM-EDX (scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy) scans of several of the Earth materials showing the element composition maps of the surfaces are provided in the Supporting Information, section S8. The analyses reveal that the regions amplifying the protocell formation on these substrates occur predominantly and consistently (but not exclusively) at the interface of quartzcontaining grains. The fluorite mineral is an exception to this trend. The development of dense vesicle colonies was observed along the surface defects of fluorite without the presence of a quartz-interface. Although the surface defects promote lipid compartment formation in general, vesicle growth is not completely insensitive to surface material. Some surfaces, e.g. marble, muscovite (cf. Figure S1) with many surface defects did not lead to vesicle colony formation.

Volcanic and impact generated glasses are thought to have been present on the pre-Noachian Mars^[15] and on the Hadean Earth.^[16] The glass samples we used were freshly synthesized standard analogues to natural surfaces matching the chemical compositions of terrestrial crustal rocks. X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy-based compositional analyses of the rock glasses are provided in the Supporting Information, section 9.

The possibility of the existence of vesicle colonies on the early Earth surfaces has been hypothesized in earlier work.^[177] Colonies increase the mechanical stability and provide an advantage for segregating, fusing and sharing internalized compounds. The close proximity of colony vesicles can likely facilitate direct chemical exchange, e.g. through transient pores.^[6c]

The same experimental procedure was performed on the Martian meteorite NWA 7533, which contains fragments of the planet's earliest crust.^[18] Recent investigation of the elemental





Figure 3. Formation of protocell colonies on analogue Hadean materials. Confocal micrographs showing dense, protocell colonies, formed predominantly along the cracks and grain boundaries of the surfaces: a-c) olivine, d-f) oligoclase, g-i) nephelinite. Panels (a), (d) and (g) are epi-fluorescence projections of the colonies while (b), (e) and (h) are cross sections (x-y plane). Panels (c), (f) and (i) show cross sections along the dashed lines in (b), (e) and (h), respectively (x-z plane). j) Granite, k) eclogite, I) fluorite. The foam-like colonies grow in 2 days. Arrows in (e) and (f) point to encapsulated containers (surface-adhered "sub-compartments").

and isotopic compositions of these fragments indicate that the early crust of Mars was reworked by impacts in the presence of water, establishing that water was present on Mars > 4.4 billion years ago.^[19] Water, minerals and organic molecules may have led to early life on Mars, similar to its emergence on the early Earth. Evidence for extraterrestrial existence of suitable lipids

exists, as these molecules have been extracted from meteorites $\mathsf{earlier}^{[1]}$

The meteorite sample is significantly more fragile and rougher, compared to all other surfaces used in this study. On this sample, we also observe the formation of foam-like vesicle colonies (Figure 4a). Two cross sectional views (x-z plane) over





Figure 4. Formation of protocells on the Martian meteorite NWA 7533 specimen. b) Confocal micrograph showing several foam-like protocell colonies emerging on the proximal (lower) bilayer that is adhered onto the meteorite. The insets show the cross sectional profile of the colonies (*xz* plane) along the dashed lines. b) Confocal micrographs showing the division of the colonies after 4 days to smaller units. The original structures and their corresponding daughter structures have been labeled with identical numbers. c–d), close up confocal sections showing the nanotubular structures associated with the vesicles (white arrows). Pink arrows show the Y-junctions, 3-way tubular intersections typically associated with lipid nanotube networks. e) Confocal micrograph shows the fluorescence intensity profile over the dashed line. The two M-shaped spikes correspond to the two vesicles adhered on the bilayer. f) Fluorescence micrograph of the membrane superimposed on the SEM image of the surface. Yellow frame represents (e). g–h) SEM-EDX scans of the meteorite surface in (f) showing different elements. White dashed lines correspond to the fluorescence membrane micrograph in (f).

the numbered lines indicated with white dashed lines in (a), have been inserted into the top right corner of the micrograph with corresponding numbering. In Figure 4b another region with several colonies is depicted. Over four days, the foam-like structures develop into smaller colonies, each with multiple protocells (*cf.* S10 for another example).

