

1 **Osteonecrosis of the Jaw among Patients with Cancer Treated with**
2 **Denosumab or Zoledronic Acid: Results of a Regulator-mandated**
3 **Postauthorization Safety Cohort Study in Denmark, Norway, and Sweden**
4

5 Running title: Antiresorptive treatment and ONJ

6 Vera Ehrenstein, MPH, DSc¹ ORCHID 0000-0002-3415-3254
7 Uffe Heide-Jørgensen, PhD¹ ORCHID
8 Morten Schiødt, DDS, PhD^{2*} ORCHID 0000-0001-9154-3956
9 Olof Akre, MD, PhD³ ORCHID 0000-0002-3750-3029
10 Bente Brokstad Herlofson, DDS PhD⁴ ORCHID 0000-0002-9621-9263
11 Svein Hansen, MD⁵ ORCHID
12 Cecilia Larsson Wexell, DDS, PhD⁶ ORCHID 0000-0001-9647-1090
13 Sven Erik Nørholt, DDS, PhD⁷ ORCHID 0000-0003-2664-3787
14 Steinar Tretli, PhD^{5†} ORCHID 0000-0002-2116-0041
15 Anders Kjellman, MD, PhD³ ORCHID 0000-0002-9080-9027
16 Anthony Glennane, MD, PhD⁸
17 Kimberly Lowe, MD, PhD⁹ ORCHID 0000-0001-6827-1796
18 Henrik T. Sørensen, MD, PhD, DMSc¹ ORCHID 0000-0003-4299-7040
19

20 1 Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Institute of Clinical
21 Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

22 2 Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

23 3 Urology Department, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

24 4 Department of Oral Surgery and Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Oslo,
25 Norway and Department of Otorhinolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery Division for Head,
26 Neck and Reconstructive Surgery, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

27 5 Department of Research, Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo, Norway

28 6 Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, and
29 Department of Biomaterials, Sahlgrenska Academy at University of Gothenburg, Göteborg,
30 Sweden.

31 7 Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Aarhus University Hospital and Department
32 of Dentistry and Oral Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus Denmark

33 8 Clinical Development, Amgen Inc, Thousand Oaks, California, USA

34 9 Center for Observational Research, Amgen Inc, Thousand Oaks, California, USA

35 Corresponding author Dr. Vera Ehrenstein, Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Olof
36 Palmes Allé 43-45, Aarhus N, Denmark. Email ve@clin.au.dk; phone +45 87 16 72 12

37 **Funding** This study was funded by Amgen Inc through institutional funding to all authors'
38 institutions except SH and ST. SH and ST received subcontractor institutional funding from
39 Aarhus University.

* Deceased

† Presently retired

1 **Conflict of interest statement**

2 VE, UHJ, MS, OA, BBH, CLW, SEN, AK, and HTS are or were at the time of the study
3 employed at their respective institutions, which received institutional research funding from
4 Amgen Inc for conducting this study. SH and ST are or were at the time the study was
5 conducted employed at the Cancer Registry of Norway, which received funding from Aarhus
6 University to perform specific study tasks as a subcontractor and have nothing to disclose.
7 AG and KL are employees and stockholders of Amgen Inc.

8

9 **Author contributions**

10 All authors made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the study and to
11 interpretation of data. UHJ conducted the data analysis. VE drafted the manuscript, and all
12 authors revised it critically for important intellectual content. All authors gave their final
13 approval of the version to be published and have agreed to be accountable for all aspects of
14 the study in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the
15 work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

16

1 **Lay summary (91/100 words)**

2 Denosumab and zoledronic acid reduce risk of bone fractures, pain, and surgery in patients
3 with advanced cancers involving bone. Osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) – death of a jaw
4 bone – is a known side effect of treatment with denosumab or zoledronic acid. We examined
5 almost 2,900 denosumab- or zoledronic acid-treated patients with cancer in Denmark,
6 Norway, and Sweden. Over five years, ONJ developed in 5.7% of patients whose initial
7 treatment was denosumab, 1.4% of patients whose initial treatment was zoledronic acid; and
8 6.6% of patients who switched from zoledronic acid to denosumab.

9 **Precis for use in the Table of Contents**

10 This was a non-randomized cohort study in Denmark, Norway, and Sweden, estimating
11 incidence proportions and incidence rates of osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) among patients
12 with cancer initiating denosumab or zoledronic acid for prevention of skeletal-related events
13 in routine clinical practice. Over five years following treatment initiation, incidence
14 proportions of ONJ were 5.7% among treatment naïve denosumab initiators, 1.4% among
15 treatment naïve zoledronic acid initiators; and 6.6% among patients switching to denosumab
16 after no more than 24 monthly bisphosphonate doses; the corresponding incidence rates
17 were 3.0, 1.0, and 4.3 per 100 person-years.

18 **Acknowledgments**

19 We thank the research nurses Henriette Kristoffersen (Department of Clinical Epidemiology,
20 Aarhus University Hospital) and Kristina Kymre (The Cancer Registry of Norway); research
21 assistant Eivind Igland (Department of Oral Surgery and Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry,
22 University of Oslo, Norway). The authors gratefully acknowledge all colleagues who have
23 over years contributed to the ONJ database, including colleagues at departments of Oral
24 and Maxillofacial Surgery and Oral Medicine from Sweden and Norway who have
25 contributed with patients to the study. Thanks also to Folktandvården, Region Västra
26 Götaland, Sweden. We thank Helle Vester, MA (Aarhus University Hospital), for outstanding
27 administrative support throughout the study.

