

1 **Male partners' experiences of caregiving for women with cervical cancer - a**
2 **qualitative study**

3 Key words: male partner, cervical cancer, masculinities, sexuality, qualitative

4

5 **What does this paper contribute to the wider global clinical community?**

- 6 • Male partners describe an interdependence to their partners living with cervical
7 cancer.
- 8 • Male partners describe the sexual relationship as being harmed.
- 9 • Masculinity and care should be reflected on in teaching and supervising in clinical
10 practice.

11

12

Abstract

13 **Aims and objectives:** This study aims to develop knowledge on the experiences of male
14 partners of women with cervical cancer during and after the illness. We explore men's
15 experiences of becoming caregivers as well as how the illness trajectory affects or has affected
16 the relationship.

17 **Background:** Receiving a cancer diagnosis has a significant impact on the lives of both the
18 cancer patient and their family members. However, studies of male partners' experiences with
19 cancer patients are scarce. Additionally, cervical cancer and its impact on male caregivers is less
20 explored than how other cancer diagnoses impact male caregivers. The theoretical concept of
21 *caring masculinities* is helpful to interpret men's experiences as caregivers and partners.

22 **Design:** The study employs a qualitative design with semi-structured interviews with six
23 men/partners recruited through the gynaecological section at a hospital. COREQ reporting
24 guidelines have been applied.

25 **Findings:** Based on our analyses, we find that men's experiences of being caregivers and

1 partners of women treated for cervical cancer are multifaceted, comprising emotional and
2 practical aspects. However, three main findings stand out as particularly significant for men in
3 the context of cervical cancer: loneliness, an altered sexual relationship and shared feelings of
4 vulnerability.

5 **Conclusions:** The men describe an interdependence in the relationship with the women but also
6 how the relationships have been seriously altered, particularly when it comes to sexuality. These
7 findings resonate with hegemonic as well as caring masculinities.

8 **Relevance to practice:** Complex issues of intimacy and sexuality should be a pivotal element in
9 educating future healthcare professionals. We strongly suggest that issues such as dealing with
10 masculinity and caregiving roles should be on the agenda and reflected upon in teaching and
11 supervising in clinical practice. A broader approach to sexual health and relationships is needed
12 in the patient–clinician relationships, including information about HPV.

13

14

Introduction

15 Being diagnosed and treated for cancer has a significant impact on the lives of both
16 patients and their partners. Being the partner of a person diagnosed with cancer means facing
17 new challenges and responsibilities – emotional, social and practical (Lambert, Levesque, &
18 Girgis, 2016; Stenberg, Ruland, & Miaskowski, 2010). However, the experiences and
19 demands of informal caregiving regarding a partner with cancer are not well understood, and
20 more research is needed (Kent et al., 2016; Lambert et al., 2016). The role of caregiving for a
21 family member may be experienced differently by men and women, and in this study, we
22 particularly look into caregiving from male partners' perspectives. Ussher et al. conclude that
23 norms of masculinity and femininity influence partners' ways of participating in their
24 caregiving roles, stating that “cancer caring is not a gender-neutral experience” (Ussher,
25 Sandoval, Perz, Wong, & Butow, 2013, p. 900). For instance, male caregivers tend to seek

1 more external help and support than women (Río-Lozano, García-Calvente, Marcos-Marcos,
2 Entrena-Durán, & Maroto-Navarro, 2013). Men also, more than women, tend to feel closer to
3 the person with cancer (Ussher et al., 2013). There are, however, several knowledge gaps
4 when it comes to such gender differences (Li, Mak, & Loke, 2013). Additionally, in studying
5 family caregivers' experiences with cancer patients, extensive focus has been given to
6 prostate, breast, gastric-intestinal and lung cancers (Stenberg et al., 2010), while cervical
7 cancer and its impact on male caregivers is less explored. Male partners' caregiving role for
8 women with cervical cancer is an understudied subject.

9 **Background**

10 Globally, cervical cancer is the fourth most common cancer in women, and in 2012,
11 cervical cancer accounted for 7,5 % of all female cancer deaths worldwide (Globocan, 2012).
12 The incidence of cervical cancer in Norway is around 300/year, making it the most common
13 cancer for women younger than 35 years. Infection with human papillomavirus (HPV), which
14 is transferred by sexual contact, causes cervical cancer. Around 100 different HPV types are
15 known, and of these, types 16 and 18 cause 70% of cervical cancer (World Health
16 Organization, 2016). To prevent cervical cancer in Norway, a national screening programme
17 encourages women between 25 and 69 years to regularly get screened, and free vaccination
18 applies to all young women born in 1991 or later. Starting in 2018, boys will be included in
19 the vaccination scheme.

20 Cervical cancer treatment depends on the stage of cancer and size of cancer tumour
21 and may consist of surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy (Norwegian Cancer Society,
22 2017). Women may also receive supportive care in the aftermath of treatment, for example by
23 using a vaginal dilator to prevent or treat vaginal stenosis, something which may occur as a
24 side effect of radiotherapy or genital surgery. Improved medical treatment means that today,

1 the five-year relative survival rate for women diagnosed with cervical cancer is 80.6%
2 (Cancer Registry of Norway, 2017).

