

The Shuffle Filtration of Hochschild Cohomology

Arne B. Sletsjøe

In [Q] Quillen introduced a decomposition of Hochschild cohomology in the case where the ground field contains the rational numbers. Later this Hodge-type decomposition has been studied from many different points of view. Gerstenhaber and Schack define in [G-S] a decomposition in terms of eigenvectors of certain operators on the Hochschild complex. Burghlea and Vigue-Poirrier ([B-V]) use powers of the differentials of a minimal model of the algebra. Finally, in [L] Loday defines the γ -filtration of the Hochschild complex, also proving that in the case $\mathbf{Q} \subset k$ this gives a decomposition of Hochschild homology which coincides with the one defined in [G-S] and [B-V]. In [R] Ronco encloses the circle, proving that all decompositions are the same as defined in [Q].

The Hochschild complex has a structure as an associative algebra via the shuffle-product. By considering shuffle-powers of the augmentation ideal we obtain a filtration of the Hochschild cochain complex. The purpose of this note is to show that this filtration coincides with the γ -filtration in [L] and thus in characteristic zero gives another interpretation of the decomposition of Hochschild cohomology.

$$-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-$$

Let A be a commutative k -algebra and M a symmetric A -bimodule (i.e. with commuting left and right action). We define the "symmetrized" bar complex

$$B_n = A \otimes A^{\otimes n}$$

viewed as a symmetric A -bimodule through multiplication on the left A factor. A general element $a \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$ is denoted $a[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ and the differential

$$\partial = \partial_n : B_n \rightarrow B_{n-1}$$

is given by the action on the element $a[a_1, \dots, a_n]$;

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(a[a_1, \dots, a_n]) = & a a_1 [a_2, \dots, a_n] + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i a [a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n] \\ & + (-1)^n a_n a [a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}] \end{aligned}$$

In particular $\partial_1 = 0$.

Definition 1.

With the notation as above we define Hochschild homology of A with coefficients in M as

$$H_*(A, M) = H(B_* \otimes_A M)$$

Hochschild cohomology of A with values in M is defined as

$$H^*(A, M) = H(\text{Hom}_A(B_*, M))$$

It is easily seen that Hochschild cohomology can be computed as cohomology of the complex $\text{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes \bullet}, M)$ with differential

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial\phi)[a_1, \dots, a_n] &= a_1\phi[a_2, \dots, a_n] + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \phi[a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n] \\ &\quad + (-1)^n a_n \phi[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}] \end{aligned}$$

Hochschild cohomology is the correct cohomology in the category of (non-commutative) k -algebras in the sense that all cohomology groups of order ≥ 2 vanish for a free k -algebra. When working in the category of commutative k -algebras, where the free objects are polynomial rings, we need a cohomology theory where the higher cohomology groups vanish on these objects. Hochschild cohomology does not satisfy this condition, and we need some modifications of the complex.

Definition 2.

A permutation $\pi \in S_n$ is called a shuffling if $\exists 1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$\pi(j) < \pi(k) \quad \text{whenever } 1 \leq j < k \leq i \text{ or } i+1 \leq j < k \leq n$$

We name the shufflings by the i ; $(i, n-i)$ -shufflings.

Notice that the i in the definition is not unique; a shuffling π is a $(i, n-i)$ -shuffling for more than one i .

There is a 1-dimensional representation of the group-ring $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ given by the signature of a permutation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{sgn} : \mathbb{Q}[S_n] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q} \\ \pi & \longmapsto & \text{sgn}\pi \end{array}$$

The permutations may be viewed as a \mathbb{Q} -basis for the group-ring $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$, and for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ we let

$$s_{i, n-i} = \sum (\text{sgn}\pi)\pi$$

where the sum is taken over all $(i, n-i)$ -shufflings. As a convention we put $s_{0, n} = s_{n, 0} = 0$

We also define $s_n = \sum_{i=0}^n s_{i, n-i}$. Notice that $\text{sgn}(s_{i, n-i}) = \binom{n}{i}$ and consequently $\text{sgn}(s_n) = 2^n - 2$.