1 **Manuscript statistics**

2 Text including title page, abstract, main text, references, figure legends, and tables:

3 4,9~~3130~~3130/5,000

4 Tables: 3

5 Figures: 2

6 Supporting files: 1

7

1 **ABSTRACT (247/250 words)**

2 **BACKGROUND**

3 Osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) is an adverse effect of antiresorptive treatment. We
4 estimated incidence proportions and incidence rates of ONJ in cancer patients with bone
5 metastases from solid tumors treated for prevention of skeletal-related events in routine
6 clinical practice.

7 **METHODS**

8 We conducted a cohort study in Denmark, Norway, and Sweden, in 2011–2018, including
9 three treatment cohorts: Denosumab Inception Cohort (DEIC), Zoledronic Acid Inception
10 Cohort (ZAIC), and Denosumab-switch Cohort (DESC). We estimated 1- to 5-year incidence
11 proportions and incidence rates of ONJ, overall, by cancer site (breast, prostate, or other
12 solid tumor), and by country. ONJ diagnoses were confirmed by adjudication.

13 **RESULTS**

14 There were 1,340 patients in the DEIC, 1,352 in the ZAIC, and 408 in the DESC. Median
15 age in the three cohorts was 70.4, 68.9, and 70.2 years, the proportions of men were 72.6%,
16 53.8%, and 48.3%; and median follow-up was 19.8, 12.9, and 13.3 months. The 5-year
17 incidence proportions (95% confidence interval [CI]) of ONJ were 5.7% (4.4, 7.3) in the
18 DEIC, 1.4% (0.8, 2.3) in the ZAIC, and 6.6% (4.2, 10.0) in the DESC. The corresponding
19 incidence rates per 100 person-years were 3.0 (2.3, 3.7), 1.0 (0.6, 1.5), and 4.3 (2.8, 6.3).
20 Incidence proportions and incidence rates were highest in patients with prostate cancer and
21 in Denmark.

22 **CONCLUSIONS**

23 We provide estimates of risk of medically confirmed ONJ among patients initiating
24 denosumab or zoledronic acid in routine clinical practice in three Scandinavian countries.
25 The results varied by cancer site and by country.

26 **Keywords**

27 Bisphosphonates, cohort study, denosumab, osteonecrosis of the jaw, routinely collected
28 health data, real-world data

29

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Zoledronic acid (a bisphosphonate) and denosumab (a monoclonal antibody targeting the
3 receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand) are two different classes of antiresorptive
4 agents, administered every 3-4 weeks, indicated for prevention of skeletal-related events in
5 adults with advanced malignancies involving bone, such as bone metastases from solid
6 tumors and multiple myeloma.^{1,2} In randomized trials among patients with bone metastases
7 from solid tumors, denosumab was more efficacious than zoledronic acid in preventing
8 skeletal-related events³⁻⁵ and alleviating pain,⁶ while the two agents were associated with
9 similar overall survival.^{7,8}

10 Osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) is an adverse effect of antiresorptive treatment, with dose-
11 and duration-dependent risks.⁹ ONJ is diagnosed in the presence of jaw bone that is
12 exposed or can be probed through a fistula, persisting for at least 8 weeks, in patients
13 without history of radiotherapy or jaw metastasis.⁹

14 Real-world safety of medications may differ from that observed in clinical trials.¹⁰ In this
15 study, we aimed to estimate incidence proportions and incidence rates of ONJ up to 5 years
16 following initiation of denosumab or zoledronic acid for prevention of skeletal-related events
17 among cancer patients treated in routine clinical practice in Denmark, Norway, and Sweden.
18 This was a postauthorization safety study mandated by the European Medicines Agency
19 (EMA).

20

21 MATERIALS AND METHODS

22 *Setting, Design, and Data Sources*

23 This population-based cohort study was set in Denmark, Norway, and Sweden – welfare
24 states with universal health care.¹¹ Use of denosumab for prevention of skeletal-related
25 events in adults with bone metastases from solid tumors in the three countries started in
26 2011,¹²⁻¹⁴ following the EMA approval.¹ We identified treatment and patients' characteristics
27 using routinely collected health and administrative data from previously described sources
28 (Supplemental Table 1), augmented by medical record abstraction. ONJ cases originated
29 from the Scandinavian ONJ Cohort.¹⁵ The ONJ terminology has evolved from
30 "bisphosphonate-related ONJ" to "antiresorptive-agent associated ONJ" to "medication-
31 related ONJ". This paper uses the term ONJ.⁹

32 *Treatment Cohorts*

33 The treatment cohort identification period started on 01 October 2011 and ended on
34 31 December 2013 in Sweden and Norway and on 31 December 2014 in Denmark. (The
35 period in Denmark was longer due to study size considerations). Eligible patients were

