

# A GROTHENDIECK-LEFSCHETZ THEOREM FOR EQUIVARIANT PICARD GROUPS

CHARANYA RAVI

ABSTRACT. We prove a Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorem for equivariant Picard groups of non-singular varieties with finite group actions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The geometry and  $K$ -theory of schemes with group scheme actions have been extensively studied by various authors in recent years (e.g., see [7], [9], [8]). The generalization of some of the fundamental theorems of algebraic geometry to the equivariant setting has played an important role in the development of this subject. The classical Lefschetz-type theorems compare the various algebraic invariants of non-singular projective varieties and their hyperplane sections. Let  $X$  be a non-singular projective variety over a field  $k$  of characteristic zero and let  $Y$  be a non-singular subvariety of  $X$ , of dimension  $\geq 3$ , which is a scheme-theoretic complete intersection in  $X$ . The Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorem for Picard groups (see [4, Théorème XI.3.1], [6, Corollary IV.3.3]) states that the Picard groups of  $X$  and  $Y$  are isomorphic. The purpose of this article is to prove an analogous result for varieties with finite group actions.

For a variety  $X$  with  $G$ -action, let  $\text{Pic}^G(X)$  denote the equivariant Picard group of  $X$  (see [10, 1.3, page 32]). Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero and let  $G$  be a finite group. Let  $X$  be a non-singular projective variety over  $k$  with  $G$ -action and let  $Y$  be a non-singular  $G$ -invariant subvariety of dimension  $\geq 3$ , which is a scheme-theoretic complete intersection in  $X$ . Then the natural map  $\text{Pic}^G(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^G(Y)$  is an isomorphism.*

In view of the Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem, Theorem 1.1 is a straightforward consequence of the technical result Theorem 3.3, which is proved by closely following the proof of the Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorems given in [6, Chapter IV]. The main idea is to use the formal completion of  $X$  along  $Y$  and a suitable equivariant generalization of the Lefschetz conditions, which is discussed in (2.2). As a corollary to Theorem 3.3, we also deduce that if  $G$  acts on a projective space  $X$  over  $k$  (a field of arbitrary characteristic) and  $Y$  is a  $G$ -invariant scheme-theoretic complete intersection in  $X$  such that  $\dim(Y) \geq 3$ , then the equivariant Picard groups of  $X$  and  $Y$  are isomorphic (see Corollary 3.4, [6, Corollary IV.3.2] for the non-equivariant case).

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C22, 14L30; Secondary 32S50.

*Key words and phrases.* Group scheme actions, Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorem, equivariant Picard group.

**Acknowledgements.** It is a pleasure to thank Amalendu Krishna for suggesting the problem, K. V. Shuddhodan for his lecture on the Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorems and Anand Sawant, Paul Arne Østvær for their comments and suggestions which led to an improved exposition. The writing of this paper was completed during the trimester program “K-theory and Related Fields” at the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics and we thank the Institute and the organizers for their hospitality. This work was supported by the RCN Frontier Research Group Project no. 250399 “Motivic Hopf equations”.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We will work over a base field  $k$  of arbitrary characteristic. All schemes are assumed to be separated and of finite type over  $k$ . The term variety will refer to an integral scheme over  $k$ . In this note,  $G$  will always denote a finite group.

**2.1. Group action on formal schemes.** In this section, we recall briefly the notion of  $G$ -action on a locally ringed space and equivariant sheaves. In the process we set up notations and terminologies for the rest of the paper.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a locally ringed space. A  $G$ -action on  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a group homomorphism from  $G$  to the group of automorphisms of  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . A morphism  $\theta : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})$  of locally ringed spaces with  $G$ -actions is said to be  $G$ -equivariant if it is compatible with the  $G$ -actions on  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a locally ringed space with a given  $G$ -action.