Vesicles stemming from the nanotubes are depicted in Figure 4c,d (white arrows). They show the typical structure of



freely suspended lipid nanotubes, featuring intersections of three conduits including 120 degree angles (Y-junctions). The Y-junctions are the energetically most favorable configuration of branching lipid nanotubes.^[20]

Figure 4e shows a membrane region with a ruptured distal bilayer edge, separating single (darker green) and double (brighter green) bilayer. An intensity profile along the yellow dashed line is overlaid onto the micrograph. The two M-shaped spikes are typical fluorescence intensity line profiles for fluorescently labeled vesicles. The vesicles are residing on the proximal single bilayer, distinguishable by its fluorescence intensity of approximately half the intensity of a double bilayer. A video showing the rupturing process on the meteorite specimen is available as online material. We performed SEM-EDX analysis of the surface to map the composition to elucidate possible influences on the protocell formation (Figure 4f-h). In Figure 4f the fluorescence micrograph of the lipid membrane is superimposed on the SEM image. The yellow frame represents the region shown in panel (e). In (g) the distinct domains of Fe (red color), AI (magenta), Ca (cyan) and Mg (yellow) are emphasized. A particular preference for a specific element is not discernible. Panel (h) shows the distribution of Si and O which are, except for a few dense regions, abundant throughout the surface. The lipid membrane spreads over the entire area on the surface of the meteorite. Additional micrographs showing vesicle formation, SEM-EDX maps of several regions on the meteorite surface and point analyses of distinct domains are supplied in the Supporting Information, section 8.

To evaluate the uptake of genetic components by the model protocells described above, we superfused vesicles and vesicle colonies adhered to the natural surfaces, e.g. granite, with RNA solution and observed spontaneous encapsulation (Figure 5ae). Briefly, a region of interest of \sim 150 μ m in diameter on the sample surface was selected, and vesicles in that region were superfused with fluorescently labeled RNA over a period of up to 4 minutes. The fluorescence signal in the internal volume of one compartment (magenta circle in panel d) as well as in a region outside but nearby the vesicle (gray circle in panel d) were monitored with laser scanning confocal fluorescence microscopy. Yellow dashed lines outline the containers in the RNA (green) channel, magenta and white solid circles are the regions of interest (ROI) of intra- and extravesicular space. Emission intensities of labeled RNA inside the ROIs were recorded before (Figure 5b), during (Figure 5c) and after (Figure 5d) exposure to the RNA, as shown in panel (e). The concentration inside the vesicle reaches 80% of the concentration of the stock solution of the RNA in the ambient solution within ~2 minutes of superfusion. After this exposure, the protocellular compartment slowly leaks its fluorescent contents, but maintains 50% of the initial ambient concentration for several minutes (cf. Figure S22 and supplementary movies for more examples). The transmembrane uptake occurs directly via transient membrane pores,^[21] which we characterized in earlier studies.^[6b,c]

We also studied the ability of the protocells formed on natural surfaces to host a non-enzymatic, DNA strand displacement reaction, which was earlier reported by Winfree et al.^[22]

(Figure 5f). Briefly, annealed double stranded DNA (dsDNA) fragments were added to the ambient solution of maturing vesicle colonies and incubated with them for 24 hours for encapsulation (Figure 5f). One of the strands of dsDNA features a fluorophore (green color), the complementary strand its quencher (purple color), preventing emission. The incubated vesicles are then superfused with single-stranded DNA (ssDNA), complementary to the quencher strand (orange color). The ssDNA enters some of the protocells in a fashion similar to RNA (Figure 5a-e), resulting in protein-free, entropy driven strand displacement and generation of a fluorescence signal from the released ssDNA. Figure 5g shows an experiment in which the internal volume of a small vesicle becomes visibly fluorescent within a few minutes of ssDNA exposure of a group of preincubated vesicles. Figure 5h-k shows this process on an extended surface region. Figure 5i-j show a magnified region of the protocell colony in Figure 5h (framed in white dashed lines). The fluorescence intensity in 4 different regions of interest was monitored during ssDNA exposure (circles in Figure 5j): The reaction occurs inside at least two of the protocells in the colony. In one instance, the reaction proceeds rapidly but the product appears to leak immediately (ROI and plot in blue). In the other instance, the fluorescence signal increases (ROI and plot in magenta) over 3 minutes (cf. Figure S23 and supplementary movies for additional examples).

We show that the surfaces of various naturally occurring materials promote the consistent formation of lipid compartments, which are able to encapsulate small molecules and host a DNA strand displacement reaction. Biosurfactant film structures formed on mineral, glass and rock slices utilize the surface energy for a double bilayer wetting/de-wetting process with subsequent spontaneous shape transformations, leading to the formation of closed containers. In some instances, subcompartments spontaneously emerge inside such containers at the solid interface.