1 adults (ages ≥ 18 years) diagnosed with cancer who, subsequently to their cancer diagnosis
2 and during the treatment cohort identification period, initiated treatment with denosumab or
3 zoledronic acid for prevention of skeletal-related events (Figure 1). We excluded patients
4 with a history of radiation therapy to the head and neck region, and patients treated with
5 denosumab or zoledronic acid solely for hypercalcemia of malignancy. We defined three
6 treatment cohorts: the Denosumab Inception Cohort (DEIC); the Zoledronic Acid Inception
7 Cohort (ZAIC), and the Denosumab-switch Cohort (DESC). Patients in the two inception
8 cohorts were naïve to antiresorptive treatment for skeletal related event prevention; patients
9 in the DESC were allowed to have a maximum of 24 monthly bisphosphonate doses for that
10 indication. Date of treatment initiation was the index date. At early stages of inclusion in
11 Denmark, denosumab initiators were predominantly men with prostate cancer while
12 zoledronic acid initiators were predominantly women with breast cancer. To improve
13 comparability of the cohorts, we group-matched the ZAIC to the denosumab cohorts:
14 patients were selected into the ZAIC from among a randomly-ordered list of patients with the
15 same sex and cancer site as the denosumab initiators. If a given candidate was
16 subsequently deemed ineligible (i.e., was not treated with ZA, treated for hypercalcemia or
17 malignancy, or had a history of head and neck radiation), the next patient on the list was
18 evaluated until finding a match or exhausting the pool of candidates.

19 ***Osteonecrosis of the Jaw***

20 In the three Scandinavian countries, patients with ONJ are referred to and treated at
21 specialist departments of oral and maxillofacial surgery. In all three countries, ONJ treatment
22 occurs at hospital-based departments (all treatment centralized to six clinics in Denmark); in
23 Norway, ONJ may be also treated at free-standing clinics; and in Sweden ONJ may be also
24 treated at departments of oral medicine, healthcare or dental care. Clinics outside hospitals
25 do not contribute records to the registries (Supplemental Table 1), and even available
26 hospital-recorded ICD-10 codes have low validity in capturing ONJ.¹⁶ To maximize
27 completeness and validity of ONJ identification, we established the Scandinavian ONJ
28 Cohort, which included ONJ cases diagnosed directly at treating clinics independently of
29 treatment cohorts' identification. The Scandinavian ONJ Cohort contains information on ONJ
30 onset date, risk factors, and ONJ treatment.¹⁵ To mimic ONJ identification procedure used in
31 phase 3 trials and further increase specificity of ONJ adjudication, ONJ cases identified in
32 the Scandinavian ONJ Cohort underwent additional independent adjudication by two
33 experts, blinded to treatment cohort membership. Positively adjudicated cases were
34 considered 'medically confirmed' and included in the analysis.

35 ***Statistical Analysis***

36 We summarized characteristics of the patients in the treatment cohorts in 24 months before
37 the index date, using medians and quartiles for continuous variables and frequencies and

1 percent for categorical variables. We also reported proportion of patients dying or emigrating
2 during the follow-up.

3 In each treatment cohort, we estimated 1-, 2-, 3-, 4-, and 5-year incidence proportions of
4 ONJ and incidence rate of ONJ, overall, by primary cancer site and by country. An n-year
5 incidence proportion is the count of medically confirmed incident ONJ cases observed during
6 n years divided by the number of patients in the cohort with a potential for completing n
7 years of follow-up. An incidence rate is the number of medically confirmed incident ONJ
8 cases observed during the follow-up divided by total person-time. According to the clinical
9 definition of ONJ, only ONJ cases occurring at least 8 weeks following the index date were
10 considered. Patients included in the ZAIC who switched to denosumab during the cohort
11 identification period stopped contributing time to the ZAIC and started contributing time to
12 the DESC 8 weeks after the switch. Patients were followed from the start of the at-risk period
13 in each treatment cohort until the earliest of diagnosis of medically confirmed ONJ,
14 emigration (loss to follow-up), death, 5 years of follow-up, or 31 December 2018. Patients
15 included in either denosumab cohort who subsequently switched to zoledronic acid were
16 censored for ONJ determination in the relevant denosumab treatment cohort 8 weeks after
17 initiating zoledronic acid. Incidence proportions (in percent) and incidence rates (per 100
18 person-years) were reported with 95% CIs. Incidence proportions were reported with
19 Clopper-Pearson 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and incidence rates, with Poisson CIs. For
20 the ONJ cases included in the analyses, we summarized demographic characteristics, oral
21 risk factors, and treatment.

22 Supplemental Table 2 lists definitions of the study variables. We used SAS Software Version
23 9.4 for all analyses.

24 ***Ethical Aspects***

25 This study received all required approvals (Denmark: Danish Data Protection Agency record
26 number 2010-41-5171; mandatory registration at Aarhus University 2016-051-000001/417
27 and approval of the Patient Safety Board record number 3-3013-13/1); Norway: Regional
28 Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics South East, record numbers
29 2012/2286/REK sør-øst and 2013/1053/REK sør-øst). Sweden: Regional Ethical Review
30 Board, Stockholm, Karolinska Institutet/Solna, record number 2013/319-31/2. To prevent
31 identification of individuals, exact cell counts below 5 were masked. The study protocol has
32 been published¹⁷ and the study has been registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (registration
33 number NCT01967160).¹⁸

34

35 **RESULTS**

1 After applying the eligibility criteria, 2,877 patients were included in the analysis: 1,340 in the
2 DEIC, 1,352 in the ZAIC, and 408 in the DESC, including 223 patients initially included in the
3 ZAIC who subsequently switched to denosumab and were counted in two cohorts. Fifty
4 patients in the DEIC and 92 patients in the DESC switched to zoledronic acid (Figure 1).

