

## Reporting form:

1. The clinical question (formulated with PICO):

Р	Patient, Population, or Problem	How would I describe a group of patients similar to mine?
I	Intervention, Prognostic Factor, or Exposure	Which main intervention, prognostic factor, or exposure am I considering?
С	Comparison or Intervention (if appropriate)	What is the main alternative to compare with the intervention?
0	Outcome you would like to measure or achieve	What can I hope to accomplish, measure, improve, or affect?
	What Type of question are you asking?	Diagnosis, Etiology/Harm, Therapy, Prognosis, Prevention
	Type of Study you want to find	What would be the best study design/methodology?

. Please give the full search strategy used including the Boolean operators (seek advice from
librarian if you have any difficulties with this):
Evample:

3. Provide details on the literature search (PubMed, EMBASE, CINAHL, Cochrane database etc):

Databases searched:

Number of publications identified by the search:

4. Provide full details of how you selected the articles that answer your question from the publications identified by the search:

Example:

10 papers were identified. When the abstracts were examined 2 were on a different subject to the research question, 1 was an animal study and 1 was a duplicate of another included study therefore the full article was retrieved for 6 studies.

## 6. Provide details on the literature:

Example

The of the 6 papers retrieved in full, five were RCTs, two were observational studies and one was a case series focussing on postpartum hysterectomy and was therefore excluded. The first of the RCTs was by...... etc etc



8. Please write your synopsis for the guideline concisely summarising the results found in the literature (including grade of evidence 1-5) and finish by stating a clear recommendation (with grade of recommendation A-D).
Examples: There were 5 studies published on The 3 well powered RCTs (level 1b) showed
There was no evidence available fortherefore the EW-AIP recommendation is based on a consensus of experts (level IV evidence) and is (Grade D recommendation)

7. Formulate a conclusion from the analysis of the literature remembering to give the most weight to the highest quality evidence: