

Investigation of Inner-basin Variation: Impact of Large Reservoirs on Water Regimes of Downstream Water Bodies

Xue Dai ^{1,2}, Zhongbo Yu ^{1,2}, Guishan Yang ^{3,4}, Chong-Yu Xu ⁵, and Rongrong Wan ^{3,4}

¹ State Key Laboratory of Hydrology–Water Resources and Hydraulic Engineering, Hohai University, Nanjing, China

² College of Hydrology and Water Resources, Hohai University, Nanjing, China

³ Key Laboratory of Watershed Geographic Sciences, Nanjing Institute of Geography and Limnology, CAS, Nanjing, China

⁴ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

⁵ Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Norway

Corresponding Author: Xue Dai (Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, Jiangsu, China. Email: daixue@hhu.edu.cn) and Rongrong Wan (Nanjing Institute of Geography and Limnology, CAS, Nanjing 210008, Jiangsu, China. Email: rrwan@niglas.ac.cn)

Abstract

Large dams and reservoirs alter not only the natural flow regimes of streams and rivers but also their flooding cycles and flood magnitudes. Although the effect of dams and reservoirs has been reported for some vulnerable locations, the understanding of the inner-basin variation with respect to the effects remains limited. In this study, we analyse the Three Gorges Dam (TGD) built on the Changjiang mainstream (Yangtze River) to investigate the dam effect variations in the system of interconnected water bodies located downstream. We investigated the effect of flow alterations along the downstream river network using discharge time series at different gauging stations. The river–lake interactions (referring to the interactions between the Changjiang mainstream and its tributary lakes i.e. the Dongting and Poyang lakes) and their roles in modifying the TGD effect intensity were also investigated in the large-scale river–lake system. The results show that the water storage of the tributary lakes decreased after the activation of the TGD. Severe droughts occurred in the lakes, weakening their ability to recharge the Changjiang mainstream. As a consequence, the effect of the TGD on the Changjiang flow increase during the dry season diminished quickly

34 downstream of the dam, whereas its impact on the flow decrease during the wet season
35 gradually exacerbated along the mainstream, especially at sites located downstream of the
36 lake outlets. Therefore, when assessing dam-induced hydrological changes, special attention
37 should be paid to the changes in the storage of tributary lakes and the associated effects in the
38 mainstream. This is of high importance for managing the water resource trade-offs between
39 different water bodies in dam-affected riverine systems.

40

41 **Keywords:** Three Gorges Dam, water regime change, mainstream, tributaries and lakes,
42 river–lake interaction.

43

44

45

46 **1. INTRODUCTION**

47 Dams and reservoirs have made a significant contribution to human development. Global
48 databases show that approximately 50,000 large dams (>15 m high) have been constructed to
49 meet the needs of social and economic development throughout the world (Nilsson, Reidy,
50 Dynesius, & Revenga, 2005; The International Commission On Large Dams (ICOLD), 2007;
51 Lai, Jiang, Yang, & Lu, 2014). However, large dams have profoundly altered the basin-scale
52 hydrological regimes, including severe consequences on the ecological and morphological
53 equilibrium of streams (Power, Dietrich, & Finlay, 1996; Nilsson et al., 2005; Syvitski,
54 Vörösmarty, Kettner, & Green, 2005; Ferrazzi & Botter, 2019). Hence, understanding and
55 quantifying the hydrological impacts of large dams on downstream river systems is of great
56 importance; which is the first step in balancing the benefits of the dam and its negative
57 impacts (Wu, Huang, Han, Xie, & Gao, 2003; Poff, Olden, Merritt, & Pepin, 2007; Hecht,
58 Lacombe, Arias, Dang, & Piman, 2019).

59 Previous studies have revealed a series of hydrological changes caused by dam regulation
60 (James, 1997; Carling, 1988; Jaramillo & Destouni, 2015; Hecht et al., 2019). Existing large-
61 scale investigations have revealed that river impoundments affect the magnitude, frequency,
62 and timing of both high and low flows with an intensity closely related to the storage capacity
63 of reservoirs (Poff et al., 2007; Destouni, Jaramillo, & Prieto, 2012; Ferrazzi & Botter, 2019).
64 These alterations are believed to generate a general reduction of streamflow variability and,
65 consequently, an enhanced homogenization of regional river dynamics (Dai & Lu, 2013; Lai
66 et al., 2014; Dai, Wan, & Yang, 2015; Chen et al., 2016; Lai, Liang, Huang, Jiang, & Lu,
67 2016). Additionally, these natural flow regime changes, combined with their tendency to
68 capture almost the entire sediment load of rivers, profoundly disrupt the equilibrium between
69 water flow and patterns of erosion and sedimentation, leading to a general rearrangement of
70 channel and floodplain morphology throughout entire river networks (Li, Sun, Liu, & Deng,
71 2009; Fang, Han, He, & Chen, 2012; Syvitski et al., 2005; Dai & Liu, 2013; Hu, Yang,
72 Wang, Sun, & Bi, 2009). Moreover, at a global scale, Jaramillo and Destouni (2015) revealed
73 a significant enhancement of dams to evapotranspiration, which emphasizes the global impact
74 of local water-use activities.

75 Notably, significant spatial variations have been observed in dam-induced hydrological
76 changes (Biedenharn & Watson, 1997; Hecht et al., 2019; Xu, Milliman, Yang, & Xu, 2007).
77 For example, Lai et al. (2016) revealed that after the implementation of the Three Gorges
78 Dam (TGD), the dynamic process of flow changes in the middle Changjiang (Yangtze River)
79 downstream of the TGD showed notable spatial heterogeneity. Hecht et al. (2019) found that

80 the hydrological influences of dams on the Mekong River varied in the upper, middle, and
81 lower Mekong Basin. Moreover, they found that the dry-season flow alteration associated
82 with the aforementioned dams could be detected in much further downstream river reaches
83 than the dam's influence on flood control regulation (Hecht et al., 2019). The inner-basin
84 variations of dam impacts can be attributed to many factors (Hecht et al., 2019; Xu &
85 Milliman, 2009; Dai, Yang, Wan, & Li, 2018). For instance, Ferrazzi and Botter (2019)
86 investigated hydrological alterations downstream of 47 isolated dams in the Central Eastern
87 U.S and revealed a strong connection between the anthropogenic use and the hydrological
88 impact of dams. Other studies hold that differences in geology, geomorphology, and river
89 types result in differing river responses (to dam regulations) (Yang et al., 2016; Hecht et al.,
90 2019). Moreover, the local effects of unregulated tributary inflows into the mainstream can
91 also overlay the dam effect at specific sites (Carling, 1988; Dai et al., 2015). Therefore, it is
92 difficult to quantify the inner-basin variation with respect to the dam effect, especially in
93 complex riverine systems. However, developing a comprehensive understanding of such a
94 variation is critical for understanding dam-affected riverine systems; it is a key step towards
95 enhanced water resource management during the post-dam period.