5 Table 1 summarizes patients' characteristics and follow-up by treatment cohort. The median
6 age of the patients was 70 years in the DEIC, 69 years in the ZAIC, and 70 years in the
7 DESC, and the respective proportions of men were 72.6%, 53.8%, and 48.3%. The median
8 follow-up in the three cohorts was 19.8, 12.9, and 13.3 months, and the median number of
9 treatment doses was 10, 5, and 8. The two inception cohorts differed with respect to the
10 distribution of primary cancer sites. Patients initiating denosumab treatment had a longer
11 median time since bone or visceral metastases than patients initiating zoledronic acid, and a
12 slightly higher hospital comorbidity burden (Table 1).

13 Between 01 October 2011 and 31 December 2018, 137 ONJ cases among the patients of
14 the treatment cohorts were identified in the Scandinavian ONJ Cohort. Of those, 136
15 (99.3%) were subsequently medically confirmed by expert adjudication. One-hundred and
16 twenty ONJ cases were included in the analyses of incidence proportions and incidence
17 rates, while 16 cases were excluded from the analyses, per protocol, for one of the following
18 reasons: loss to follow-up; ONJ date of diagnosis less than 8 weeks or more than 5 years
19 after the index date; or occurrence among patients who initiated the study in DEIC or DESC
20 and subsequently switched to zoledronic acid. (The exact counts for each reason are not
21 reportable per data protection regulation).

22 Figure 2 shows incidence proportions of ONJ by treatment cohort, primary cancer site, and
23 country. The 5-year incidence proportions of medically confirmed ONJ were 5.7% (95% CI:
24 4.4, 7.3) in the DEIC, 1.4% (95% CI: 0.8, 2.3) in the ZAIC, and 6.6% (95% CI: 4.2, 10.0) in
25 the DESC (Figure 2). The incidence rates of medically confirmed ONJ per 100 person-years
26 were 3.0 (95% CI: 2.3, 3.7) in the DEIC, 1.0 (95% CI: 0.6, 1.5) in the ZAIC, and 4.3 (95% CI:
27 2.8, 6.3) in the DESC (Table 2). Table 3 summarizes characteristics, risk factors and
28 treatments of the 120 patients with medically confirmed ONJ included in the analysis, by
29 country.

30

31 **DISCUSSION**

32 In this regulator-mandated population-based non-randomized cohort study, 5-year incidence
33 proportions of medically confirmed ONJ were 5.7% among treatment-naïve patients initiating
34 denosumab, 1.4% among treatment-naïve patients initiating zoledronic acid, and 6.6% in
35 among patients switching to denosumab after no more than 24 monthly cancer doses of
36 bisphosphonates. The corresponding incidence rates of ONJ per 100 person-years
37 (accounting for variable follow-up) were 3.0, 1.0, and 4.3. The incidence proportions and

1 incidence rates of ONJ varied by cancer site and by country, with highest estimates
2 observed among men with prostate cancer and among patients in Denmark. More than half
3 of the patients with medically confirmed ONJ had a history of oral trauma, including
4 extraction or oral surgery. Variability in ONJ occurrence across the treatment cohorts may be
5 partially attributable to differences in patient populations, specifically, distribution of primary
6 cancer sites, and the associated variation in age, sex, comorbidity and cancer treatment.
7 Incidence proportion of ONJ increased with follow-up time in the denosumab cohorts more
8 prominently than in the zoledronic acid cohort, possibly related to a greater median number
9 of monthly doses received by patients in the denosumab cohorts. The median number of
10 monthly treatments in all cohorts was substantially lower than the median months of follow-
11 up, as follow-up was not censored at treatment discontinuation, ~~by design,~~ ~~h~~ ~~H~~ However, this
12 study was not designed to examine treatment duration, treatment discontinuation or ~~its~~
13 reasons for discontinuation. In contemporaneous European studies in similar patient
14 populations 24-month persistence with denosumab or zoledronic acid ranged between 19-
15 68%,¹⁹ while the most common reasons for initial treatment discontinuation (other than
16 patient death) were disease progression, physician choice, and toxicity.²⁰