The Shuffle Filtration of Hochschild Cohomology

Arne B. Sletsjøe

In [Q] Quillen introduced a decomposition of Hochschild cohomology in the case where the ground field contains the rational numbers. Later this Hodge-type decomposition has been studied from many different points of view. Gerstenhaber and Schack define in [G-S] a decomposition in terms of eigenvectors of certain operators on the Hochschild complex. Burghlea and Vigue-Poirrier ([B-V]) use powers of the differentials of a minimal model of the algebra. Finally, in [L] Loday defines the γ -filtration of the Hochschild complex, also proving that in the case $\mathbf{Q} \subset k$ this gives a decomposition of Hochschild homology which coincides with the one defined in [G-S] and [B-V]. In [R] Ronco encloses the circle, proving that all decompositions are the same as defined in [Q].

The Hochschild complex has a structure as an associative algebra via the shuffle-product. By considering shuffle-powers of the augmentation ideal we obtain a filtration of the Hochschild cochain complex. The purpose of this note is to show that this filtration coincides with the γ -filtration in [L] and thus in characteristic zero gives another interpretation of the decomposition of Hochschild cohomology.

-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-

Let A be a commutative k -algebra and M a symmetric A -bimodule (i.e. with commuting left and right action). We define the "symmetrized" bar complex

$$B_n = A \otimes A^{\otimes n}$$

viewed as a symmetric A -bimodule through multiplication on the left A factor. A general element $a \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$ is denoted $a[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ and the differential

$$\partial = \partial_n : B_n \rightarrow B_{n-1}$$

is given by the action on the element $a[a_1, \dots, a_n]$;

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(a[a_1, \dots, a_n]) = & aa_1[a_2, \dots, a_n] + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i a[a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n] \\ & + (-1)^n a_n a[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}] \end{aligned}$$

In particular $\partial_1 = 0$.

The Shuffle Filtration of Hochschild Cohomology

Arne B. Sletsjøe

In [Q] Quillen introduced a decomposition of Hochschild cohomology in the case where the ground field contains the rational numbers. Later this Hodge-type decomposition has been studied from many different points of view. Gerstenhaber and Schack define in [G-S] a decomposition in terms of eigenvectors of certain operators on the Hochschild complex. Burghelea and Vigue-Poirrier ([B-V]) use powers of the differentials of a minimal model of the algebra. Finally, in [L] Loday defines the γ -filtration of the Hochschild complex, also proving that in the case $\mathbf{Q} \subset k$ this gives a decomposition of Hochschild homology which coincides with the one defined in [G-S] and [B-V]. In [R] Ronco encloses the circle, proving that all decompositions are the same as defined in [Q].

The Hochschild complex has a structure as an associative algebra via the shuffle-product. By considering shuffle-powers of the augmentation ideal we obtain a filtration of the Hochschild cochain complex. The purpose of this note is to show that this filtration coincides with the γ -filtration in [L] and thus in characteristic zero gives another interpretation of the decomposition of Hochschild cohomology.

-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-

Let A be a commutative k -algebra and M a symmetric A -bimodule (i.e. with commuting left and right action). We define the "symmetrized" bar complex

$$B_n = A \otimes A^{\otimes n}$$

viewed as a symmetric A -bimodule through multiplication on the left A factor. A general element $a \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$ is denoted $a[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ and the differential

$$\partial = \partial_n : B_n \rightarrow B_{n-1}$$

is given by the action on the element $a[a_1, \dots, a_n]$;

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(a[a_1, \dots, a_n]) &= a a_1 [a_2, \dots, a_n] + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i a [a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n] \\ &\quad + (-1)^n a_n a [a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}] \end{aligned}$$

In particular $\partial_1 = 0$.

Definition 1.

With the notation as above we define Hochschild homology of A with coefficients in M as

$$H_*(A, M) = H(B_* \otimes_A M)$$

Hochschild cohomology of A with values in M is defined as

$$H^*(A, M) = H(\text{Hom}_A(B_*, M))$$

It is easily seen that Hochschild cohomology can be computed as cohomology of the complex $\text{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes \bullet}, M)$ with differential

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial\phi)[a_1, \dots, a_n] &= a_1\phi[a_2, \dots, a_n] + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \phi[a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n] \\ &\quad + (-1)^n a_n \phi[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}] \end{aligned}$$

Hochschild cohomology is the correct cohomology in the category of (non-commutative) k -algebras in the sense that all cohomology groups of order ≥ 2 vanish for a free k -algebra. When working in the category of commutative k -algebras, where the free objects are polynomial rings, we need a cohomology theory where the higher cohomology groups vanish on these objects. Hochschild cohomology does not satisfy this condition, and we need some modifications of the complex.