96 The Changjiang (Yangtze River) is the third-longest river in the world, providing water
97 resources, food security, and livelihoods to millions of people and sustaining great aquatic
98 biodiversity (Milliman & Farnsworth, 2013; Wang, Sheng, & Wada, 2017; Jia, Wang, Zhang,
99 Cao, & Fox, 2018; Wang, Sheng, & Tong, 2014). The TGD is one of the largest dams in the
100 world, located at the starting point of the middle reaches of the Changjiang (China Three
101 Gorges Construction Yearbook Commission, 2011). Downstream of the TGD, the midstream
102 Changjiang forms many tributaries and lakes, creating a system with super complex river–
103 lake interactions (Dai & Liu, 2013; Wang, Sheng, & Wada, 2017). Consequently, the inner-
104 basin variations of the TGD impacts in the middle Changjiang basin is contentious and
105 uncertain (Dai & Liu, 2013; Zhao et al., 2017). For instance, some scientists indicated that the
106 effect of TGD would diminish because of 'dilutions' due to the effect of inflows from the
107 downstream tributary water bodies (Guo, Hu, Zhang, & Feng, 2012; Guo, Su, Zhu, & He,
108 2018; Wang et al., 2017). However, a significant increase in drought severity associated with
109 the TGD has been detected quite far downstream in the Poyang Lake (approximately 600 km
110 downstream of the TGD), which has experienced more severe drought instances than the
111 mainstream reaches located immediately downstream of the TGD (Liu, Wu, & Zhao, 2013;
112 Zhang et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2019). Given the potential ecological and livelihood impacts

113 of the TGD in the middle Changjiang basin, a more detailed assessment of the hydrological
114 alterations in the different regions of this area associated with the TGD is required.
115 This study utilized the long-term hydrological data collected from different gauging stations
116 in the middle Changjiang basin to investigate the inner-basin variation of the impacts of the
117 TGD. Our main objective is to provide a deeper understanding of the flow alteration effects
118 of dams on systems of interconnected water bodies located downstream of large dams and
119 reservoirs. Our results can be applied to other complex riverine systems undergoing rapid
120 hydropower development, such as the Amazon, Congo, and Mekong basins. The remainder
121 of this paper is organised as follows. Section 2 briefly introduces the Changjiang basin, along
122 with the data sources and methods used in this study. Section 3 presents the flow alterations
123 with respect to the operation of the TGD at different locations of the Changjiang mainstream,
124 revealing the inner-basin variation of the TGD impacts. It also addresses the hydrological
125 changes in the tributaries and lakes in the downstream area and explains the reasons for the
126 inner-basin variations with a focus on river–tributary (lake) interactions. Section 4 discusses
127 the extent to which confounding changes in lake inflows and lakebed topographies may offset
128 or exacerbate the TGD-induced water regime alterations. The last section presents the
129 conclusions of our study.

130

131 **2. DATA AND METHODS**

132 **2.1 Study area**

133 Changjiang, which runs eastward along the middle portion of China (Fig. 1), is one of the
134 most prominent rivers in the world; it has great ecological and socioeconomic importance
135 (Kanai et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2018). Its catchment covers an area of ~1.9 million km²
136 (19.5% of China’s land area) and produces an annual streamflow of ~900 billion m³ (37% of
137 China’s total streamflow) (Wang, Jiang, Bothe, & Fraedrich, 2007; Yang et al., 2006). It
138 ranks ninth globally in terms of the drainage area and fifth with respect to water discharge
139 (Milliman & Farnsworth, 2013; Zhao, Zhu, & Zhou, 2000). Geographically, the Changjiang
140 basin can be divided into three sections based on the landscape. The upper basin extends from
141 the headwaters to Yichang (see Fig. 1). The middle basin extends from Yichang to Hukou;
142 and the lower basin extends from Hukou to the delta regions (Chen et al., 2014; Shi, 2008).
143 The TGD is approximately 40 km upstream of Yichang. Downstream of the TGD, there are
144 two lakes and one large tributary river draining into the Changjiang: the Dongting Lake,
145 Poyang Lake, and Han River, whose distances from the TGD are ~365, ~970, and ~575 km,
146 respectively (Fig. 1).

147

148 [Insert Figure 1]

149 Both the Dongting and Poyang lakes occupy areas of low elevation immediately south of the
150 middle Changjiang. The Dongting Lake receives flows from four secondary tributaries and
151 conjuncts the Changjiang mainstream at Chenglingji (Ou et al., 2014). Poyang Lake has five
152 secondary tributaries and drains to the Changjiang at Hukou (Shankman, Keim, & Song,
153 2006). In addition, both lakes can receive spill flows from the Changjiang mainstream during
154 wet seasons (Li, Zhang, Werner, Yao, & Ye, 2017). Hence, these lakes play a profound role
155 in buffering the flows of mainstream Changjiang. The Han River is the largest tributary of
156 Changjiang, which lies north of the middle Changjiang basin and has a control gauging
157 station at Huangzhuang (Zhang et al., 2017). The annual average discharges at the
158 Chenglingji (at the Dongting Lake's mouth), Huangzhuang (control station of the Han River),
159 and Hukou (at the Poyang Lake's mouth) are 260.8, 442.8, and 153.6 billion m³, respectively.
160 The Changjiang basin is dominated by a typical subtropical monsoon climate (Wan, Dai, &
161 Shankman, 2018). Its precipitation is mostly concentrated in the wet season during the
162 summer and fall months (April to September), while the winter and spring months (October
163 to March) are dry seasons having low precipitation (Ding & Chan, 2005). In a typical year,
164 the monsoon front marches from the southeast to the northwest; thus, the prime rainy season
165 in the south of Changjiang is generally two to three months earlier than that in the north
166 (Shankman et al., 2006). That is, the southern Dongting and Poyang Lake basins have a peak
167 precipitation season from April to June, whereas the northern Han River basin has a peak
168 precipitation season from July to September (Guo, Hu, & Jiang, 2008). Hence, there are
169 varying blocking forces of the Changjiang mainstream to outflows from the two lakes, thus,
170 leading to varying river-lake interactions in this area (Hu, Feng, Guo, Chen, & Jiang, 2007).
171 To be specific, the lakes can drain into the Changjiang mainstream effortlessly during the
172 spring and winter months, while the lake flows are heavily blocked or even reversed by the
173 flow of the Changjiang during the summer and fall months, especially during peak floods
174 (Guo et al., 2012).