Conclusions

We hereby provide evidence supporting a hypothesis that surfaces might have been of fundamental importance not only for the formation of robust protocells, but also for their initial development stages on the Hadean Earth. Moreover, we observe colony formation, which has been the subject of a prior hypothesis arguing for increased stability and facilitated chemical exchange in comparison to isolated single containers. While vesicle colonies were observed in earlier experimental work utilizing poly-lysine,^[23] we observed spontaneous colony formation without the need for promoters. The preferential population of cracks and fissures in the specimen indicate that rough surfaces do not prevent but enhance compartment formation. We conclude that the early Earth bulk hypothesis should be expanded to include solid natural surfaces as a possible key enabling factor, and suggest to not only further explore the transformation capabilities of the surface energydriven protocell model, but to also aim to combine it with more sophisticated prebiotic chemistry.





Figure 5. RNA and DNA encapsulation and non-enzymatic DNA strand displacement reaction inside the protocells formed on natural surfaces. a) Schematic drawing showing the RNA encapsulation via transient pores. b–d) Confocal micrographs showing protocells (red fluorescence) before (b), during (c) and after (d) RNA (green fluorescence) superfusion. Panels (b) and (d) represent the area framed in black dashed lines in (c). e) Fluorescence intensity of the regions of interest in (d) (circles with continuous lines) over time. The center vesicle takes up the RNA and maintains it over 4 minutes. The sharp drop in ambient RNA intensity corresponds to the termination of controlled superfusion. f) Schematic drawing showing the encapsulation of ssDNA as part of a non-enzymatic, entropy-driven DNA reaction. The entry of the ssDNA leads to melting of annealed dsDNA previously encapsulated inside the protocells and hybridization of one of the strands with the newly entering ssDNA (strand displacement). The released ssDNA becomes no longer quenched and starts to fluoresce. g–j) Confocal micrographs corresponding to (f). i–j) Represents the region framed in white dashed line in (h). k) Fluorescence intensity inside the ROIs circled in j, versus time. The vesicles and colonies shown in this figure were formed on granite.

Author contributions

I.G. conceived the idea and supervised the project, E.K. and I.G. designed the research, E.K. performed the confocal microscopy visualization, RNA encapsulation and DNA strand displacement experiments and associated fluorescence intensity analyses, I.P. prepared the initial setup, H.F. selected and provided the rock and mineral surfaces, H.F., E.K. and I.G. performed the SEM-EDX analyses of the surfaces, S.J.M. selected and provided the glasses and the associated whole rock analyses and helped to provide the prebiotic context of the experiments, M.B. selected and provided the sample to be suitable for the microscopy experiments. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Lilian Garcia for providing the olivine-rich lava rock sample, Prof. Aldo Jesorka from Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, for his technical advice, Prof. Stephanie Werner and Dr. Agata Krzesinska from the University of Oslo for stimulating discussions. This work was made possible through financial support obtained from the Research Council of Norway (Forskningsrådet) Project Grant 274433, UiO: Life Sciences Convergence Environment, as well as the startup funding provided by the Centre for Molecular Medicine Norway (RCN 187615) & Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences at the University of Oslo. I.P. gratefully acknowledges the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodow-

```
ChemSystemsChem 2022, 4, e202100040 (7 of 8)
```



ska-Curie grant agreement No 801133. A portion of the manuscript was completed while S.J.M. was in residence as Ida Pfeiffer Guest Professor at the Department for Lithospheric Research, University of Vienna. M.B. acknowledges support provided by grants from the Carlsberg Foundation (CF18_1105) and the European Research Council (ERC Advanced Grant Agreement 833275 – DEEPTIME).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Keywords: Biophysics • membranes • origin of life • prebiotic systems • protocells • solid surface

- a) D. W. Deamer, R. M. Pashley, Origins Life Evol. Biospheres 1989, 19, 21– 38; b) G. U. Yuen, K. A. Kvenvolden, Nature 1973, 246, 301–303.
- [2] a) M. Rao, J. Eichberg, J. Oró, J. Mol. Evol. 1982, 18, 196–202; b) M. Rao, J.
 Eichberg, J. Oró, J. Mol. Evol. 1987, 25, 1–6; c) W. V. Allen, C.
 Ponnamperuma, BioSystems 1967, 1, 24–28; d) W. R. Hargreaves, S. J.
 Mulvihill, D. W. Deamer, Nature 1977, 266, 78–80.
- [3] a) I. Budin, J. W. Szostak, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2011, 108, 5249; b) X.
 Zhou, P. Dalai, N. Sahai, Life 2020, 10.
- [4] a) S. F. Jordan, H. Rammu, I. N. Zheludev, A. M. Hartley, A. Maréchal, N. Lane, *Nat. Ecol. 2019*, *3*, 1705–1714; b) R. Saha, S. Verbanic, I. A. Chen, *Nat. Commun.* 2018, *9*, 2313; c) I. A. Chen, P. Walde, *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol.* 2010, *2*, a002170.
- [5] S. Jõemetsa, K. Spustova, K. Kustanovich, A. Ainla, S. Schindler, S. Eigler, T. Lobovkina, S. Lara-Avila, A. Jesorka, I. Gözen, *Langmuir* 2019, *35*, 10286–10298.
- [6] a) E. S. Köksal, S. Liese, I. Kantarci, R. Olsson, A. Carlson, I. Gözen, ACS Nano 2019, 13, 6867–6878; b) E. S. Köksal, S. Liese, L. Xue, R. Ryskulov, L. Viitala, A. Carlson, I. Gözen, Small 2020, 16, 2002529; c) K. Spustova, E. S. Köksal, A. Ainla, I. Gözen, Small 2021, 17, 2005320.