17 Our results are consistent with the earlier findings regarding risk of ONJ in patients treated
18 with denosumab or zoledronic acid.^{3-5,21-23} In phase 3 trials among 5,723 patients with bone
19 metastases from solid tumors, ONJ risk was 1.8% with denosumab and 1.3% with zoledronic
20 acid treatment over up to 40 months of follow-up.³⁻⁵ In an open-label extension study, the
21 risks of ONJ were 6.9% among patients continuing in the denosumab arm, and 5.5% among
22 patients switching to denosumab from zoledronic acid over up to 67 months of follow-up.²¹ In
23 a meta-analysis of phase 3 trials (including patients with multiple myeloma), treatment with
24 denosumab vs. bisphosphonates over 3 years was associated with an 1.4- fold increase in
25 ONJ risk, corresponding to an absolute increase of 3 cases per 1,000.²² A limitation of that
26 study is potential inclusion of partially overlapping populations. In an observational cohort
27 study in Belgium, with similar design to our study but without expert ONJ adjudication, the 5-
28 year incidence proportion of ONJ was 10.0% over a median 18-month treatment among
29 denosumab initiators (51% breast cancer), 6.7% over a median 19-month treatment among
30 zoledronic acid initiators (64% breast cancer), and 15.5% over a median of 36-months
31 cumulative sequential exposure to both agents among zoledronic acid-to-denosumab
32 switchers (82% breast cancer).²⁴ In a cohort study in the US, 3-year cumulative incidence of
33 ONJ was 2.8% for cancer patients on zoledronic acid and 3.2% among cancer patients on
34 denosumab.²³ It has been hypothesized that switching from bisphosphonates to denosumab,
35 in addition to being a marker of treatment duration, is itself a risk factor for ONJ.^{25,26} Taken
36 together, evidence from different sources is consistent with denosumab treatment conferring
37 a greater ONJ risk than treatment with zoledronic acid.

38 Our study has several limitations. First, there is an inherent non-comparability of patients'
39 characteristics in the treatment cohorts, which is expected when one of the treatments newly

1 marketed.²⁷ Therefore this study was designed not as a comparative study but as study to
2 assess absolute risks and rates of ONJ in cancer patients initiating denosumab or zoledronic
3 acid in routine clinical practice. Second, some criteria for clinical diagnosis of ONJ have
4 changed over time: for example, in 2009, a diagnosis of ONJ required current or previous
5 treatment with a bisphosphonate, exposed bone in the maxillofacial region for more than 8
6 weeks, and no history of radiation therapy to the jaw,²⁸ while in the 2014, a diagnosis of ONJ
7 required current or previous treatment with antiresorptive or antiangiogenic agents, exposed
8 bone or bone that can be probed through an intraoral or extraoral fistula in the maxillofacial
9 region that has persisted for more than 8 weeks, and no history of radiation therapy to the
10 jaw or obvious metastatic disease of the jaw.⁹ Thus, ONJ identified in this study may differ
11 somewhat from that identified earlier, e.g., in trials. Treatment has also changed: with
12 growing ONJ awareness, and oral and maxillofacial surgeons may increasingly prefer
13 surgical removal of necrotic bone to a conservative treatment. If such intervention occurs
14 shortly after a referral, the 8-week exposed/probed bone clinical criterion for ONJ diagnosis
15 may not be fulfilled, and cases would not be counted. This may partially explain greater risk
16 of ONJ observed in the study from Belgium compared with our study.²⁴ ONJ severity or
17 resolution could not be reliably assessed. Third, the incidence proportions are
18 underestimated in the presence of censoring: for example, patients censored from a cohort
19 by treatment switch were counted as not having a later event. Fourth, there may be immortal
20 time bias in the Danish population, introduced in patients initially eligible for DESC, but after
21 confirmation via medical chart review were also included in the ZAIC. By design, such
22 patients had to survive until the initiation of denosumab to be included in the study; this bias
23 may partially explain low observed ONJ occurrence in the ZAIC. Finally, there was evidence
24 of ONJ ascertainment varying by country, suggestion higher completeness in Denmark than
25 in Norway or Sweden, given that ONJ treatment in Denmark is centralized to 6 hospital
26 clinics.

27 In conclusion, this study provides estimates, from routine clinical practice, of 5-year risks and
28 incidence rates of osteonecrosis of the jaw among cancer patients with bone metastases
29 who initiate denosumab or zoledronic acid for prevention of prevent skeletal-related events.