175 The TGD was commissioned in 2003. Its essential functions are flood control, power
176 generation, and the promotion of irrigation and navigation (Qin et al., 2020). The operation of
177 the TGD follows a scheme that stores and flushes water seasonally. In summer (wet season),
178 the TGD does not modulate the Changjiang river's flow, except for peak flood discharges
179 >55,000 m³/s (Zheng, 2016). Beginning in early autumn (late stage of the wet season), the
180 TGD begins to impound water before the end of the wet season, and in winter and spring (dry

181 season), the TGD releases water gradually until June, when the wet season begins (see China
182 Three Gorges Corporation report at <http://www.ctgpc.com.cn/sxslsn>). Thus, due to the dam,
183 the flows of the middle reaches of the Changjiang basin immediately downstream of the TGD
184 have become larger during the dry season and smaller during the wet season. The flow
185 alteration additionally propagates downstream to other sections of the middle Changjiang
186 basin, thus, affecting the water regimes to varying degrees.

187

188 **2.2 Data and methodology**

189 To detect the inner-basin variation of the hydrological effects of the TGD along the middle
190 reaches of the Changjiang and its tributaries and lakes, daily discharges and/or water levels
191 were collected from 12 gauging stations in the middle Changjiang basin downstream of the
192 TGD (Fig. 1). Among these, five stations are located in the mainstream, three stations are
193 located at the mouths of the tributaries and lakes, and four stations are located in the sub-
194 lakes of the two lake basins. The annual water discharge data of the Huangzhuang station (the
195 control station for the Han River) were from 1980 to 2017, with a missing value in 2014.
196 Other data were all continuous from 1980 to 2019, with no missing values. All data were
197 obtained from the Changjiang Water Resources Commission (<http://www.nmic.gov.cn/>).
198 Table 1 summarises the basic information of the gauging stations.

199

200 [Insert Table 1 about here]

201

202 At each station, a linear regression analysis was applied to the hydrological data to examine
203 the trends and change rates during the entire study period and on both sides of the TGD. A
204 Student's t-test at 5% significance level was used to determine the statistical significance of
205 the linear trends. Additionally, the differences in the hydrological data pre- and post-TGD for
206 each gauging station were calculated, which measured the magnitude of the effect of the
207 TGD at that location as a first approximation. On this basis, the inner-basin variation of the
208 TGD effect in the middle Changjiang basin was revealed by comparing the magnitudes of the
209 effect of the TGD at different locations.

210 Additionally, other hydrological and terrain data, including the lake inflows from the
211 secondary tributaries and the channel profiles of the lake outlets were also used in this study.
212 These data were utilised to reflect the effect of concurrent changes in the climate, water
213 demand, and topography of the region on the impact of the TGD in different locations in the

214 middle Changjiang basin. These data were also obtained from the Changjiang Water
215 Resources Commission.

216 **2.3 River–tributary (lake) interaction analysis**

217 The inner-basin variation of the effect of the TGD was assumed to be modulated by the
218 varying interactions between the Changjiang mainstream and its key tributaries and lakes in
219 the middle Changjiang basin. Hence, the river–tributary (lake) interactions in the area were
220 analysed in detail to determine the reasons for the inner-basin variations in the impact of the
221 TGD on this riverine system.

222 The interaction of the Han River with the mainstream can be directly reflected by its
223 discharge into the Changjiang because the ability of a tributary to discharge water into the
224 mainstream depends primarily on the flow conditions of the tributary. Conversely, for the
225 Dongting and Poyang lakes, their interactions with the mainstream were found to be quite
226 complex. The mainstream can affect the hydrological conditions of lakes by blocking their
227 outflows to varying degrees. However, the two lakes can also affect the mainstream by
228 recharging the mainstream with different amounts of water.

229 We used the method illustrated in Fig. 2 to measure the effect of Changjiang on the water
230 regimes of the lakes. The rating curves of each lake outlet were compared under different
231 flow conditions of Changjiang. In each interval, a power–law function was used to fit the
232 rating curve of the outlets as follows (Eq. 1):

233

$$234 \quad H_{\text{lake}} = aQ_{\text{lake}}^b, \quad (1)$$

235

236 where Q_{lake} and H_{lake} are the discharge (m^3/s) and water level (m) of the lake outlet,
237 respectively, and a and b are empirical parameters.

238

239 Next, the average distance between the adjacent rating curves was extracted to measure the
240 lake water-level amplitude at equal lake discharges posed by changing Changjiang flows. For
241 further details, please refer to Dai et al. (2018).

242

243 [Insert Figure 2]

244

245 The water storage of the two lakes determines their abilities to recharge the Changjiang
246 mainstream. Therefore, lake storage changes associated with the aforementioned lake water-

247 level amplitudes were extracted to measure the effect of the two lakes on the downstream
 248 Changjiang mainstream. Specifically, lake storage changes associated with specific lake
 249 water-level amplitudes were deduced using the water level and surface area relationships in
 250 these lakes established in previous studies (Liu et al., 2013; Xu, Kang, & He, 2015). In
 251 Dongting Lake, the lake surface area S_1 is a function of the lake water level h_1 (Xu et al.,
 252 2015) (Eq. 2).

$$254 \quad S_1(h_1) = -3.99h_1^3 + 330.36h_1^2 - 8777.4h_1 + 75845, \quad (2)$$

255
 256 For Poyang Lake, the lake surface area S_2 is a function of the lake water level, h_2 (Liu et al.,
 257 2013) (Eq. 3).

$$259 \quad S_2(h_2) = -7.25h_2^2 + 417.16h_2 - 1772.9, \quad (3)$$

260
 261 The lake storage alteration corresponding to a given lake water-level increment from h_a to h_b
 262 was calculated as follows (Eq. 4):

$$264 \quad V = \int_{h_a}^{h_b} S(h)dh, \quad (4)$$

265
 266 where V is the water storage variation and h_a and h_b are the starting and ending values of the
 267 given water level increments, respectively.