Definition 2.

A permutation $\pi \in S_n$ is called a shuffling if $\exists 1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$\pi(j) < \pi(k) \quad \text{whenever } 1 \leq j < k \leq i \text{ or } i+1 \leq j < k \leq n$$

We name the shufflings by the i ; $(i, n-i)$ -shufflings.

Notice that the i in the definition is not unique; a shuffling π is a $(i, n-i)$ -shuffling for more than one i .

There is a 1-dimensional representation of the group-ring $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ given by the signature of a permutation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{sgn} : \mathbb{Q}[S_n] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q} \\ \pi & \longmapsto & \text{sgn}\pi \end{array}$$

The permutations may be viewed as a \mathbb{Q} -basis for the group-ring $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$, and for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ we let

$$s_{i, n-i} = \sum (\text{sgn}\pi)\pi$$

where the sum is taken over all $(i, n-i)$ -shufflings. As a convention we put $s_{0, n} = s_{n, 0} = 0$

We also define $s_n = \sum_{i=0}^n s_{i, n-i}$. Notice that $\text{sgn}(s_{i, n-i}) = \binom{n}{i}$ and consequently $\text{sgn}(s_n) = 2^n - 2$.

We would like to call $s_{i,n-i}$ a "shuffle-product", and the following will justify the name. The tensor algebra $T = T_k(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A^{\otimes n}$ is obviously an algebra under the tensor-product. But it is also an algebra under the shuffle-product

$$[a_1, \dots, a_i] \star [a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n] = s_{i,n-i}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$$

The \star -product is associative and graded-commutative and we have the relation ([B])

$$\begin{aligned} \partial([a_1, \dots, a_i] \star [a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n]) &= \partial[a_1, \dots, a_i] \star [a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n] \\ &\quad + (-1)^i [a_1, \dots, a_i] \star \partial[a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n] \end{aligned}$$

This makes the algebra (T, \star) into a differential graded-commutative algebra and the shuffle-product is a "real" product. We denote by Λ the algebra $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A^{\otimes n}$ with the shuffle-product \star (to distinguish it from the ordinary tensoralgebra T with the \otimes -product) and put $\Lambda_+ = \bigoplus_{n > 0} A^{\otimes n}$; the augmentation ideal. Thus we obtain a descending sequence of ideals of Λ ;

$$\Lambda_+ \supset \Lambda_+ \star \Lambda_+ \supset \Lambda_+ \star \Lambda_+ \star \Lambda_+ \supset \dots$$

Notice that the algebra Λ is not generated in degree 1. In fact it is not even finitely generated.

The sequence of inclusions of ideals induces a sequence of surjections

$$\dots \rightarrow \Lambda / \Lambda_+ \star \Lambda_+ \star \Lambda_+ \rightarrow \Lambda / \Lambda_+ \star \Lambda_+ \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

The \star -product is homogenous and ∂ is a differential with respect to \star . The quotients $\Lambda / \Lambda_+^{\star n}$ are thus associative, differential graded-commutative algebras. The sequence itself is not stabilized, but if we focus on each degree (i.e. \otimes -degree) it will stabilize. It is easily seen that in \otimes -degree n there are no \star -products of degree $\geq n+1$, and in that case the quotient is no longer a quotient, but the whole algebra Λ .

The quotients $\Lambda_+ / \Lambda_+^{\star n}$ are equipped with a symmetric A -bimodule structure by tensoring by A from left. Thus we obtain a sequence of symmetric A -bimodules

$$\dots \rightarrow \Lambda_4 \rightarrow \Lambda_3 \rightarrow \Lambda_2 \rightarrow 0$$

where $\Lambda_j = A \otimes_k \Lambda_+ / \Lambda_+^{\star j}$. If M is another symmetric A -bimodule we get, as before, the two complexes

$$\Lambda_j \otimes_A M \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}_A(\Lambda_j, M) \quad j \geq 2$$