3 Recent studies indicate that women diagnosed with and treated for gynaecological
4 cancer experience immense changes in their lives (Sekse, Raaheim, Blaaka, & Gjengedal,
5 2010; Solbraekke & Lorem, 2016). These include tensions around personal growth and fear of
6 recurrence, problems connected to sexuality and especially intercourse because of vaginal
7 stenosis, and difficulties associated with early menopause and infertility. We have found few
8 studies addressing how the changes and challenges women experience upon diagnosis and
9 during/after treatment affect their partners and their relationships.

10 A systematic review (Teskereci & Kulakaç, 2016) of the life experiences of family
11 caregivers of women with gynaecological cancer, of which 59% of the included caregivers
12 were men, found that caregivers experience a disruption of daily routines and transformation
13 of lifestyle, roles, physical intimacy and plans for the future. The review shows that many
14 caregivers “dreamed of a future when they would turn back to normal” (p. 14). Moreover,
15 acknowledging the need for more gender-sensitive knowledge on caregiving, Lopez et al.
16 (2012) explored male partners' experiences of caring for their wives/partners with breast and
17 gynaecological cancer. The findings show that men tend to minimise disruptions, focus on
18 tasks and keep stress to themselves; this way of being a caregiver is interpreted as being
19 congruent with masculinity, meaning being the stronger person. In characterising a similar
20 caregiving role of husbands of breast cancer patients, Wagner et al. (2011) argue that
21 husbands conceal the burden inherent in their caregiving role to minimise their
22 wives'/partners concerns. Caregivers of women with ovarian cancer describe negative health
23 changes such as anxiety and depression as well as sex life problems (Beesley, Price, & Webb,
24 2011; Butow et al., 2014; Price et al., 2010).

25

1 **Caring Masculinities**

2 One problematic aspect in the literature on caring for a partner with cancer is that men
3 and masculinity, on one hand, and the care work that comes with the partnership, on the other,
4 are explored as opposites, even as a contradiction in terms (Hanlon, 2012). In light of
5 traditional gendered divisions of labor, this contradiction might hold true. According to Elliot
6 (2016), the effects of traditional forms of masculinity include high-risk behaviours, a lack of
7 safeguarding oneself, poor health and unhealthy relationships, all of which seem to conflict
8 with what may be understood as care work. The problematic side effects of men's traditional
9 gender roles are taken up by Connell (1995) with the concept of *hegemonic masculinity*. This
10 concept refers to a social structure of norms where some men, because of the privilege of their
11 positions, are enabled to dominate and subordinate women and men with less power. The
12 concept has been influential and debated, and one critical argument against this way of
13 approaching men in general is that "...the understanding of men's lives only in terms of
14 power makes it difficult to theorise men's experiences of powerlessness and vulnerability..."
15 (Hanlon 2012, p. 66, cited in (Elliott, 2016). However, as claimed by Elliott (2016), such
16 issues can be reduced through new forms of masculinity with values that can have positive
17 consequences for men. These positive consequences might be, among others, improved
18 mental and psychosocial health, leading to longer life expectancy, a better social life and
19 better family relationships (Elliott, 2016). Considerations like this have led to the concept of
20 *caring masculinities*. This term is used to open up and challenge old ideas regarding ways to
21 be a man and discuss "the concept of care in men's lives" (Elliott, 2016, p. 241). In the
22 context of severe illness, we argue that the concept of caring masculinities can help in
23 analysing and interpreting the experiences of men whose partners have had a serious illness,
24 such as cervical cancer, as well as the emotional experiences and intimate relationships that
25 unfold during and after treatment.

1 participants were either cohabiting or married. For most of the men, it had been one to two
2 years since their wives/partners completed cancer treatment, which means that cancer struck
3 most of the couples in their 30s. Most of the men worked and had one or more children. The
4 men were not offered compensation for taking part in the interviews.

5 **Procedure**

6 The first author conducted all interviews, each of which lasted from 30 to 65 minutes.
7 The participants decided where the interview should take place – at their workplace or at the
8 workplace of the interviewer. A semi-structured interview guide ensured that the following
9 issues were discussed in the interviews: the participants' experiences of their partners being
10 diagnosed and their experiences of the illness trajectory, everyday life, communication,
11 intimacy and the future. The interviews were digitally recorded and transcribed verbatim.
12 Upon transcription, the interviews were anonymised, and the names of both the interviewee
13 and those mentioned in the interviews were replaced with pseudonyms. After each interview,
14 first author wrote a note about her experiences during the encounter with the informant,
15 reflections and analytical ideas. The interviewer, being a young woman and an experienced
16 nurse, had an impact on the interview situation. Exactly how aspects such as professional
17 background, gender and age impact is difficult to know, but awareness of the fact that the
18 researcher affects the research process is fundamental to qualitative studies (Doyle, 2013),
19 and was discussed between the authors. The interviewees' quotes used in this article have
20 been translated from Norwegian to English by the first author.