268 269 **3. RESULTS**

270 **3.1 Spatiotemporal changes of Changjiang mainstream flow**

271 The annual flow of the Changjiang averaged from 1980 to 2019 at different gauging stations
 272 is shown in Fig. 3. It is noted that the Changjiang's flow exhibited a notable increase from
 273 upstream to downstream, with the two largest increments occurring at locations downstream
 274 of the outlets of the Dongting and Poyang lakes. The only decrease in the Changjiang flow
 275 while moving downstream occurred between the Zhicheng and Jianli stations, which is
 276 downstream of the seasonally spilling way of the Dongting Lake. The decreased river flow
 277 between the Zhicheng and Jianli stations was mainly caused by the spill flows from the
 278 Changjiang mainstream into the Dongting Lake in the flood season. That is, variations in the

279 flow of the Changjiang are closely related to the distribution of its tributaries and lakes (see
280 Fig. 1 for detailed station locations relative to the tributaries and lakes). Thus, the tributary
281 water bodies, especially the two lakes, play important roles in maintaining the Changjiang
282 mainstream discharge.

283

284 [Insert Figure 3]

285

286 The linear trends of the flow of the Changjiang mainstream at different gauging stations from
287 1980 to 2019 are shown in Fig. 4 (red dashed lines) and Table 2. The yellow and green
288 dashed lines in Fig. 4 also mark the linear trends of the river flows from 1980 to 2002 (pre-
289 TGD) and 2003 to 2019 (post-TGD), respectively. As shown by the red dashed lines, there
290 were downward trends in the mainstream flow time series at all the gauging stations from
291 1980 to 2019, among which the most significant decrease occurred at the Zhicheng station
292 ($p < 0.05$) with a change rate of $-17 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3/\text{year}$ (Table 2). However, the river flows from
293 1980 to 2002 (marked by the yellow dashed lines) showed no significant tendencies at these
294 stations, whereas the river flows from 2003 to 2019 (marked by the green dashed lines)
295 showed significant increasing tendencies at all the gauging stations (all the significant levels
296 were smaller than 0.01). Hence, we conclude that the decreasing trends of the Changjiang's
297 flow during 1980–2019 were mainly caused by the “drop” in the flow of the Changjiang river
298 due to the initial impounding of the TGD in 2003, when the dam started operation. Other
299 factors of the decrease of average annual flow during the post TGD period, like the altered
300 river–lake interactions and the decreased lake inflows from upstream catchments, etc. are
301 interpreted in the Discussion section.

302

303 [Insert Figure 4]

304 [Insert Table 2 about here]

305

306 **3.2 Effect and variation of Three Gorges Dam (TGD) along Changjiang mainstream**

307 Figure 5 compares the changes in the flow of the Changjiang river in the post-TGD period
308 compared to that of the 1980 to 2002 average (before TGD) at multiple time scales. As
309 shown in Fig. 5a, the daily cumulative flow changes in the river at different gauging stations
310 showed a similar variation pattern i.e., the river flow increased slightly during the dry season
311 and decreased substantially during the wet season. This was a direct effect of the seasonal

312 impounding and the release of water from the TGD. That is, the TGD increased Changjiang's
313 flow during the dry season and decreased it during the wet season.

314

315 The seasonal changes in the flow of the Changjiang river after the functioning of the TGD are
316 shown in Fig. 5b. It can be seen that the increasing effect of the TGD on the dry-season flow
317 of the Changjiang river alleviated quickly from upstream to downstream, while its decreasing
318 effect on the wet-season flow of the Changjiang river aggravated gradually along the
319 mainstream. Furthermore, it was found that both the alleviation and aggravation of its effect
320 were primarily attributed to the non-stationary changes in the Changjiang's flow at the
321 Luoshan and Datong stations, which are downstream of the Dongting and Poyang lakes,
322 respectively. Specifically, the dry-season flow of the Changjiang river increased by 177×10^8
323 m^3 at Luoshan station and $98 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$ at Datong station after the TGD, both of which were
324 lower than those at the other stations ($225 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$ on average). Moreover, the decreases in
325 the flow of the Changjiang river during the wet season at the two stations were 599×10^8 and
326 $763 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$, respectively, which were much larger than those at other stations ($539 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$
327 on average). That is, at reaches downstream of the two lakes, the dry-season flow-increasing
328 effect of the TGD was offset, and the wet-season flow-decreasing effect of the TGD was
329 exacerbated. In contrast, its tributary, Han River, offset the external effect of the two lakes on
330 the TGD's effect to some extent.

331

332 Figure 5c shows the impact of the TGD on the annual flow of the Changjiang river, which is
333 like that on the wet-season flow of the Changjiang mainstream. The TGD operations caused a
334 general reduction in the flow of the Changjiang river. Moreover, the reduction effect was
335 notably exacerbated downstream of the two lakes, but was slightly diluted at the downstream
336 reaches of the tributary. Overall, the TGD effect gradually aggravated while moving
337 downstream. However, it is noted that although the TGD has a huge regulating capacity of
338 22.15 km^3 , it still stores water during high-flow periods and releases the stored water volumes
339 during low-flow periods. The impacts of the TGD on water regimes during the high-flow
340 periods and that on the water regimes during the low-flow periods should be the same over a
341 longer period, which is not what we observed. Thus, there must be an external driving force
342 that breaks the balance between the seasonal impounding and the release of water from the
343 TGD. Because the inner-basin variations of the TGD impacts are closely related to the
344 distribution of the tributary water bodies in the middle Changjiang basin, we suspect that
345 hydrological changes in the tributaries and lakes could be the primary external driving force

346 for the inner-basin variation of the TGD impacts. Hence, the following section investigates
347 the hydrological changes in these tributaries and lakes after the TGD, along with their roles in
348 modifying the intensity of the impacts of the TGD.