- (1) A  $G$ -sheaf of abelian groups on  $X$  is a sheaf of abelian groups  $\mathcal{F}$  together with a collection of sheaf isomorphisms  $\phi_g : \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\cong} g_*\mathcal{F}$ , for each  $g \in G$ , which are subject to the conditions  $\phi_e = id$  and  $\phi_{gh} = h_*(\phi_g) \circ \phi_h$ , for all  $h \in G$ . We shall denote a  $G$ -sheaf in the sequel by  $(\mathcal{F}, \{\phi_g\})$ .
- (2) A  $G$ -module is a  $G$ -sheaf  $(\mathcal{F}, \{\phi_g\})$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and each  $\phi_g$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module isomorphism. A *locally free* (resp. *invertible*)  $G$ -sheaf is a  $G$ -module  $(\mathcal{F}, \{\phi_g\})$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a locally free (resp. invertible) sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.
- (3) A  $G$ -equivariant morphism of  $G$ -sheaves  $f : (\mathcal{F}, \{\phi_g\}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}, \{\phi'_g\})$  is a morphism of sheaves  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\phi'_g \circ f = g_*(f) \circ \phi_g$ , for all  $g \in G$ . The set of  $G$ -equivariant morphisms from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{G}$  is denoted by  $\text{Hom}^G(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are  $G$ -modules, the set of  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module homomorphisms is denoted by  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^G(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ .

*Example 2.2.* When  $X$  is a  $k$ -scheme, a  $G$ -action on the locally ringed space  $X$  defined as above coincides with the usual notion of group scheme action on schemes, where  $G$  is viewed as a finite constant group scheme over  $k$ . Let  $\sigma : G \times X \rightarrow X$  denote the action map. It is easy to verify that a  $G$ -module structure on a sheaf  $F$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules as above is equivalent to giving an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{G \times X}$ -modules,  $\phi : \sigma^*F \rightarrow p_2^*F$ , over  $G \times X$ . Therefore  $F$  is a  $G$ -module in the sense of [10].

*Example 2.3.* Let  $X$  be a noetherian scheme with  $G$ -action and let  $Y$  be a  $G$ -invariant closed subscheme, defined by a sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I}$  (which is a  $G$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ ). Then  $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}_X})$ , the formal completion of  $X$  along  $Y$ , has

an induced  $G$ -action, as the direct image functor commutes with inverse limits. The canonical morphism  $i : \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$  is then  $G$ -equivariant. Given a  $G$ -equivariant coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $F$ , the completion  $\widehat{F}$  of  $F$  along  $Y$ , has a natural  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$ -module structure. Furthermore, the functor  $F \mapsto \widehat{F}$  from the category of coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules to the category of coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$ -modules is exact (see [5, Corollary II.9.8]) and therefore it is easy to see that it induces an exact functor on the category of coherent  $G$ -modules.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a locally ringed space with  $G$ -action. Let  $Sh^G(X)$  denote the category of  $G$ -sheaves, which is an abelian category with enough injectives. Given a  $G$ -sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ , the group  $G$  acts on the global sections  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})^G$  denote the  $G$ -invariant global sections, and let  $H^p(G; X, -)$  denote the right derived functors of the functor  $\Gamma(X, -)^G$ . The groups  $H^p(G; X, \mathcal{F})$  are the  $G$ -cohomology groups of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a locally ringed space with  $G$ -action and let  $(\mathcal{F}, \{\phi_g\})$ ,  $(\mathcal{G}, \{\phi'_g\})$  be  $G$ -modules. The sheaf  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  has an induced  $G$ -module structure such that  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}^G(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})^G$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $g \in G$ , let  $\rho_g : \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(g_*\mathcal{F}, g_*\mathcal{G})$  be the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module homomorphism defined as follows. Given an open subset  $U$  of  $X$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$ , let  $\rho_g|_U(f) := (\phi'_g|_U) \circ f \circ (\phi_g^{-1}|_U)$ . Let  $\tilde{\rho}_g = \theta_g \circ \rho_g$ , where  $\theta_g : \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(g_*\mathcal{F}, g_*\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\theta_g} g_*(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}))$  are the canonical isomorphisms. Then  $(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}), \{\rho_g\})$  is a  $G$ -module. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}^G(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) &\Leftrightarrow \rho_g(X)(f) = \phi'_g \circ f \circ (\phi_g)^{-1} = g_*(f), \forall g \in G \\ &\Leftrightarrow \tilde{\rho}_g(X)(f) = f, \forall g \in G \\ &\Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})^G. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Remark 2.5.* If  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are  $G$ -sheaves then one can show using the same argument as above that  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  is a  $G$ -sheaf and  $\mathcal{H}om^G(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})^G$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a locally ringed space with  $G$ -action and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an invertible  $G$ -sheaf on  $X$ . There is a  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_X$  has the canonical  $G$ -action, if and only if  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})^G$  has a nowhere vanishing section.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Lemma 2.4, since  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$  as  $G$ -sets and isomorphisms  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  correspond to nowhere vanishing sections in  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$ . □