21 **Analysis**

22 Data analysis began as soon as the first interview had been transcribed, as right from
23 the start the first interview contained long and detailed statements on the participant's
24 experiences. Even though there were differences between the participants, the overall
25 impression was that the men easily shared their thoughts and experiences, even on rather

1 intimate subjects. In parts of the qualitative research tradition, data saturation is described as
2 the gold standard, centring on the question of how many interviews/participants are “needed
3 until nothing new is apparent” (Saunders et al., 2018). However, as our study is embedded in
4 a tradition in which *power information* is acknowledged as the measure for saturation
5 (Malterud, 2016), we find the numbers of participants in our study to be satisfactory.
6 Information power means that the study’s data contain information which is adequate for
7 analysis, and that the knowledge derived from the study is relevant to the research question.
8 Further, results from the present study contribute substantially to the knowledge on men as
9 caregivers and as partners, empirically as well as theoretically.

10 In analysing the dataset, we looked at how participants answered our research
11 question, which is theoretically influenced by gender theory. We wanted to explore the men’s
12 experiences in detail and found thematic analysis, as described by Braun and Clarke (2006),
13 to be useful. Thus, the analysis approach is both deductive and inductive. To become familiar
14 with the dataset, the first and last authors read all the transcribed interviews twice and took
15 notes on possible analytical ideas and themes. This process is described as the first phase of
16 thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

17 In the second phase, the first author coded the entire dataset into semantic codes.
18 These are codes that refer to verbal statements and nothing else. Then, in the next phase, we
19 developed themes based on the codes. We understand that a theme “captures something
20 important about the data in relation to the research question” (Braun & Clarke, 2006) and that
21 themes represent meanings. In the fourth phase, the four authors discussed the suggested
22 themes in relation to the dataset and the research question, and in the fifth phase, we agreed
23 on and named three main themes. Within each main theme, three or four subthemes were
24 identified (see Table 1). Lastly, the authors considered the main themes’ validity and
25 reliability by assessing whether enough data supported them and whether some should be

1 removed or replaced. Hence, a constant challenge in analysing the data has been constructing
2 themes that are internally coherent and consistent and at the same time distinctive (Braun &
3 Clarke, 2006), pp. 90–91). The participants were not offered to provide feedback on the
4 findings.

5 **Trustworthiness**

6 The issue of trustworthiness in interview research is often discussed in relation to
7 objectivity, reliability, validity and generalisation (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). In this study,
8 we have carried out what is called *reflexive objectivity*, which means that we are aware of, and
9 have tried to take into account, our contribution as researchers to the result and conclusions.
10 However, as the four authors come from three different disciplines (nursing, philosophy and
11 sociology), we came to a *dialogical intersubjective* agreement when interpreting the data
12 (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2009, p. 279). Further, in regard to reliability, we have particularly
13 addressed the challenges connected to the interview transcriptions as well as translating
14 quotes from Norwegian to English. The transcripts have been thoroughly read by the first and
15 last author and translated quotes checked against the Norwegian versions. According to Kvale
16 and Brinkmann (2015) validation should permeate the entire research process. We have tried
17 to carry out the study in a systematic, reflexive, ethically sound and transparent way
18 throughout the research period.

19

20 TABLE 1 HERE

21 **Ethics**

22 The study was performed according to the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in
23 2008 (8), and has been approved by the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD, case
24 number 44848). The NSD required that the male participants inform their partners that they
25 wanted to participate in the study and obtain verbal consent from the women. Before the

1 interviews were conducted, informed written consent was obtained from the participants.
2 Herein, interviewees were made aware of the fact that participation was voluntary and that
3 they could withdraw from the study at any given time, including after the interview has taken
4 place. Sharing experiences about being a partner and caregiver of a woman diagnosed and
5 treated for cervical cancer can be demanding because it touches upon private and intimate
6 matters. In conducting the interviews, we tried to remain aware of this and were careful when
7 it came to anonymising the participants. All identifying details, including names, have been
8 removed. The participants were informed about the helpline at The Norwegian Cancer
9 Association if they became emotionally distressed taking part in the interviews.

10 Findings

11 Based on the analysis, we find that male partners of women treated for cervical cancer
12 are a varied group and that their experiences during and after their partners' cervical cancer
13 are multifaceted, comprising emotional and practical aspects. However, we identified three
14 analytical themes that particularly highlighted our research question: loneliness, an altered
15 sexual relationship and shared feelings of vulnerability.

16 Loneliness

17 Different aspects of being on one's own and loneliness were identified in all the men's
18 stories. This came to the fore in different situations, such as being alone in taking care of
19 everyday tasks at home and being neglected as a relative at the hospital as well as a kind of
20 solitude in their relationships with the women.

21 **"It's like being a single father"**. An overall pattern in the men's accounts was the
22 number of practical challenges they had to face when their partners became seriously ill. This
23 was especially the case for the men who had children. Treatment for cervical cancer is
24 particularly tough on the body, and, according to some of the men, their partners' loss of
25 energy lasted after the treatment period. Hence, responsibility for everyday tasks fell to the

1 male partner. During the interviews, several men expressed a sense of overwhelming
2 responsibility. Thomas described “a chaotic everyday life”. Tom compared his situation to
3 being a single father:

4 As a partner, you should be supportive and take care of the children, who are quite
5 difficult in themselves, alone. It is like being a single father, and at the same time, you
6 should try to take care of yourself. You should do housework and prepare food. There
7 is much to do, really.