349 [Insert Figure 5]

350

351 **3.3 Hydrological changes in tributaries and lakes of Changjiang**

352 Figure 6 shows the hydrological changes in the tributary water bodies of the Changjiang after
353 the operation of the TGD. Results show that there were downward trends in both the water-
354 level time series of the two lakes and the water discharge time series of the Han River during
355 the entire study period (Figs. 6a–6c). However, the downward trends of the Dongting
356 ($p=0.10$) and Poyang ($p< 0.01$) lakes were more significant than that of the Han River
357 ($p=0.16$). The comparisons in the lake water levels and river discharges before and after the
358 implementation of the TGD also support this conclusion (Figs. 6d–6f). Thus, it can be seen
359 that the Han River could recharge the Changjiang mainstream after the TGD operation as it
360 did before the TGD operation. However, severe droughts occurred in the two lakes after the
361 TGD operation, weakening their ability to recharge the mainstream. This is why the annual
362 mainstream flow reduction associated with the TGD was profoundly exacerbated at the
363 downstream reaches of the lakes and was partially offset at the downstream reaches of the
364 tributary.

365

366 [Insert Figure 6]

367

368 To reveal the observed droughts of Dongting and Poyang lakes after the TGD operations, a
369 detailed comparison of their daily water levels before and after the operation of the TGD was
370 conducted (Fig. 7). It was observed that the water levels post the implementation of the TGD
371 were much lower than those before the TGD was built during most of the year in both the
372 lakes. In particular, the most severe lake water level drops occurred in October and early
373 November, which corresponded to the impounding of the TGD at the end of the wet season.
374 During this period, the maximum water level drops in the Dongting and Poyang lakes were
375 2.23 and 2.69 m, respectively, which were more severe than those of other dates. In addition,
376 the effect of the TGD in raising the water levels of those lakes during the dry season was also
377 detected, but the effect was weak. Specifically, there were only 68 days in a year during
378 which the water levels of the Dongting Lake were higher than those before the operation of
379 the TGD, while that for Poyang Lake only lasted nine days in a year.

380

381 [Insert Figure 7]

382

383 **3.4 Three Gorges Dam's contribution to severe lake droughts**

384 As mentioned above, the reduced flow of the Changjiang river post the functioning of the
385 TGD could weaken its blocking effect on the outflow of the lakes. Hence, the lake droughts
386 in the recent two decades may also be attributed to the TGD. This section further analyses the
387 extent to which the Changjiang flow condition can affect the water levels and water storage
388 of the two lakes (Fig. 8).

389 As shown in Fig. 8a, an increase in the flow of the Changjiang mainstream by an increase of
390 $3000 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ led to a water level rise of 0.31–1.47 m in the Dongting Lake and 0.20–0.76 m in
391 the Poyang Lake. Regarding the lake storages, each $3000 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ change in the flow of the
392 Changjiang mainstream led to a water storage change of 6.05–14.13 million m^3 in the
393 Dongting Lake and 3.32–21.55 million m^3 in the Poyang Lake. This indicates that the
394 hydrological conditions of the two lakes are significantly influenced by the Changjiang. This
395 is because the reducing/increasing flow of the Changjiang mainstream is able to cause
396 subsequent water-level drops/rises at the mouths of the lakes, which additionally
397 enlarges/shrinks the water-level gradients in the river–lake connecting channels, thereby
398 fostering faster/slower outflows from the lakes. This results in lowered/elevated water levels
399 and decreased/increased water storage.

400 Thus, the massive reduction in the flow of Changjiang during the wet season post the
401 operation of the TGD has rapidly depleted the lake water storage of the two lakes (during the
402 wet season), which has resulted in severe lake droughts. Although the following slight
403 increase in the flow of the Changjiang during the dry season reinforces the blocking effect on
404 the lake outflows to some extent, it is not able to offset the lost water storage inside the lakes,
405 and thus, severe lake droughts continually occur during the dry seasons. This is why year-
406 round droughts occur in both the lakes post the TGD's operation.

407 [Insert Figure 8]

408

409 **4. DISCUSSION**

410 In this study, we found that the effect of the TGD on the water regimes in the middle
411 Changjiang basin was not confined to the direct mainstream flow regulation and included the
412 indirect influence of altering water regimes of the tributary lakes. Among these effects, the
413 effect of the TGD on the mainstream flow was more profound at the seasonal scale, while

414 that on the water regimes of the lakes was more pronounced at the annual scale. That is, the
415 TGD primarily modulated the timing and magnitude of the flow in the mainstream. This is
416 partly caused by the fact the large TGD dam started impounding water in 2003, and partly
417 caused by other factors like after the operation of the TGD, the capacity of the tributary lakes
418 to recharge the Changjiang mainstream is lowered. However, in the lakes, the TGD not only
419 changed the seasonal allocation of water resources but also caused a sharp reduction in the
420 total amount of the resources. This variation was inherently caused by the expedited
421 propagation of lake floods to the delta by the impounding of the TGD at the end of the wet
422 season. Therefore, we suggest that dam-induced hydrological processes are affected by not
423 only the magnitude of river discharge but also its timing, duration, and interactions with other
424 water bodies.

425

426 However, the water volume reductions in the Dongting and Poyang lakes in the recent two
427 decades are also partly attributed to factors other than the regulation of the TGD. These
428 factors could include lake inflow changes due to variations of climate in the lake drainage
429 basins, along with channel capacity changes in the river–lake connecting channels due to
430 sand mining and lakebed erosion, etc. Therefore, variations in the lake inflows and lake
431 channel profiles were examined to reveal whether these concurrent drivers have exacerbated
432 or offset the severe lake droughts stemming from the TGD (Table 3 and Fig. 9).