30

1 REFERENCES

- 2 1. EMA. XGEVA. Summary of Product Characteristics. Available from URL:
3 http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/medicines/002173/human_med_001463.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d124 [accessed 24 July, 2018].
4
- 5 2. EMA. Zometa. Summary of Product Characteristics. Available from URL:
6 https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/zometa-epar-product-information_en.pdf [accessed 4 April, 2021].
7
- 8 3. Stopeck AT, Lipton A, Body JJ, et al. Denosumab compared with zoledronic acid for the
9 treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced breast cancer: a randomized,
10 double-blind study. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2010;28: 5132-5139.
- 11 4. Fizazi K, Carducci M, Smith M, et al. Denosumab versus zoledronic acid for treatment of
12 bone metastases in men with castration-resistant prostate cancer: a randomised, double-
13 blind study. *Lancet.* 2011;377: 813-822.
- 14 5. Henry DH, Costa L, Goldwasser F, et al. Randomized, double-blind study of denosumab
15 versus zoledronic acid in the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced cancer
16 (excluding breast and prostate cancer) or multiple myeloma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2011;29: 1125-
17 1132.
- 18 6. Wang X, Yang KH, Wanyan P, Tian JH. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of
19 denosumab versus bisphosphonates in breast cancer and bone metastases treatment: A
20 meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Oncol. Lett.* 2014;7: 1997-2002.
- 21 7. Lipton A, Fizazi K, Stopeck AT, et al. Superiority of denosumab to zoledronic acid for
22 prevention of skeletal-related events: a combined analysis of 3 pivotal, randomised, phase 3
23 trials. *Eur. J. Cancer.* 2012;48: 3082-3092.
- 24 8. Peddi P, Lopez-Olivo MA, Pratt GF, Suarez-Almazor ME. Denosumab in patients with
25 cancer and skeletal metastases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Treat. Rev.*
26 2013;39: 97-104.
- 27 9. Ruggiero SL, Dodson TB, Fantasia J, et al. American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial
28 Surgeons position paper on medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw--2014 update. *J.*
29 *Oral Maxillofac. Surg.* 2014;72: 1938-1956.
- 30 10. Sorensen HT, Lash TL, Rothman KJ. Beyond randomized controlled trials: a critical
31 comparison of trials with nonrandomized studies. *Hepatology.* 2006;44: 1075-1082.
- 32 11. Schmidt M, Schmidt SAJ, Adelborg K, et al. The Danish health care system and
33 epidemiological research: from health care contacts to database records. *Clin. Epidemiol.*
34 2019;11: 563-591.
- 35 12. RADS. Rådet for Anvendelse af Dyr Sygehusmedicin. Behandlingsvejledninger. SRE
36 hos patienter ved knoglemetastaser ved solide tumorer. Available from URL:
37 <http://www.rads.dk/media/1865/behandlingsvej-fu-sre-praecisering-april-2013.pdf> [accessed
38 20 November, 2014].
- 39 13. HELFO. The Norwegian Health Economics Administration. Available from URL:
40 <http://www.helfo.no/omhelfo/Sider/about-helfo.aspx#.VGXP8fmG9O0> [accessed 24 July,
41 2018].
- 42 14. TLV. Available from URL: [http://www.tlv.se/beslut/beslut-lakemedel/generell-](http://www.tlv.se/beslut/beslut-lakemedel/generell-subvention/arkiv/2012-03-08-xgeva-ingar-i-hogkostnadsskyddet.html)
43 [subvention/arkiv/2012-03-08-xgeva-ingar-i-hogkostnadsskyddet.html](http://www.tlv.se/beslut/beslut-lakemedel/generell-subvention/arkiv/2012-03-08-xgeva-ingar-i-hogkostnadsskyddet.html) [accessed 29 August,
44 2018].

- 1 15. Schiodt M, Larsson Wexell C, Herlofson BB, Giltvedt KM, Norholt SE, Ehrenstein V.
2 Existing data sources for clinical epidemiology: Scandinavian Cohort for osteonecrosis of the
3 jaw - work in progress and challenges. *Clin. Epidemiol.* 2015;7: 107-116.
- 4 16. Ehrenstein V, Gammelager H, Schiodt M, et al. Evaluation of an ICD-10 algorithm to
5 detect osteonecrosis of the jaw among cancer patients in the Danish National Registry of
6 Patients. *Pharmacoepidemiol. Drug Saf.* 2015;24: 693-700.
- 7 17. Acquavella J, Ehrenstein V, Schiodt M, et al. Design and methods for a Scandinavian
8 pharmacovigilance study of osteonecrosis of the jaw and serious infections among cancer
9 patients treated with antiresorptive agents for the prevention of skeletal-related events. *Clin.*
10 *Epidemiol.* 2016;8: 267-272.
- 11 18. ClinicalTrials.gov. Osteonecrosis of the Jaw (ONJ) and Infection Among Nordic Cancer
12 Patients Treated With XGEVA™ or Zoledronic Acid. Available from URL:
13 <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01967160> [accessed 24 July, 2018].
- 14 19. Diel I, Ansorge S, Hohmann D, Giannopoulou C, Niepel D, Intorcchia M. Real-world use of
15 denosumab and bisphosphonates in patients with solid tumours and bone metastases in
16 Germany. *Support. Care Cancer.* 2020;28: 5223-5233.
- 17 20. Mjelstad A, Zakariasson G, Valachis A. Optimizing antiresorptive treatment in patients
18 with bone metastases: time to initiation, switching strategies, and treatment duration.
19 *Support. Care Cancer.* 2019;27: 3859-3867.
- 20 21. Stopeck AT, Fizazi K, Body JJ, et al. Safety of long-term denosumab therapy: results
21 from the open label extension phase of two phase 3 studies in patients with metastatic
22 breast and prostate cancer. *Support. Care Cancer.* 2016;24: 447-455.
- 23 22. Limones A, Saez-Alcaide LM, Diaz-Parreno SA, Helm A, Bornstein MM, Molinero-
24 Mourelle P. Medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaws (MRONJ) in cancer patients
25 treated with denosumab VS. zoledronic acid: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med.*
26 *Oral Patol. Oral Cir. Bucal.* 2020;25: e326-e336.
- 27 23. Van Poznak CH, Unger JM, Darke AK, et al. Association of Osteonecrosis of the Jaw
28 With Zoledronic Acid Treatment for Bone Metastases in Patients With Cancer. *JAMA Oncol.*
29 2020.
- 30 24. Loyson T, Van Cann T, Schoffski P, et al. Incidence of osteonecrosis of the jaw in
31 patients with bone metastases treated sequentially with bisphosphonates and denosumab.
32 *Acta Clin. Belg.* 2018;73: 100-109.
- 33 25. Yarom N, Lazarovici TS, Whitefield S, Weissman T, Wasserzug O, Yahalom R. Rapid
34 onset of osteonecrosis of the jaw in patients switching from bisphosphonates to denosumab.
35 *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.* 2018;125: 27-30.
- 36 26. Higuchi T, Soga Y, Muro M, et al. Replacing zoledronic acid with denosumab is a risk
37 factor for developing osteonecrosis of the jaw. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.*
38 2018;125: 547-551.
- 39 27. Schneeweiss S, Gagne JJ, Glynn RJ, Ruhl M, Rassen JA. Assessing the comparative
40 effectiveness of newly marketed medications: methodological challenges and implications
41 for drug development. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2011;90: 777-790.
- 42 28. Ruggiero SL, Dodson TB, Assael LA, et al. American Association of Oral and
43 Maxillofacial Surgeons position paper on bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws--
44 2009 update. *J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg.* 2009;67: 2-12.