8 **“No one talks to you”**. Nearly all the men said they felt like an outsider when
9 accompanying their partners to the hospital. They had no problems understanding that the
10 focal attention from healthcare professionals was on the patients, their partners. Nevertheless,
11 Martin described how he was “feeling alone in the situation”, and Ole said: “during the period
12 when she was in the hospital, I felt very lonely in the setting because the focus was fully on
13 her, and I understand that, have no problem with it. But you are very lonely when that
14 happens”.

15 Tom had a conversation with a psychologist just after his wife was diagnosed. “This
16 was the only time I felt like I was in the focus, which was good... because as a relative, no
17 one talks to you; (they) only talk alongside you”. Thomas described it as a very hard and
18 challenging time when his partner became ill and said he felt overlooked by the hospital staff.
19 He said, “If I had shared my thoughts with someone at the hospital at that time, they would
20 have realised that I needed help and that I was going downwards”.

21 **“Inside, I worry”**. Many participants described a close relationship with their partners
22 during and after treatment. At the same time, as they wanted to be considerate of their
23 partners, some of the men kept their thoughts and worries to themselves. For example, Per
24 asked his wife not to worry about the cancer spreading, but “inside, I worry that things can
25 come back”. All the men were concerned, and Tom described how he was overwhelmed by

1 the situation when his wife was diagnosed and how he was not able to share his worries with
2 his wife. "I didn't want to scare her... and I haven't told her how I worried that she should
3 die".

4 Ole described how he and his wife both went through difficult emotions but at
5 different times. This led to little understanding towards one another, which added to the
6 already prominent feelings of loneliness for this participant. He also found it difficult to be
7 honest with his wife about what he needed and missed in their relationship because it could
8 make her feel guilty about how life had turned out.

9 **"Friends that can stand that kind of talk"**. The men had different situations when it
10 came to friends and family to lean on. If the men had family nearby, they got practical help
11 and emotional support. If that was not the case, or if they did not have many friends, the men
12 experienced more feelings of being by themselves in a difficult situation. At the same time,
13 the men also told about being backed up by people they hardly knew, such as other parents
14 from the local football team and colleagues. Sharing experiences about the illness with others
15 was important, as Morten described:

16 It's good to talk to other men, and I have at least a few good friends that can stand that
17 kind of talk. Quite a few men are a bit superficial when it comes to intimate topics. So,
18 I'm lucky.

19 However, unlike Morten, other men strongly missed having relationships that were
20 close enough to talk about such experiences. Some friends even disappeared after the illness
21 entered their lives, as Ole described. "I had a friend, a very good friend who I still talk to. But
22 many others disappeared. We actually lost many friends".

23 None of the participants expressed problems with talking to others about the diagnosis.
24 However, two men thought it was difficult for persons who had no experience with cancer to
25 understand what they were going through, and Tom said: "I don't know how much benefit

1 you really have from talking to someone who does not have a perception of what cancer is. It
2 is something special; if you have not experienced cancer, you know nothing about it and
3 would not understand the situation”.

4 **An Altered Sexual Relationship**

5 **“Sex: a non-issue”.** The men described sex as an intimate and private matter and
6 difficult to talk about. The participants described sex as “a difficult issue”, “nothing to think
7 about” and a “non-issue” throughout the treatment period. This was particularly connected to
8 intercourse. The men experienced episodes of their wives/partners bleeding during
9 penetration, which Per described as “a real turn-off on both our parts”. Ole’s experiences can
10 serve as an example of how cervical cancer impacts sexuality:

11 We have a relationship but do not have the intimate contact many people have; this, of
12 course, is a deprivation for both of us. We are deprived of the opportunity to be
13 intimate since her vagina has grown together. We have not been informed of how we
14 can solve it in other ways. In the beginning, when we had sex, she began to bleed
15 because of the wounds and abrasions after radiation treatment. The vagina also
16 becomes rigid. So many aspects make sex difficult for us.

17 Besides discussing the physical changes in the female genitalia, participants described
18 how hormone treatments affected their partners’ libido and resulted in a lack of energy.

19 **“The magic is lost”.** The location of the cancer had an impact on the relationship and
20 changed it. Thomas sums up the change:

21 I have come to know her body well and everything around the cancer, but getting that
22 close, knowing all the bodily details, does something to the love relationship, and in
23 our case, it has lost some of its magic, something of its carelessness, and it (sex) has
24 become more of an issue.

1 Two factors added to sexuality being an issue: the vaginal dilator and the HPV. Three
2 of the men referred to the vaginal dilator when talking about how their intimate life and sex
3 had changed. This device is to be used by the woman to prevent the vagina from narrowing or
4 losing its elasticity, something which made intercourse difficult. According to Per, his wife
5 found the dilator “nasty” because “it reminded her of the treatment. She wouldn’t even touch
6 it and threw it away”. One of the other women also had a bad experience with a dilator and
7 had not used it, resulting in the vagina becoming narrower. Because of this, Ole shared: “We
8 lost the opportunity to be intimate, and we have not received information on how it could be
9 different”.