433 Table 3 shows the parameters of the linear trend analysis for the inflows of the Dongting and
434 Poyang lakes, and the negative slopes (except for summer in both the lakes and fall in the
435 Poyang Lake) show downward trends from 1980 to 2019, although these trends are in Not
436 statistically significant. This conclusion coincides with the study of Liu et al. (2013), who
437 revealed that from 1973 to 2010, the annual precipitation in the Poyang Lake basin decreased
438 slightly, and its annual evapotranspiration increased slightly. Thus, the Poyang Lake inflows
439 from its secondary tributaries decreased slightly. Moreover, the reductions in the water spills
440 from the Changjiang mainstream into the two lakes also contributed to lake droughts post the
441 TGD operations. For instance, Guo et al. (2012), Zhang et al. (2012), and Zhao et al. (2017)
442 concluded that the diversion of water from Changjiang to the Dongting Lake via the three
443 inlets and the reverse flow from Changjiang to the Poyang Lake were both significantly
444 reduced since the operation of the TGD, which was also related to the lowered flow of the
445 Changjiang river due to the TGD operations.

446 Regarding the channel capacities of the lake outlets, it was found that the channel profile
447 changes at the two lake outlets varied widely (Fig. 9). The lakebed erosion at the Dongting

448 Lake outlet was found to be minimal and occurred only in narrow channels, with the deepest
449 value being 1.76 m over the three decades considered in this study. At the Poyang Lake
450 outlet, however, dramatic lakebed erosion occurred in nearly one-third of the channel, and the
451 maximum erosion depth was greater than 5 m. Hence, the enlarged channel capacity at the
452 Poyang Lake outlet fostered larger lake outflows and exacerbated the lake storage losses
453 induced by the TGD. Overall, we found that the lake inflow decreased in the two lakes, and
454 the channel capacity increased at the Poyang Lake outlet; both exert additional modifications
455 on the TGD-induced lake droughts, which have additionally worsened the water recession in
456 the downstream Changjiang mainstream.

457 [Insert Table 3 about here]

458

459 [Insert Figure 9]

460

461 **5. CONCLUSIONS**

462 In this study, we used the hydrological data recorded over 40 years (1980–2019) to detect the
463 water regime changes along the Changjiang mainstream and its key tributary water bodies
464 after the operation of the TGD. The reasons for the inner-basin variations in the TGD effect
465 are explained by the altered river–lake interactions in the large-scale river-lake system. In
466 addition, the effect of the confounding factors, including the changes in the inflow and outlet
467 capacities of the lake, in modulating the intensity of the TGD impact are discussed. The
468 primary conclusions are as follows:

469 (1) The TGD has slightly increased the flow of the Changjiang during the dry season and
470 remarkably decreased it during the wet season. Additionally, the increasing effect of the TGD
471 on the flow of the Changjiang during the dry season alleviated quickly downstream of the
472 TGD, whereas, during the wet season, the decreasing effect of the TGD on the flow of the
473 Changjiang river aggravated gradually while moving downstream.

474 (2) The inner-basin variation of the TGD effect can be well explained by the hydrological
475 changes in the tributaries and lakes of Changjiang after the TGD operations. Specifically, as
476 the water discharges of the Han River showed no significant changes after TGD operations,
477 the TGD effect diminished at the downstream reaches (near the Han River) because it
478 received more annual runoff and less of the storage of the TGD. However, in the Dongting
479 and Poyang lakes, severe droughts occurred during both the dry and wet seasons, which
480 additionally propagated downstream into the mainstream and offset its dry-season flow
481 augmentation and exacerbated its wet-season flow reduction.

482 (3) The severe droughts in the Dongting and Poyang lakes after the operation of the TGD
483 were primarily attributed to the impounding of the TGD in the wet season because it
484 significantly reduced the mainstream flow and rapidly depleted the storage capacity of the
485 lakes. In addition, the lake inflow reductions in the two lakes and the channel capacity that
486 showed an increase at the Poyang Lake outlet contributed to the TGD-induced lake droughts.

487

488 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

489 We thank the Changjiang Water Resources Commission of China for providing the data used
490 in this study. This work was supported by the National Scientific Foundation of China (grant
491 number 41901114); the National Postdoctoral Program for Innovative Talent of China (grant
492 number BX20190107); and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of
493 China (grant number B200202024).

494

495 **DATA AVAILABILITY**

496 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors
497 (daixue@hhu.edu.cn or rrwan@niglas.ac.cn) upon reasonable request.

498

499

500 **REFERENCES**

- 501 Biedenharn, D. S., & Watson, C. C. (1997). Stage Adjustment in the Lower Mississippi
502 River, USA. *Regulated Rivers Research & Management* 13(6), 517–536.
503 [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-1646\(199711/12\)13:63.0.CO;2-2](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1646(199711/12)13:63.0.CO;2-2)
- 504 Carling, P. (1988). Channel change and sediment transport in regulated U.K. rivers.
505 *Regulated Rivers Research & Management* 2(3), 369–387.
506 <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrr.3450020313>
- 507 Chen, J., Finlayson, B. L., Wei, T. Y., Sun, Q. L., Webber, M., Li, M. T., & Chen, Z. Y.
508 (2016). Changes in monthly flows in the Yangtze River, China-with special reference to the
509 Three Gorges Dam. *Journal of Hydrology*, 536, 293–301.
510 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.03.008>
- 511 Chen, J., Wu, X., Finlayson, B. L., Webber, M., Wei, T., Li, M., & Chen, Z. (2014).
512 Variability and trend in the hydrology of the Yangtze River, China: annual precipitation and
513 runoff. *Journal of Hydrology*, 513, 403–412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.03.044>

514 China Three Gorges Construction Yearbook Commission. (2011). Three Gorges
515 Construction Yearbook 2011 [in Chinese], p. 309, Publisher of China Three Gorges
516 Construction Yearbook, Yichang, Hubei, China.

517 Dai, S. B., & Lu, X. X. (2013). Sediment load change in the Yangtze River (Changjiang): A
518 review. *Geomorphology*, 215: 60–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.05.027>

519 Dai, X., Wan, R. R., & Yang, G. S. (2015). Non-stationary water-level fluctuation in China's
520 Poyang Lake and its interactions with Yangtze River. *Journal of Geographical Sciences*,
521 25(3), 274–288. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11442-015-1167-x>

522 Dai, X., Yang, G. S., Wan, R. R., & Li, Y. Y. (2018). The effect of the Changjiang River on
523 water regimes of its tributary Lake East Dongting. *Journal of Geographical Sciences*, 28(8),
524 1072–1084. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11442-018-1542-5>

525 Dai, Z., & Liu, J. T. (2013). Impacts of large dams on downstream fluvial sedimentation: An
526 example of the Three Gorges Dam (TGD) on the Changjiang (Yangtze River). *Journal of*
527 *Hydrology*, 480(4), 10–18.