1 FIGURES AND TABLES

2 Figure legends

3 Figure 1. Diagram of Population Identification

4 Figure 2. Incidence Proportions of Medically Confirmed ONJ by Treatment

5 Cohort, Follow-up Time, Primary Cancer Site, and Country

6

2 **Table 1. Patients' Characteristics and Follow-up, by Treatment Cohort^a**

Variable	Denosumab Inception Cohort (N=1,340)	Zoledronic Acid Inception Cohort (N=1,352)	Denosumab- switch Cohort (N=408)
Patient status at the end of follow-up, n (%)			
Alive	255 (19.0)	421 (31.1)	118 (28.9)
Dead or emigrated	1,085 (81.0)	931 (68.9)	290 (71.1)
Months of follow-up, median (Q1, Q3)	19.8 (9.6, 40.5)	12.9 (5.5, 27.7)	13.3 (6.8, 28.2)
Monthly recorded treatment doses, median (Q1, Q3)	10 (4, 20)	5 (2, 12)	8 (4, 16)
Men, n (%)	973 (72.6)	727 (53.8)	197 (48.3)
Age, years, median (Q1, Q3)	70 (65, 78)	69 (61, 76)	70 (61, 77)
Age group, years, n (%)			
< 50	56 (4.2)	102 (7.5)	23 (5.6)
50-64	299 (22.3)	360 (26.6)	117 (28.7)
65-74	532 (39.7)	501 (37.1)	146 (35.8)
≥75	453 (33.8)	389 (28.8)	122 (29.9)
Year of cohort entry, n (%)			
2011	49 (3.7)	147 (10.9)	27 (6.6)
2012	453 (33.8)	544 (40.2)	169 (41.4)
2013	546 (40.7)	485 (35.9)	120 (29.4)
2014	292 (21.8)	176 (13.0)	92 (22.5)
Country, n (%)			
Denmark	676 (50.4)	595 (44.0)	209 (51.2)
Norway	314 (23.4)	357 (26.4)	141 (34.6)
Sweden	350 (26.1)	400 (29.6)	58 (14.2)
Hospital department initiating treatment, n (%)			
Oncology	735 (54.9)	992 (73.4)	301 (73.8)
Urology/surgery	536 (40.0)	194 (14.3)	89 (21.8)
Other	69 (5.1)	166 (12.3)	18 (4.4)
Primary cancer site, n (%)			
Prostate	925 (69.0)	661 (48.9)	192 (47.1)
Breast	338 (25.2)	561 (41.5)	203 (49.8)
Other	77 (5.7)	130 (9.6)	13 (3.2)
Months since primary cancer diagnosis, median (Q1, Q3)	27.4 (8.6, 52.2)	21.4 (5.7, 43.1)	35.7 (17.5, 60.9)
Record of bone metastases, n (%)	1,230 (91.8)	1,126 (83.3)	364 (89.2)
Record of visceral metastases, n (%)	224 (16.7)	300 (22.2)	115 (28.2)
Months since bone metastases, median (Q1, Q3)	5.8 (1.4, 17.0)	3.7 (0.9, 12.4)	15.9 (9.1, 25.0)
Months since visceral metastases, median (Q1, Q3)	4.5 (1.1, 17.7)	3.2 (0.7, 11.7)	13.3 (5.0, 20.1)
Charlson Comorbidity Index, n (%)			
0	1,019 (76.0)	1,075 (79.5)	322 (78.9)
1-2	274 (20.4)	244 (18.0)	74 (18.1)
3+	47 (3.5)	33 (2.4)	12 (2.9)