**2.2. The equivariant Lefschetz Conditions.** In [4, Section X.2], Grothendieck introduced the Lefschetz conditions for pairs  $(X, Y)$ , inspired by Lefschetz, where  $X$  is a locally noetherian scheme and  $Y$  is a closed subscheme of  $X$ . These were essential in the proof of Grothendieck's theorems comparing the Picard groups and the fundamental groups of a projective variety  $X$  with a complete intersection subvariety  $Y$ . For schemes with action of a finite group

$G$ , we define the equivariant Lefschetz conditions in this section and prove equivariant analogues of some results in the Grothendieck-Lefschetz theory that will be useful in the sequel.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $X$  be a noetherian scheme with  $G$ -action, and let  $Y \subseteq X$  be a  $G$ -invariant closed subscheme. Let  $\hat{X}$  be the formal completion of  $X$  along  $Y$ . Then  $\hat{X}$  is a locally ringed space with  $G$ -action as discussed in Example 2.3.

- (1) The pair  $(X, Y)$  satisfies the *equivariant Lefschetz condition*, written  $L^G(X, Y)$ , if for every  $G$ -invariant open set  $U \supseteq Y$ , and every  $G$ -equivariant locally free sheaf  $E$  on  $U$ , there exists a  $G$ -invariant open set  $U'$  with  $Y \subseteq U' \subseteq U$  such that the natural map  $\Gamma(U', E|_{U'})^G \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma(\hat{X}, \hat{E})^G$  is an isomorphism.
- (2) The pair  $(X, Y)$  satisfies the *equivariant effective Lefschetz condition*, written  $eL^G(X, Y)$ , if  $L^G(X, Y)$  holds, and in addition, for every  $G$ -equivariant locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\hat{X}$ , there exists a  $G$ -invariant open set  $U \supseteq Y$  and a  $G$ -equivariant locally free sheaf  $E$  on  $U$  such that  $\hat{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}$  as  $G$ -modules.

With  $(X, Y)$  as above, let  $E$  and  $F$  be locally free  $G$ -sheaves defined on  $G$ -invariant open neighbourhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of  $Y$ , respectively. We write  $E \sim F$  if there exists a  $G$ -invariant open set  $W$  with  $Y \subseteq W \subseteq U \cap V$  such that  $E|_W \simeq F|_W$  as  $G$ -sheaves. We define the category  $\mathrm{LF}_G^0(Y)$  of germs of locally free  $G$ -sheaves around  $Y$  as follows. An object of this category is a class of locally free  $G$ -sheaves defined on  $G$ -invariant open neighbourhoods of  $Y$  under the equivalence relation  $\sim$ . For any two objects  $[E]$  and  $[F]$  in  $\mathrm{LF}_G^0(Y)$ , the set of homomorphisms from  $[E]$  to  $[F]$  is defined to be the set  $\varinjlim_U \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}^G(E, F)$ , where the colimit is taken over all  $G$ -invariant open neighbourhoods  $U$  of  $Y$  such that both  $E$  and  $F$  are defined over  $U$ . Let  $\mathrm{LF}_G(\hat{X})$  denote the category of locally free  $G$ -sheaves on  $\hat{X}$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $\wedge : \mathrm{LF}_G^0(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{LF}_G(\hat{X})$  be the functor sending  $E \mapsto \hat{E}$ .*