528 Destouni, G., Jaramillo, F., & Prieto, C. (2012). Hydroclimatic shifts driven by human water
529 use for food and energy production. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(3), 213–217.
530 <https://doi.org/10.1038/NCLIMATE1719>

531 Ding, Y. H., & Chan, J. C. (2005). The East Asian summer monsoon: an overview.
532 *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, 89(1), 117–142. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00703-005-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00703-005-0125-z)
533 [0125-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00703-005-0125-z)

534 Fang, H. W., Han, D., He, G., & Chen, M. (2012). Flood management selections for the
535 Yangtze River midstream after the Three Gorges Project operation. *Journal of Hydrology*,
536 432–433, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.01.042>

537 Ferrazzi, M., & Botter, G. (2019). Contrasting signatures of distinct human water uses in
538 regulated flow regimes. *Environmental Research Communications*, 1, 071003.
539 <https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ab3324>

540 Guo, H., Hu, Q., & Jiang, T. (2008). Annual and seasonal streamflow responses to climate
541 and land-cover changes in the Poyang Lake basin, China. *Journal of Hydrology*, 355, 106–
542 122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.03.020>

543 Guo, H., Hu, Q., Zhang, Q., & Feng, S. (2012). Effects of the Three Gorges Dam on Yangtze
544 River flow and river interaction with Poyang Lake, China: 2003–2008. *Journal of*
545 *Hydrology*, 416–417, 19–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.11.027>

546 Guo, L. C., Su, N., Zhu, C. Y., & He, Q. (2018). How have the river discharges and sediment
547 loads changed in the Changjiang River basin downstream of the Three Gorges Dam? *Journal*
548 *of Hydrology*, 560, 259–274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.03.035>

549 Hecht, J. S., Lacombe, G., Arias, M. E., Dang, T. D., & Piman, T. (2019). Hydropower dams
550 of the Mekong River basin: A review of their hydrological impacts. *Journal of Hydrology*,
551 568, 285–300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.10.045>

552 Hu, B. Q., Yang, Z. S., Wang, H. J., Sun, X. X., & Bi, N. S. (2009). Sedimentation in the
553 Three Gorges Dam and its impact on the sediment flux from the Changjiang (Yangtze
554 River), China. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, 6(4), 5177–5204.
555 <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-13-2253-2009>

556 Hu, Q., Feng, S., Guo, H., Chen, G. Y., & Jiang, T. (2007). Interactions of the Yangtze river
557 flow and hydrologic processes of the Poyang Lake, China. *Journal of Hydrology*, 347(1–2),
558 90–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.09.005>

559 James, A. L. (1997). Channel incision on the Lower American River, California, from
560 streamflow gage records. *Water Resources Research*, 33(3), 485–490. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/96WR03685)
561 10.1029/96WR03685

562 Jaramillo, F., & Destouni, G. (2015). Local flow regulation and irrigation raise global human
563 water consumption and footprint. *Science*, 350(6265), 1248–1251.
564 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad1010>

565 Jia, Q., Wang, X., Zhang, Y., Cao, L., & Fox, A. D. (2018). Drivers of waterbird
566 communities and their declines on Yangtze River floodplain lakes. *Biological Conservation*,
567 218, 240–246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.12.029>

568 Kanai, Y., Ueta, M., Germogenov, N., Nagendran, M., Mita, N., & Higuchi, H. (2002).
569 Migration routes and important resting areas of Siberian cranes (*Grus leucogeranus*) between
570 northeastern Siberia and China as revealed by satellite tracking. *Biological Conservation*,
571 106, 339–46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207\(01\)00259-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(01)00259-2)

572 Lai, X. J., Jiang, J. H., Yang, G. S., & Lu, X. X. (2014). Should the Three Gorges Dam be
573 blamed for the extremely low water levels in the middle–lower Yangtze River? *Hydrological*
574 *Processes*, 28(1), 150–160. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10077>

575 Lai, X. J., Liang, Q. H., Huang, Q., Jiang, J. H., & Lu, X. X. (2016). Numerical evaluation of
576 flow regime changes induced by the Three Gorges Dam in the Middle Yangtze. *Hydrology*
577 *Research*, 47(S1): 149–160. <https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2016.158>

578 Li, Y., Sun, Z., Liu, Y., & Deng, J. (2009). Channel Degradation Downstream from the
579 Three Gorges Project and Its Impacts on Flood Level. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*,
580 135(9), 718–728. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9429\(2009\)135:9\(718\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2009)135:9(718))

581 Li, Y., Zhang, Q., Werner, A. D., Yao, J., & Ye, X. C. (2017). The influence of river-to-lake
582 backflow on the hydrodynamics of a large floodplain-lake system (Poyang Lake, China).
583 *Hydrological Processes*, 31(1), 117–132. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10979>

584 Liu, Y. B., Wu, G. P., & Zhao, X. S. (2013). Recent declines in China's largest freshwater
585 lake: trend or regime shift? *Environmental Research. Letters*, 8, 014010.
586 <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/014010>

587 Milliman, J. D., & Farnsworth, K. L. (2013). *River Discharge to the Coastal Ocean: A*
588 *Global Synthesis*. Cambridge University Press.

589 Nilsson, C., Reidy, C. A., Dynesius, M., & Revenga, C. (2005). Fragmentation and flow
590 regulation of the world's large river systems. *Science*, 308, 405–408.
591 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1107887>

592 Ou, C. M., Li, J. B., Zhou, Y. Q., Cheng, W. Y., Yang, Y., & Zhao, Z. H. (2014). Evolution
593 characters of water exchange abilities between Dongting Lake and Yangtze River. *Journal of*
594 *Geographical Sciences*, 24(4), 731–745. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11442-014-1116-0>

595 Poff, N. L., Olden, J. D., Merritt, D. M., & Pepin, D. M. (2007). Homogenization of regional
596 river dynamics by dams and global biodiversity implications. *Proceedings of the National*
597 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104(14), 5732–5737.
598 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0609812104>

599 Power, M. E., Dietrich, W. E., & Finlay, J. C. (1996). Dams and downstream aquatic
600 biodiversity: potential food web consequences of hydrologic and geomorphic change.
601 *Environmental Management*, 20, 887–895. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01205969>