- [7] a) M. M. Hanczyc, S. M. Fujikawa, J. W. Szostak, *Science* 2003, *302*, 618;
 b) M. Hanczyc, S. Mansy, J. Szostak, *Origins Life Evol. Biospheres* 2007, *37*, 67–82;
 c) N. Sahai, H. Kaddour, P. Dalai, *Elements* 2016, *12*, 389–394;
 d) P. Dalai, N. Sahai, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 2019, *44*, 331–341.
- [8] R. M. Hazen, D. A. Sverjensky, Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol. 2010, 2, a002162–a002162.
- [9] D. Segré, D. Ben-Eli, D. W. Deamer, D. Lancet, Origins Life Evol. Biospheres 2001, 31, 119–145.
- [10] a) J. Raedler, H. Strey, E. Sackmann, Langmuir 1995, 11, 4539–4548; b) E. Sackmann, Science 1996, 271, 43.
- [11] İ. Gözen, ACS Nano 2019, 13, 10869–10871.
- [12] R. Bar-Ziv, E. Moses, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1994, 73, 1392–1395.
- [13] T. F. Zhu, J. W. Szostak, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5705–5713.
- [14] a) Z. Gao, R. Fan, J. Ralston, W. Sun, Y. Hu, *Miner. Eng.* 2019, 130, 15–23;
 b) Z.-y. Gao, W. Sun, Y.-h. Hu, X.-w. Liu, *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China* 2012, 22, 1203–1208.
- [15] a) P. H. Schultz, J. F. Mustard, J. Geophys. Res. [Planets] 2004, 109; b) C. B. Agee, N. V. Wilson, F. M. McCubbin, K. Ziegler, V. J. Polyak, Z. D. Sharp, Y. Asmerom, M. H. Nunn, R. Shaheen, M. H. Thiemens, A. Steele, M. L. Fogel, R. Bowden, M. Glamoclija, Z. Zhang, S. M. Elardo, Science 2013, 339, 780.
- [16] S. J. Mojzsis, R. Brasser, N. M. Kelly, O. Abramov, S. C. Werner, Astrophys. J. 2019, 881, 13pp.
- [17] P.-A. Monnard, P. Walde, *Life* **2015**, *5*.
- [18] a) M. Humayun, A. Nemchin, B. Zanda, R. H. Hewins, M. Grange, A. Kennedy, J. P. Lorand, C. Göpel, C. Fieni, S. Pont, D. Deldicque, *Nature* 2013, *503*, 513–516; b) M. M. Costa, N. K. Jensen, L. C. Bouvier, J. N. Connelly, T. Mikouchi, M. S. A. Horstwood, J.-P. Suuronen, F. Moynier, Z. Deng, A. Agranier, L. A. J. Martin, T. E. Johnson, A. A. Nemchin, M. Bizzarro, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2020, *117*, 30973.
- [19] Z. Deng, F. Moynier, J. Villeneuve, N. K. Jensen, D. Liu, P. Cartigny, T. Mikouchi, J. Siebert, A. Agranier, M. Chaussidon, M. Bizzarro, *Sci. Adv.* 2020, 6, eabc4941.
- [20] T. Lobovkina, P. Dommersnes, J.-F. Joanny, J. Hurtig, O. Orwar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2006, 97, 188105.
- [21] a) O. Sandre, L. Moreaux, F. Brochard-Wyart, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1999, 96, 10591; b) E. Karatekin, O. Sandre, F. Brochard-Wyart, Polym. Int. 2003, 52, 486–493.
- [22] D. Y. Zhang, A. J. Turberfield, B. Yurke, E. Winfree, *Science* 2007, *318*, 1121.
- [23] P. Carrara, P. Stano, P. L. Luisi, ChemBioChem 2012, 13, 1497–1502.

Manuscript received: October 3, 2021 Accepted manuscript online: December 14, 2021 Version of record online: February 11, 2022