10 When it came to HPV, all the participants were aware of the connection between HPV,
11 sexual activity and cervical cancer. While this was not a difficult or taboo issue for most, two
12 men described that it impacted how they thought about sexual activity with their partners.
13 Thomas discussed how information about the disease and treatments affected his libido, and
14 HPV scared him, considering the serious consequences it has for women. He said: “It works
15 as a block. HPV is such an unseemly thing. Thinking of it as contagious, (it) is unpleasant”.

16 **“Something is missing”.** Taking into account the challenges connected to sex, some
17 of the men described how they and their partners had been able to take up sexual activity
18 again, albeit less frequently compared to before cancer struck. Others described how this part
19 of the relationship had changed entirely and continued to be a difficult topic between the two
20 partners. Per experienced it like this:

21 It is not as it was (before), but we are working on it, so it gets better and better. It was,
22 of course, uncomfortable for her when she started bleeding. It was a real turn-off for
23 both, so to speak. I have actually said that it did not bother me, but she closed herself
24 up and did not want to be touched. However, we have managed to get through that. It
25 was after treatment and a while after that, we thought something was missing. We

1 tried again but there was no success, so we have really worked hard to get things
2 working again. It is now in the past; it has worked well, and we are very happy with it.

3

4 Having intercourse was not the only challenge or aspect missing after cancer
5 treatment. Losing the possibility of having biological children also became an issue or even
6 the “biggest obstacle”. This was the case for both Ole and Per, as described by Per: “We
7 cannot have children. She is now sterile, and we do not have kids from before, either. We see
8 friends around us becoming parents. That reminds you: who will take over after us when we
9 are gone?”

10 **Shared Feelings of Vulnerability**

11 While the men described loneliness in different situations, they also pointed to feelings
12 they shared with their wives/partners which linked them to each other. The cancer had an
13 impact on them, in constructive as well as harmful ways, as Ole said: “The cancer has had
14 both positive and negative consequences because you have to reflect on certain things...
15 things that we luckily have learned from”. By taking part in the cancer trajectory of their
16 partners, the men found that they and their partners learned more about each other than they
17 would have without the cancer experience, as illustrated in Per's words:

18 We have learned to know each other for better or worse. I have perhaps become more
19 a person that she can rely on if something difficult in life happens. I guess she knows
20 better now that I am there. On the other hand, I also know that if there is something
21 that worries me, I know that I can talk openly with her about it. Therefore, I think we
22 are very good support for each other through life.

23 **“A new perspective on life”**. Tom felt humbled towards illnesses and being ill as part
24 of life: “Today we talk about illness; we never did that before”. When reflecting on how
25 cancer had affected their lives and their partnerships, a common experience among the men

1 was the feeling as though their partner's cancer had given them "a new perspective on life", as
2 Morten described it. He continued: "We do not care so much about such trivial little things
3 that might annoy us in everyday life, for example. We have learned to let some things go".

4 **"We appreciate every day"**. Thoughts of death varied among the partners. Tom said
5 he did not have any thoughts of death when his partner was diagnosed with cancer, though he
6 seemed surprised that the thought had not occurred to him. Per, however, told how both he
7 and his partner continued to worry that the cancer would come back. For Ole and Thomas,
8 thoughts of death and a constant fear of losing their partner followed them from the time their
9 partners became ill to this very day. Thomas described how the cancer impacted their
10 everyday life:

11 Before the disease, we were both carefree. We never thought of the consequences on
12 our own health or death. We were happy, ignorant, but not any longer. Now, we have
13 seen that we are very vulnerable. It has somehow sneaked upon us, especially with me,
14 a big concern for life. It sounds like such a cliché, but we appreciate every day and
15 spending time with the kids and stuff like that.

16 **"The relationship has been harmed"**. The findings show experiences of positive
17 outcomes of the disease. However, at the same time, the relationships became more
18 complicated, even harmed. Although the women were the ones to undergo the cancer
19 treatment itself, their partners and relationships can be harmed as well. The participants
20 emphasised that cancer is an experience they preferably wanted to live without, as Thomas
21 illuminated:

22 We have gotten to know each other's strengths and weaknesses in an entirely different
23 way than we would have otherwise. I have really seen that she is my next of kin and I
24 am hers, which I have really realised now. I am her closest supporter through
25 everything, and she is mine. That is something we probably did not realise or

1 appreciate before. If we get through this, we will get through it as better people, and I
2 think we have. We see each other more and appreciate each other more in everyday
3 life. Nevertheless, at the same time, the relationship has been harmed.

4 **Discussion**

5 In our study we found that the men described loneliness, an altered sexual relationship
6 and a shared sense of vulnerability as the dominating experiences of being a caregiver. These
7 findings resonate with general descriptions of what characterises caregivers' roles in cancer
8 care (Lambert et al., 2016) and the complexity of the related problems (Stenberg et al., 2010).
9 Being a close relative of and caregiver for someone with cancer is challenging in and of itself,
10 but as we know from the literature, young to middle-aged caregivers tend to be more anxious
11 than older ones, and spousal caregivers experience more anxiety than other caregivers
12 (Lambert et al., 2016). These two groups are the subject of our study. In the following, we
13 will pay closer attention to what we see as the study's main findings and contributions to the
14 research field of informal gendered caregiving, drawing on existing knowledge about
15 caregiving in relation to the concept of caring masculinities.