602 Qin, P. C., Xu, H. M., Liu, M., Du, L. M., Xiao, C., Liu, L., & Tarroia, B. (2020). Climate
603 change impacts on Three Gorges Reservoir impoundment and hydropower generation.
604 *Journal of Hydrology*, 580, 123922. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.123922>

605 Shankman, D., Keim, B. D., & Song, J. (2006). Flood frequency in China's Poyang Lake
606 region: Trends and teleconnections. *International Journal of Climatology*, 26(9), 1255–1266.
607 <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1307>

608 Shi, C. X. (2008). Scaling effects on sediment yield in the upper Yangtze River.
609 *Geographical Research*, 27(4), 800–811. <https://doi.org/10.11821/yj2008040008>

610 Syvitski, J. P. M., Vörösmarty, C. J., Kettner, A. J., & Green, P. (2005). Impact of Humans
611 on the Flux of Terrestrial Sediment to the Global Coastal Ocean. *Science*, *308*, 376–380.
612 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1109454>

613 The International Commission On Large Dams (ICOLD). (2007). Dams and the World
614 Water. Available at: <http://www.icold-cigb.org>

615 Wan, R. R., Dai, X., & Shankman, D. (2019). Vegetation response to hydrologic changes in
616 Poyang Lake, China. *Wetlands*, *39*, 99–112. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-018-1046-1>

617 Wang, J., Sheng, Y., & Tong, T. S. D. (2014). Monitoring decadal lake dynamics across the
618 Yangtze Basin downstream of Three Gorges Dam. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *152*,
619 251–269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.06.004>

620 Wang, J., Sheng, Y., & Wada, Y. (2017). Little impact of the Three Gorges Dam on recent
621 decadal lake decline across China's Yangtze Plain. *Water Resources Research*, *53*(5), 3854–
622 3877. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR019817>

623 Wang, Y., Jiang, T., Bothe, O., & Fraedrich, K. (2007). Changes of pan evaporation and
624 reference evapotranspiration in the Yangtze River basin. *Theoretical and Applied*
625 *Climatology*, *90*, 13–23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-006-0276-y>

626 Wu, J., Huang, J., Han, X., Xie, Z., & Gao, X. (2003). Three-Gorges Dam—Experiment in
627 Habitat Fragmentation? *Science*, *300*, 1239–1240. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1083312>

628 World Commission on Dams. (2000). Dams and development: A new framework for
629 decision-making. Earthscan Publications Ltd: London.

630 Xu, K. H., & Milliman, J. D. (2009). Seasonal variations of sediment discharge from the
631 Yangtze River before and after impoundment of the Three Gorges Dam. *Geomorphology*,
632 *104*(3–4), 276–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.09.004>

633 Xu, K. H., Milliman, J. D., Yang, Z. S., & Xu, H. (2007). Climatic and Anthropogenic
634 Impacts on the Water and Sediment Discharge from the Yangtze River (Changjiang), 1950–
635 2005. In: Gupta, A. (Ed.), Large Rivers: Geomorphology and Management. John Wiley &
636 Sons, pp. 609–626

637 Xu, W. P., Kang, W. X., & He, J. N. (2015). Temporal and spatial variation of storage
638 capacity in Dongting Lake. *Journal of soil and water conservation*, *29*(3), 62–67. (in Chinese
639 with English abstract)

640 Yang, G., Zhang, Q., Wan, R., Lai, X., Jiang, X., Li, Ling., Dai, X., Lei, G., Chen, J., & Lu,
641 Y. (2016). Lake hydrology, water quality and ecology impacts of altered river-lake

642 interactions: advances in research on the middle Yangtze River. *Hydrology Research*,
643 47(S1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2016.003>

644 Yang, G., & Weng, L. (2007). Yangtze conservation and development report 2007. Beijing:
645 China Science Publishing & Media Ltd. (in Chinese with English abstract)

646 Yang, Z. S., Wang, H. J., Saito, Y., Milliman, J. D., Xu, K. H., Qiao, S., & Shi, G. (2006).
647 Dam impacts on the Changjiang (Yangtze) River sediment discharge to the sea: the past 55
648 years and after the Three Gorges Dam. *Water Resources Research*, 42, W04407.
649 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005wr003970>

650 Zhang, J. H., Sun, M. K., Deng, Z. M., Lu, J., Wang, D., Chen, L., & Liu, X. (2017). Runoff
651 and Sediment Response to Cascade Hydropower Exploitation in the Middle and Lower Han
652 River, China. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering Theory Methods & Applications*,
653 8785236. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/8785236>

654 Zhang, Q., Li, L., Wang, Y. G., Werner, A. D., Xin, P., Jiang, T., & Barry, D. A. (2012). Has
655 the Three-Gorges Dam made the Poyang Lake wetlands wetter and drier? *Geophysical
656 Research Letters*, 39, L20402. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL053431>.

657 Zhang, Z., Chen, X., Xu, C. Y., Hong, Y., Hardy, J., & Sun, Z. (2015). Examining the
658 influence of river–lake interaction on the drought and water resources in the Poyang Lake
659 basin. *Journal of Hydrology*, 522, 510–521. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.01.008>

660 Zhao, C. H., Zhu, Z. H., & Zhou, D. Z. (2000). Worldwide Rivers and Dams. China Water
661 Conservancy and Hydroelectric Press, Beijing, p. 1059.

662 Zhao, Y., Zou, X., Liu, Q., Yao, Y., Li, Y., Wu, X., Wang, C., Yu, W., & Wang, T. (2017).
663 Assessing natural and anthropogenic influences on water discharge and sediment load in the
664 Yangtze River, China. *Science of The Total Environment*, 607–608, 920–932.
665 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.002>

666 Zheng, S. R. (2016). Reflections on the Three Gorges Project since its operation.
667 *Engineering*, 2, 389–397. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENG.2016.04.002>

668 Zhou, Y., Ma, J., Zhang, Y., Li, J., Feng, L., Zhang, Y., ..., Jeppesen, E. (2019). Influence of
669 the three Gorges Reservoir on the shrinkage of China's two largest freshwater lakes. *Global
670 and Planetary Change*, 177, 45–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2019.03.014>

671