Variable	Denosumab Inception Cohort (N=1,340)	Zoledronic Acid Inception Cohort (N=1,352)	Denosumab- switch Cohort (N=408)
Hospital comorbidity, n (%)			
Diabetes	136 (10.1)	110 (8.1)	36 (8.8)
Secondary anemia	46 (3.4)	50 (3.7)	20 (4.9)
Hospitalization with infection	176 (13.1)	159 (11.8)	71 (17.4)
Severe/febrile neutropenia	55 (4.1)	44 (3.3)	21 (5.1)
Hypothyroidism	15 (1.1)	21 (1.6)	Masked
Chronic lung disease	64 (4.8)	65 (4.8)	19 (4.7)
Cardiovascular disease	81 (6.0)	74 (5.5)	22 (5.4)
Cerebrovascular disease	42 (3.1)	38 (2.8)	14 (3.4)
Autoimmune disease	40 (3.0)	32 (2.4)	7 (1.7)
Cachexia/severe weight loss	46 (3.4)	55 (4.1)	26 (6.4)
Bisphosphonates used prior to treatment initiation, n (%)			
Zoledronic acid	NA	NA	408 (100.0)
Pamidronic acid	NA	NA	5 (1.2)
Cumulative duration of prior oral and IV bisphosphonate treatment			
0 to < 6 months	NA	NA	193 (47.3)
6 to < 12 months	NA	NA	119 (29.2)
12 to ≤24 months	NA	NA	96 (23.5)

- 1 ^a 223 patients started follow-up in the Zoledronic Acid Inception Cohort and switched to
- 2 denosumab during the treatment cohort identification period. They were counted in both
- 3 cohorts, but their follow-up for the Zoledronic Acid Inception Cohort was censored at the time
- 4 of the switch. Counts between 1 and 4 are masked to comply with privacy protection
- 5 regulations.
- 6 IV intravenous; Q1 first quartile; Q3 third quartile, NA not applicable

Table 2. Incidence Rates of Medically Confirmed ONJ by Treatment Cohort, per 100 Person-Years, by Treatment Cohort, Primary Cancer Site and Country

Group	Denosumab Inception Cohort		Zoledronic Acid Inception Cohort		Denosumab-switch Cohort	
	Cases/pers on-years	Incidence rate (95% CI)	Cases/person -years	Incidence rate (95% CI)	Cases/person-years	Incidence rate (95% CI)
Overall	76/2,576.3	3.0 (2.3, 3.7)	19/1,975.1	1.0 (0.6, 1.5)	25/582.2	4.3 (2.8, 6.3)
Primary cancer site						
Prostate	61/1,765.5	3.5 (2.6, 4.4)	Masked/970	0.7 (0.3, 1.5)	13/276.2	4.7 (2.5, 8.0)
Breast	10/695.9	1.4 (0.7, 2.6)	11/911.2	1.2 (0.6, 2.2)	Masked/300	3.6 (1.8, 6.5)
Other	5/114.8	4.4 (1.4, 10.2)	Masked/100	1.0 (0.0, 5.6)	Masked/10	22.1 (0.6, 123.3)
Country						
Denmark	48/1,327.9	3.6 (2.7, 4.8)	10/896.6	1.1 (0.5, 2.1)	Masked/350	3.2 (1.6, 5.7)
Norway	13/532.7	2.4 (1.3, 4.2)	Masked/480	0.8 (0.2, 2.1)	13/202.0	6.4 (3.4, 11.0)
Sweden	15/715.6	2.1 (1.2, 3.5)	Masked/600	0.8 (0.3, 2.0)	Masked/30	2.9 (0.1, 16.4)

CI: confidence interval; ONJ osteonecrosis of the jaw. Patients with ONJ occurring less than 8 weeks after the index date (N=2 in the zoledronic acid inception cohort) do not contribute to the calculation of incidence rates.

Number of cases are masked and the corresponding person-years rounded to comply with privacy protection regulations.

Table 3. Characteristics and Clinical Course of Patients with Medically Confirmed ONJ Included in the Study, by Country

	Denmark N=69	Norway N=30	Sweden N=21
Demographics			
Sex, n (%)			
Men	51 (73.9)	20 (66.7)	16 (76.2)
Women	18 (26.1)	10 (33.3)	5 (23.8)
Age group, years, n (%)			
< 64	22 (31.9)	11 (36.7)	8 (38.1)
65 - 74	30 (43.5)	11 (36.7)	9 (42.9)
≥75	17 (24.6)	8 (26.7)	4 (19.0)
ONJ risk factors, n (%)			
Smoking,	24 (34.8)	Masked	6 (28.6)
Alcohol use,	38 (55.1)	6 (20.0)	Masked
History of oral trauma (including extraction or surgery)	36 (52.2)	24 (80.0)	10 (47.6)
ONJ stage at diagnosis = 2 or 3, n (%)	49 (71.0)	22 (73.3)	20 (95.2)
Treatment of ONJ, n (%)			
Procedures*			
Curettage	15 (21.7)	7 (23.3)	10 (47.6)
Debridement	10 (14.5)	9 (30.0)	12 (57.1)
Medicinal treatment*			
Antibiotics	56 (81.2)	24 (80.0)	19 (90.5)
Oral rinses	43 (62.3)	16 (53.3)	10 (47.6)

*Categories are not mutually exclusive. ONJ osteonecrosis of the jaw. Small counts masked whenever applicable to comply with privacy protection regulations.