16 **Broadened Understanding of Sexuality**

17 In an overview on caregivers' roles in cancer care, challenges connected to sexuality
18 are described by Lambert et al. (2016) as a significant problem, and cervical cancer is known
19 to negatively influence a couple's sexual relationship (Sekse, Hufthammer, & Vika, 2017).
20 When the men described the reasons for their changed sexual relationships, they talked about
21 pain, bleeding, the vagina becoming hard and sore, reduced libido and fatigue but also about
22 the women feeling less attractive. It is well known that changes in body image may affect
23 women's experiences of sexuality (Sekse et al., 2017). One of the men confirmed that this
24 was the case for him and his partner. Through getting to know all kinds of details about the
25 genitalia and the treatment consequences, the magic and attraction allegedly needed for a

1 “good” sexual relationship had disappeared. In the ways the men talked about sexual
2 relationships, sexuality seemed to be reduced to the physical aspects, mainly to penetration
3 and intercourse. This way of addressing sexuality may feed into a traditional and hegemonic
4 masculine gender role.

5 To us it is highly relevant to contest such a narrow and masculinised representation of
6 sexuality and sexual needs and take into account a more human-oriented and relational
7 understanding of the phenomena. Interestingly, the literature highlights sexual function and
8 dysfunction, but a broader approach to sexuality is lacking (Cleary & Hegarty, 2011; Sekse et
9 al., 2017). According to the men in our study, the couples received little information from
10 healthcare professionals about “post-cancer sexuality” except for learning about the use of the
11 vaginal dilator. Cleary and Hegarty (2011) claim that information about sexuality tends to be
12 approached from a biomedical perspective. They argue that a multidimensional approach is
13 needed to understand sexuality better and help people with their sexual relationships. In this
14 regard, we argue that healthcare professionals should encourage the notion of caring
15 masculinity, by and through which women’s needs and wishes in sexual relationships might
16 be foregrounded more. After all, our study clearly indicates that men are practising a
17 caregiving role that is sensitive to and inclusive of their female partners’ needs and wishes. If
18 such a role is encouraged in sexual relationships after cervical cancer as well, then female
19 sexuality might be foregrounded in couples’ relationships. Such encouragement may prove to
20 be challenging in practice. Even though cervical cancer was not perceived as taboo by most of
21 the participants in our study, gynaecological matters are still described in the literature as a
22 taboo and silenced matter (Solbraekke & Lorem, 2016; Wray, Markovic, & Manderson,
23 2007). We might even speculate whether this is the cultural premise to which our findings
24 concerning sexuality also relate.

1 **Interdependent caregiving relationships.** The men talked about how they wanted to
2 protect and spare their partners, something which left them with feelings of being on their
3 own and lonely in the situation. Being the protective counterpart in the relationship resonates
4 with aspects of hegemonic/traditional masculinity, where being the stronger person is the
5 ideal (Elliott, 2016). However, men as caregivers tend to seek support from family (Butow et
6 al., 2014), which also proved true in our study. The participants clearly described their needs
7 and worries and how involving others could help them in different ways.

8 In theorising “caring masculinities”, Elliott (2016) presents a practice-based
9 framework for the concept. By “practice-based”, the author refers to men’s actual practices of
10 care, such as Hanlon’s (2012) study of men’s caregiving work in the home in Ireland. Our
11 empirical study may also serve as an example of caring masculinities, realised in the context
12 of having a partner with cervical cancer. Referring to Ungerson (2006), Elliot separates
13 between caring *for*, which entails the practical tasks of care, and caring *about*, which
14 describes the affective relations of care. As the findings section shows, the men in our study
15 cared *for* as well as *about* their partners. Besides taking care of all kinds of practicalities,
16 which is a well-known characteristic of family caregivers (Lambert et al., 2016), they
17 expressed their worries and deep affection towards their partners. The men’s descriptions
18 leave us with the perception that the women depended on the care of their husbands/partners –
19 that they needed help and support in many ways. However, what is also significant in our
20 study is how the men described an interdependence in the relationship with the women as well
21 as with other people. The men expressed a need to be acknowledged, talked to, valued and
22 cared for. This is in line with Elliot (2016), who also draws on feminist theory in theorising
23 caring masculinities, especially the concepts of dependency and interdependency. Elliot
24 describes how care is not something given from one person to another; care is always
25 relational. This perspective is also strongly proposed by several scholars critically

1 investigating care in the context of partners' experiences of severe illness (Aasbo, Solbraekke,
2 Kristvik, & Werner, 2016). We find that theorising caring masculinities in a way that
3 associates with values such as emotion, interdependence and relationality resonate well with
4 the experiences described by our six participants.

5 **Strengths and Limitations of the Study**

6 To make planning the present research project, recruiting participants and the analytic process
7 trustworthy, we aimed to describe these different parts in detail to ensure transparency
8 (Bazeley, 2013). Moreover, the number of interviews were found to be sufficient for
9 obtaining valuable impressions about the men's experiences. However, we do acknowledge
10 that the findings in our study may not reflect how the wider male caregiver population in
11 Norway might experience these phenomena as this has yet to be studied more thoroughly,
12 potentially supported by a quantitative design. Additionally, throughout the research process,
13 we have discussed how researchers influence different parts of the study (Denzin & Lincoln,
14 2013) and how theoretical perspectives are helpful in understanding and discussing analytical
15 findings.