- (1) *If  $L^G(X, Y)$  holds, then  $\wedge$  is fully faithful.*
- (2) *If  $eL^G(X, Y)$  holds, then  $\wedge$  is an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $L^G(X, Y)$  holds. Let  $E, F \in \mathrm{LF}_G^0(Y)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $E, F$  are  $G$ -equivariant locally free  $\mathcal{O}_V$ -modules for some  $G$ -invariant open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $Y$ . Let  $U$  be any  $G$ -invariant open neighbourhood of  $Y$  such that  $U \subseteq V$ .  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(E, F)$  is a  $G$ -equivariant locally free  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -module, by Lemma 2.4. Since  $L^G(X, Y)$  holds, there exists a  $G$ -invariant open set  $U'$  with  $Y \subseteq U' \subseteq U$  such that the natural map  $\Gamma(U', \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(E, F))^G \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma(\hat{X}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(E, F)^\wedge)^G$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(E, F)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}}(\hat{E}, \hat{F})$  as  $G$ -sheaves,  $\Gamma(\hat{X}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(E, F)^\wedge)^G \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma(\hat{X}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}}(\hat{E}, \hat{F}))^G$  is an isomorphism and hence  $\Gamma(U', \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(E, F))^G \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma(\hat{X}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}}(\hat{E}, \hat{F}))^G$  is an isomorphism. By Lemma 2.4, the above isomorphism can be rewritten as  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{U'}}^G(E, F) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}}^G(\hat{E}, \hat{F})$ . This proves that the functor  $\wedge$  is fully faithful. If  $eL^G(X, Y)$  holds,  $\wedge$  is further essentially surjective (by definition), and therefore an equivalence of categories.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $X$  be a non-singular projective variety with  $G$ -action. Let  $Y \subseteq X$  be a  $G$ -invariant closed subscheme, which is a scheme-theoretic complete intersection in  $X$ . If  $\dim(Y) \geq 2$ , then  $eL^G(X, Y)$  holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $U \supseteq Y$  be any  $G$ -invariant open set, and let  $E$  be a locally free  $G$ -sheaf on  $U$ . Since  $Y$  is a complete intersection, by [6, Corollary IV.1.2] and the proof of [6, Proposition IV.1.1], the  $G$ -equivariant restriction map  $\Gamma(U, E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(\widehat{X}, \widehat{E})$  is an isomorphism. This induces an isomorphism  $\Gamma(U, E)^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(\widehat{X}, \widehat{E})^G$ . Therefore  $L^G(X, Y)$  holds.

Let  $\widehat{X}$  be the formal completion of  $X$  along  $Y$ , and let  $(\mathcal{E}, \{\phi_g\})$  be a locally free  $G$ -sheaf on  $\widehat{X}$ . Since  $Y$  is a scheme-theoretic local complete intersection, by [6, Theorem IV.1.5], we can find an open set  $U \supseteq Y$  (not necessarily  $G$ -invariant) and a locally free sheaf  $E$  on  $U$  such that  $\theta : \widehat{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$  non-equivariantly. We may assume that  $U$  is  $G$ -invariant by replacing  $U$  by the open set  $\bigcap_{g \in G} gU$ . For each  $g \in G$ ,  $g_*E$  is then a locally free sheaf on  $U$  such that we have induced isomorphisms  $\widehat{g_*E} \simeq g_*\mathcal{E}$ , since direct image functor commutes with inverse limits. Since  $E, g_*E$  are locally free sheaves on  $U$ ,  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_U}(E, g_*E)$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -module. Again as above, we have isomorphisms  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_U}(E, g_*E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om_{\widehat{X}}(\widehat{E}, g_*\widehat{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om_{\widehat{X}}(\mathcal{E}, g_*\mathcal{E})$  for each  $g \in G$ . Therefore,  $\phi_g \in \mathcal{H}om_{\widehat{X}}(\mathcal{E}, g_*\mathcal{E})$  can be uniquely lifted to a morphism  $\tilde{\phi}_g \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_U}(E, g_*E)$ . Since the lifts are unique and  $\{\phi_g\}_{g \in G}$  defines a  $G$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\{\tilde{\phi}_g\}_{g \in G}$  defines a  $G$ -module structure on  $E$ . Further  $\theta : \widehat{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  is a  $G$ -equivariant morphism, by definition of the  $G$ -action on  $E$ . Therefore,  $eL^G(X, Y)$  holds.  $\square$

