Ponce, P., R. Muñoz and M. Stival 2017. 'Pueblos indígenas', VIH y políticas públicas en Latinoamérica: una exploración en el panorama actual de la prevalencia epidemiológica, la prevención, la atención y el seguimiento oportuno', *Salud Colectiva* 13: 537–54.

## KNUT CHRISTIAN MYHRE

## COVID-19, *dugnad* and productive incompleteness: volunteer labour and crisis loans in Norway

Starting on 12 March, the Norwegian government decreed a range of measures in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Like similar efforts elsewhere, their objective was to provide healthcare for those infected and slow the spread of the virus among the population. Yet, these infection control measures proved at least as effective at slowing down economic activity. They therefore necessitated further interventions to provide liquidity and support for corporations and households facing a sudden loss of income. Many of the measures are familiar from the 2008 financial crisis and differ only in the speed with which they were deployed in the current situation.

It is interesting to note how all actors from the start described these measures as a national dugnad. Dugnad is a form of unpaid voluntary labour that is common in non-commercial groups and organisations in Norway. Thus, housing co-op inhabitants join in dugnad every spring and spend an afternoon cleaning, gardening and performing maintenance. Similarly, parents organise flea-markets on dugnad to fund the marching bands at their children's schools, and sell hot-dogs and waffles during game days for the benefit of local sports clubs. We often complain about dugnad as an inconvenient imposition, but also acknowledge it as a means to complete tasks for which we would otherwise need to pay and a way to get to know our neighbours. In short, dugnad is both a pragmatic mode of organisation and a social value. Accordingly, the noun dugnad with its Old Norse origin relates to dygd that designates virtue or a valued capacity, and both derive from the verb duge, which means to be of use or avail.

Yet, there is more at stake in the *dugnad* responding to COVID-19 than that individuals come together for the common good. More specifically, the economic interventions manifest what I call *productive incompleteness*, where an actor enrols and enables others to complete its efforts. It is perhaps most evident in the loan-guarantee facility for the airline industry presented on 19 March. Here, the government offers guarantees for 90% of the value of loans totalling six billion Norwegian kroner, but only if a financial institution guarantees for the rest and sets the terms for the loans given. The state guarantee hence requires completion by a commercial actor, which in turn enrols other lenders to ensure that credit extends on market terms. It goes even further for the financially stressed low-cost carrier Norwegian, which only gets access

to the full guarantee if existing creditors waive interest and postpone repayments, and shareholders increase equity. Unlike 2008, this is not a government bailout that socialises losses and privatises gains. Instead, it is a *dugnad* where the state enrols different financial actors that contribute in different ways to complete an arrangement to ensure the continued existence of a company deemed part of vital infrastructure. As such, it show the appeal to and of a notion with a long history and intimate involvement in people's lives for responding to an unprecedented situation in ways that avoid the pitfalls of a crisis past.

Knut Christian Myhre D Museum of Cultural History University of Oslo Oslo 0164 Norway k.c.myhre@khm.uio.no

JONATHAN NEWMAN

## COVID-19 and competitive markets of securitisation

Markets of defence, security and civil emergency overlap like a Venn diagram. In trade expos I have been attending, hazmat suits and face masks are in nearby aisles to monitoring and containment systems, and all-terrain armoured vehicles. Many instruments, infrastructures and narratives mobilised towards COVID-19 emerge from this sector, which delivers products and services for the securitisation of people.

Most traders at these expos tell me about violent threat. The disaster planning of train crashes and earthquakes plays second fiddle to theatres of war (at the defence shows) and terrorist spectacles (at security and civil contingency shows). Hobbesian stories, of potential threats and the violence of Others, are deployed to justify corresponding measures mediated through markets of violent, threatening and protective security.

The logics and markets for governing the invisible enemy of, so-called, 'terror' are being mobilised towards public health. Although the virus is probably unaware of its injurious relationships with humans, many scientists, politicians and traders narrate the virus as a violent threat. Similarly, governance and self-discipline perform a warlike mimesis. In the accelerated chaos of emergency governance, immediate solutions come from adapting existing instruments.

The public narrative of COVID-19 reflects the detection, tracking and containment products coming out of recent trade shows. Companies with out-of-sight body temperature sensors that uncover concealed weapons, or fencing that contains