16 **Conclusion and the Way Forward**

17 The findings of this study shed light on men's experiences when their partners are
18 going through the cervical cancer trajectory and on the field of informal cancer care in
19 general, a field of study that has been underexplored. Men experience going through the
20 cervical cancer trajectory with their partner as something that brings enormous changes to
21 their lives. This includes a reorientation of themselves and the changing of life plans, intense
22 care for and support of their partners and also extended responsibilities for practical matters.
23 The men describe a form of interdependence in the relationship with the women but also how
24 the relationships have been seriously altered, particularly when it comes to sexuality. These
25 findings resonate with hegemonic as well as caring masculinities.

1 Taking into account what on a more general level has been described as men's caring
2 values in terms of positive emotions, interdependence and relationships (Elliott, 2016), the
3 lack of knowledge in research studies about how men carry out these values when caring for a
4 severely ill partner is thought-provoking. Without such knowledge, there is a risk that we will
5 continue to reproduce stereotypical depictions of how men and women act and react in the
6 context of severe illness. On this point, we agree with Hanlon (2012), who points out that we
7 cannot deconstruct traditional male values without reconstructing men's emotional lives. That
8 is, we should move beyond the idea that norms of being a man and values of care and emotion
9 contrast with each other. Rather, as we, based on our empirical study of men's experiences of
10 being partners of women treated for cervical cancer, have pointed out, in the context of severe
11 illness and care, they might be intertwined already.

12 **Relevance to Clinical Practice**

13 Based on the findings in our study on the experiences of male partners with women treated for
14 cervical cancer, challenges connected to physical intimacy and sexuality stand out as
15 particularly important, and future research should explore this topic further. Healthcare
16 professionals should be more aware of the complexity of this issue and refer couples to
17 psychologists and/or mental health therapists who are specialised in sex therapy in order to
18 help them to restore their sexual intimacy. Considering the issue of intimacy and sexuality as
19 a pivotal element in educating future healthcare professionals is crucial in this. We also
20 strongly suggest that issues such as dealing with masculinity and care roles should be on the
21 agenda and reflected on in future research as well as in teaching and supervising in clinical
22 practice. Moreover, a broader approach to sexual health and relationships is needed in the
23 patient-clinician relationships. This should include more elaborate information about HPV, as
24 our study shows that this continues to worry some men.

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

References

Aasbo, G., Solbraekke, K. N., Kristvik, E., & Werner, A. (2016). Between disruption and continuity: challenges in maintaining the 'biographical we' when caring for a partner with a severe, chronic illness. *Social Health Illn*, 38(5), 782-796. doi:10.1111/1467-9566.12396

Bazeley, P. (2013). *Qualitative data analysis: practical strategies*. London: Sage.

Beesley, V. L., Price, M. A., & Webb, P. M. (2011). Loss of lifestyle: health behaviour and weight changes after becoming a caregiver of a family member diagnosed with ovarian cancer. *Support Care Cancer*, 19(12), 1949-1956. doi:10.1007/s00520-010-1035-2

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. doi:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Butow, P. N., Price, M. A., Bell, M. L., Webb, P. M., Defazio, A., & Friedlander, M. (2014). Caring for women with ovarian cancer in the last year of life: A longitudinal study of caregiver quality of life, distress and unmet needs. *Gynecologic Oncology*, 132(3), 690-697. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2014.01.002

Cancer Registry of Norway. (2017). Cervical cancer (Livmorhalskreft). Retrieved from <https://www.kreftregisteret.no/Generelt/Fakta-om-kreft/Livmorhalskreft/>

Cleary, V., & Hegarty, J. (2011). Understanding sexuality in women with gynaecological cancer. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 15(1), 38-45. doi:10.1016/j.ejon.2010.05.008

Connell, R. W. (1995). *Masculinities*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2013). *Collecting and interpreting qualitative materials*. Los Angeles, Calif.: SAGE.

Doyle, S. (2013). Reflexivity and the Capacity to Think. *Qualitative Health Research*, 23(2), 248-255. doi:10.1177/1049732312467854

Elliott, K. (2016). Caring Masculinities. *Men and Masculinities*, 19(3), 240-259. doi:10.1177/1097184X15576203

Globocan. (2012). Cervical Cancer Estimated Incidence, Mortality and Prevalence Worldwide in 2012 *Cancer Fact Sheets*. Retrieved from http://globocan.iarc.fr/Pages/fact_sheets_cancer.aspx

Hanlon, N. (2012). *Masculinities, Care, and Equality: Identity and Nurture in Men's Lives*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Kent, E., H., R. J., Laurel, N., Kristin, L., Sylvia, C. W. Y., Nonniekaye, S., . . . Karen, H. (2016). Caring for caregivers and patients: Research and clinical priorities for informal cancer caregiving. *Cancer*, 122(13), 1987-1995. doi:doi:10.1002/cncr.29939

Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2009). *Interviews. Learning the Craft of Qualitative Research Interviewing*. Los Angeles, London, New Dehli, Singapore: Sage.

Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2015). *Interviews. Learning the Craft of Qualitative Research Interviewing. Third edition*. Los Angeles, London, New Dehli, Singapore: Sage.

Lambert, S. D., Levesque, J. V., & Girgis, A. (2016). The impact of cancer and chronic conditions on caregivers and family members. In B. Koczwara (Ed.), *Cancer and Chronic Conditions: Addressing the Problem of Multimorbidity in Cancer Patients and Survivors* (pp. 159-202). Singapore: Singer.

Li, Q. P., Mak, Y. W., & Loke, A. Y. (2013). Spouses' experience of caregiving for cancer patients: a literature review. *International Nursing Review*, 60(2), 178-187. doi:10.1111/inr.12000

Lopez, V., Copp, G., & Molassiotis, A. (2012). Male caregivers of patients with breast and gynecologic cancer: experiences from caring for their spouses and partners. *Cancer Nursing*, 35(6), 402-410. doi:10.1097/NCC.0b013e318231daf0

Norwegian Cancer Society. (2017). Cervix cancer. Retrieved from <https://kreftforeningen.no/om-kreft/kreftformer/livmorhalskreft/>

- 1 Price, M. A., Butow, P. N., Costa, D. S., King, M. T., Aldridge, L. J., Fardell, J. E., . . . Webb, P. M. (2010).
2 Prevalence and predictors of anxiety and depression in women with invasive ovarian cancer
3 and their caregivers. *Medical Journal of Australia*, *193*(5 Suppl), S52-57.
- 4 Río-Lozano, M. d., García-Calvente, M. d. M., Marcos-Marcos, J., Entrena-Durán, F., & Maroto-
5 Navarro, G. (2013). Gender Identity in Informal Care. *Qualitative Health Research*, *23*(11),
6 1506-1520. doi:doi:10.1177/1049732313507144
- 7 Sekse, R. J. T., Hufthammer, K. O., & Vika, M. E. (2017). Sexual activity and functioning in women
8 treated for gynaecological cancers. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, *26*(3-4), 400-410.
9 doi:10.1111/jocn.13407
- 10 Sekse, R. J. T., Raaheim, M., Blaaka, G., & Gjengedal, E. (2010). Life beyond cancer: women's
11 experiences 5 years after treatment for gynaecological cancer. *Scandinavian Journal of*
12 *Caring Sciences*, *24*(4), 799-807. doi:10.1111/j.1471-6712.2010.00778.x
- 13 Solbraekke, K. N., & Lorem, G. (2016). Breast-cancer-isation explored: Social experiences of
14 gynaecological cancer in a Norwegian context. *Sociol Health Illn*, *38*(8), 1258-1271.
15 doi:10.1111/1467-9566.12459
- 16 Stenberg, U., Ruland, C. M., & Miaskowski, C. (2010). Review of the literature on the effects of caring
17 for a patient with cancer. *Psycho-Oncology*, *19*(10), 1013-1025. doi:10.1002/pon.1670
- 18 Teskereci, G., & Kulakaç, O. (2016). Life experiences of caregivers of women with gynaecological
19 cancer: a mixed-methods systematic review. *European Journal of Cancer Care*, n/a-n/a.
20 doi:10.1111/ecc.12456
- 21 Ungerson, C. (2006). Gender, care, and the welfare state. In K. Davis, L. Evans, & J. Lorber (Eds.),
22 *Handbook of gender and women's studies* (pp. 272-286). London: Sage.
- 23 Ussher, J. M., Sandoval, M., Perz, J., Wong, W. K. T., & Butow, P. (2013). The Gendered Construction
24 and Experience of Difficulties and Rewards in Cancer Care. *Qualitative Health Research*,
25 *23*(7), 900-915. doi:10.1177/1049732313484197
- 26 Wagner, C. D., Tanmoy Das, L., Bigatti, S. M., & Storniolo, A. M. (2011). Characterizing burden,
27 caregiving benefits, and psychological distress of husbands of breast cancer patients during
28 treatment and beyond. *Cancer Nursing*, *34*(4), E21-30. doi:10.1097/NCC.0b013e31820251f5
- 29 World Health Organization. (2016). Human papillomavirus (HPV) and cervical cancer. Fact sheet.
30 Retrieved 3.4.2018 <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs380/en/>
- 31 Wray, N., Markovic, M., & Manderson, L. (2007). Discourses of normality and difference: responses
32 to diagnosis and treatment of gynaecological cancer of Australian women. *Social Science and*
33 *Medicine*, *64*(11), 2260-2271. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.02.034

34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **Table 1** Main themes and subthemes

Male partners' experiences of caregiving for women with cervical cancer

1 Main themes	Subthemes
2	
3 Loneliness	It's like being a single father
4	No one talks to you
5	Inside, I worry
6	Friends can't stand that kind of talk
7	
8 An altered sexual relationship	Sex – a non-issue
9	The magic is lost
10	Something is missing
11	
12 Shared feelings of vulnerability	A new perspective on life
13	We appreciate every day
14	The relationship has been harmed
15	
16	
17	