### 3. EQUIVARIANT GROTHENDIECK-LEFSCHETZ THEOREM

We prove Theorem 1.1 in this section. The following Lemma identifying the equivariant Picard groups of a variety  $X$  and its formal completion  $\widehat{X}$  will be crucial for proving our main result.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a non-singular variety with  $G$ -action and let  $Y \subseteq X$  be a  $G$ -invariant closed subscheme such that  $Y$  meets every effective divisor on  $X$ . Let  $\widehat{X}$  denote the completion of  $X$  along  $Y$  with the induced  $G$ -action. Assume that  $\dim(X) \geq 2$  and  $eL^G(X, Y)$  holds. Then the canonical map  $\text{Pic}^G(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^G(\widehat{X})$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Since  $eL^G(X, Y)$  holds, every invertible  $G$ -sheaf on  $\widehat{X}$  extends uniquely to an invertible  $G$ -sheaf on some  $G$ -invariant open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $Y$  by Lemma 2.8. Since  $Y$  meets every effective divisor on  $X$ , we have  $\text{codim}(X - U, X) \geq 2$ . Therefore by [2, Lemma 2(1)],  $\text{Pic}^G(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^G(U)$  is an isomorphism. The canonical morphism  $\text{Pic}^G(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^G(\widehat{X})$  factors through  $\text{Pic}^G(U)$  for every  $G$ -invariant open  $U$  such that  $Y \subseteq U$ . Hence we conclude that  $\text{Pic}^G(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^G(\widehat{X})$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a proper scheme with  $G$ -action and let  $Y \subseteq X$  be a  $G$ -invariant closed subscheme defined by a  $G$ -sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I}$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , let*

$Y_n$  denote the  $G$ -invariant closed subscheme defined by the sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I}^n$ . Then  $\text{Pic}^G(\widehat{X}) \simeq \varprojlim_n \text{Pic}^G(Y_n)$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{F}$  is an invertible  $G$ -sheaf on  $\widehat{X}$ , then  $F_n = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{Y_n}$  is an invertible  $G$ -sheaf on  $Y_n$ . This defines a map  $f : \text{Pic}^G(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \text{Pic}^G(Y_n)$ .

An element of  $\varprojlim_n \text{Pic}^G(Y_n)$  is given by a collection of invertible  $G$ -sheaves  $F_n$  on  $\text{Pic}^G(Y_n)$  along with  $G$ -equivariant isomorphisms  $F_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_{n+1}}} \mathcal{O}_{Y_n} \xrightarrow{\cong} F_n$ . Composing with the natural  $G$ -equivariant map  $F_{n+1} \rightarrow F_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_{n+1}}} \mathcal{O}_{Y_n}$ , we get a projective system of invertible  $G$ -sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$ -modules. Then  $\mathcal{F} = \varprojlim_n F_n$  is an invertible  $G$ -sheaf on  $\widehat{X}$  with  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{Y_n} \simeq F_n$ . Therefore  $f$  is surjective. To see that  $f$  is injective, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an invertible  $G$ -sheaf on  $\widehat{X}$  such that for each  $n$ , there is a  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{Y_n} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_{Y_n}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_n}$  has the canonical  $G$ -action. By [5, Proposition II.9.2] and since  $(-)^G$  is an additive left exact functor preserving products, it follows that the functor  $\Gamma(Y, -)^G$  preserves inverse limits. Therefore  $\Gamma(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{F})^G = \varprojlim_n \Gamma(Y_n, F_n)^G$ , where  $F_n := \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{Y_n}$  and the inverse system  $\Gamma(Y_n, F_n)^G$  satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition [3, Chapter 0, 13.1.2] (since  $Y_n$  is proper,  $\Gamma(Y_n, F_n)^G$  is a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space). By Corollary 2.6, each  $F_n$  has a nowhere vanishing  $G$ -invariant section. Therefore the stable images in the inverse system have nowhere vanishing sections, so we can find a nowhere vanishing section  $s \in \Gamma(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{F})^G$ . Therefore, again by Corollary 2.6,  $\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $k$  be a field and let  $G$  be a finite group. Let  $X$  be a proper non-singular variety over  $k$  with  $G$ -action and let  $Y \subseteq X$  be a  $G$ -invariant closed subscheme defined by a  $G$ -sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I}$ . Suppose that*

- (1)  $eL^G(X, Y)$  holds (see Definition 2.7(2));
- (2)  $Y$  meets every effective divisor on  $X$ ; and
- (3)  $H^i(G; Y, I^n/I^{n+1}) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Then the natural map  $\text{Pic}^G(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^G(Y)$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The natural map in question factors as  $\text{Pic}^G(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Pic}^G(\widehat{X}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Pic}^G(Y)$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the natural restriction maps. The map  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.1. Factorise the map  $\beta$  further as follows. For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $Y_n$  denote the  $G$ -invariant closed subscheme defined by the sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I}^n$ . We have natural maps:

$$\text{Pic}^G(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \text{Pic}^G(Y_n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Pic}^G(Y_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^G(Y_n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Pic}^G(Y).$$

We will show that all the above maps are isomorphisms. The first map is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.2. Let  $n \geq 1$  and consider the exact sequence of  $G$ -sheaves  $0 \rightarrow I^n/I^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_{n+1}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_n}^* \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\mathcal{O}^*$  denotes the multiplicative group of units and the first map is given by  $x \mapsto 1 + x$ . This gives a long exact sequence of  $G$ -cohomology groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H^1(G; Y, I^n/I^{n+1}) &\rightarrow H^1(G; Y_{n+1}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{n+1}}^*) \rightarrow H^1(G; Y_n, \mathcal{O}_{Y_n}^*) \\ &\rightarrow H^2(G; Y, I^n/I^{n+1}) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$



By hypothesis (3), we conclude that  $H^1(G; Y_{n+1}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{n+1}}^*) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(G; Y_n, \mathcal{O}_{Y_n}^*)$ . By [7, Theorem 2.7], this shows that  $\text{Pic}^G(Y_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^G(Y_n)$  is an isomorphism. Consequently,  $\varprojlim_n \text{Pic}^G(Y_n)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Pic}^G(Y_n)$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Suppose  $G$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  and  $Y$  is a  $G$ -invariant closed subscheme of dimension  $\geq 3$  which is a scheme-theoretic complete intersection in  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ . Then the natural map  $\text{Pic}^G(\mathbb{P}_k^n) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^G(Y)$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Since  $Y$  is a  $G$ -invariant scheme-theoretic complete intersection and  $\dim(Y) \geq 3$ ,  $eL^G(X, Y)$  holds by Proposition 2.9 and  $Y$  meets every effective divisor on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  by [6, Theorem III.5.1, Proposition IV.1.1]. Further if  $Y$  is an intersection of hypersurfaces of degree  $d_1, \dots, d_r$  then  $I/I^2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y(-d_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(-d_r)$ . Hence for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $I^n/I^{n+1}$  is a direct sum of sheaves of the form  $\mathcal{O}_Y(m)$  for suitable integers  $m < 0$ . By [11, Proposition 5],  $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m)) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq i < \dim(Y)$  for  $m < 0$ . Since  $\dim(Y) \geq 3$ ,  $H^i(Y, I^n/I^{n+1}) = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq 2$ . Therefore by [7, (2.5)],  $H^i(G; Y, I^n/I^{n+1}) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . This shows that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* It is enough to check as in the above corollary that  $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m)) = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq 2$  and all  $m < 0$ . This follows from the Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem (see [1, Corollary 2.11]) as  $\dim(Y) \geq 3$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Deligne and L. Illusie. “Relèvements modulo  $p^2$  et décomposition du complexe de de Rham”. In: *Invent. Math.* 89.2 (1987), pp. 247–270.
- [2] D. Edidin and W. Graham. “Equivariant intersection theory”. In: *Invent. Math.* 131.3 (1998), pp. 595–634.
- [3] A. Grothendieck. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents. I”. In: *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 11 (1961), p. 167.
- [4] A. Grothendieck. *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam; Masson & Cie, Éditeur, Paris, 1968, pp. vii+287.
- [5] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Vol. 52. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [6] R. Hartshorne. *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 156. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970, pp. xiv+256.
- [7] J. Heller, M. Voineagu, and P. A. Østvær. “Equivariant cycles and cancellation for motivic cohomology”. In: *Doc. Math.* 20 (2015), pp. 269–332.
- [8] M. Hoyois. “The six operations in equivariant motivic homotopy theory”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 305 (2017), pp. 197–279.
- [9] A. Krishna and C. Ravi. “Equivariant vector bundles, their derived category and K-theory on affine schemes”. In: *Annals of K-Theory* 2.2 (2016), pp. 235–275.

- [10] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory*. Third. Vol. 34. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994, pp. xiv+292.
- [11] J.-P. Serre. “Faisceaux algébriques cohérents”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 61 (1955), pp. 197–278.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, P.O. BOX 1053 BLINDERN, N-0316 OSLO, NORWAY

*E-mail address:* `charanyr@math.uio.no`