Let us consider the complexes $\text{Hom}_A(\Lambda_j, M)$. Since the sequence (1) is a sequence of surjections there is a filtration of complexes

$$0 \subset \text{Hom}_A(\Lambda_2, M) \subset \text{Hom}_A(\Lambda_3, M) \subset \dots$$

where we as well could write $\text{Hom}_A(\Lambda_j, M) = \text{Hom}_k(\Lambda_+ / \Lambda_+^{\star j}, M)$ with the differential given in the beginning of this section. We put $F^j C^\bullet = \text{Hom}_A(\Lambda_j, M)$ and consider the short-exact sequences of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow F^n C^\bullet \rightarrow F^{n+1} C^\bullet \rightarrow F^{n+1} C^\bullet / F^n C^\bullet \rightarrow 0$$

Summing up over n we obtain another exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_n F^n C^\bullet \rightarrow \bigoplus_n F^{n+1} C^\bullet \rightarrow \bigoplus_n F^{n+1} C^\bullet / F^n C^\bullet \rightarrow 0$$

and therefore an exact couple

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(\bigoplus_n F^n C^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & H(\bigoplus_n F^n C^\bullet) \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ & H(\bigoplus_n F^{n+1} C^\bullet / F^n C^\bullet) & \end{array}$$

Remembering the observation that the sequence (1) is stabilized to the Hochschild complex in each degree we have proved the following

Theorem 3. (Shuffle-filtration spectral sequence)

There is a 2. quadrant spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(F^{-p+1} C^\bullet / F^{-p} C^\bullet)$$

converging to the Hochschild cohomology $H^\bullet(A, M)$.

◇

Notice that $E_1^{-1,n+1} = \text{Harr}^n(A, M)$ is the Harrison cohomology of A .

Definition 4.

Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be an ordered m -tuple of positive integers such that $i_1 + \dots + i_m = n$. An I -shuffling is a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ with the property

$$\pi(j) < \pi(k) \quad \text{whenever} \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq i_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_l + 1 \leq j < k \leq \alpha_{l+1}$$

for some $1 \leq l \leq m-1$, where $\alpha_l = \alpha_l(I) = i_1 + \dots + i_l$ for $l \geq 1$ and $\alpha_0 = 0$.

For I defined as above we let

$$s_I = \sum (\text{sgn} \pi) \pi$$

where the sum is taken over all I -shufflings, the "multi-shuffle-products".

Remember that $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s_{i,n-i}$. A better name would have been $s_n^{(2)}$, since it contains all squares. For the same reason we put

$$s_n^{(m)} = \sum s_I$$

where the sum is taken over all m -tuples as defined in Definition 4.

Put $I(j) = I - (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, subtraction as m -tuples by 1 in the j -th place.

Lemma 5.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial s_I[r_1, \dots, r_n] = \\ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\alpha_j} s_{I(j)}[r_1, \dots, r_{\alpha_j}] \otimes \partial[r_{\alpha_j+1}, \dots, r_{\alpha_{j+1}}] \otimes [r_{\alpha_{j+1}+1}, \dots, r_n] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Repeated use of formula (1.) ◇

Lemma 6.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial[r_1, \dots, r_n] = & \partial[r_1, \dots, r_{\alpha_1+1}] \otimes [r_{\alpha_1+2}, \dots, r_n] \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} (-1)^{\alpha_j} [r_1, \dots, r_{\alpha_j}] \otimes \partial[r_{\alpha_j+1}, \dots, r_{\alpha_{j+1}+1}] \otimes [r_{\alpha_{j+1}+2}, \dots, r_n] \\ & + [r_1, \dots, r_{\alpha_{m-1}}] \otimes \partial[r_{\alpha_{m-1}+1}, \dots, r_n] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Repeated use of Proposition 2.3 of [B]. ◇

Proposition 7.

Fix $m \geq 1$. The family $\{s_n^{(m)}\}$ commutes with the differential ∂ , i.e.

$$\partial s_n^{(m)} = s_{n-1}^{(m)} \partial$$

Proof. An easy consequence of Lemma 5 and 6. ◇

The element $s_n^{(m)}$ is the sum of all m -multi-shuffles and plays an important role in this theory. Nevertheless it is lacking some good properties. We have to introduce a related element, $e_n^{(m)}$, defined in the next lemma, which essentially is due to Barr ([B]). He stated it for $m = 2$ only, but the proof workes also for $m \geq 3$.

Lemma 8.

Given $s_n^{(k)}$ as above, there exists another element in $\mathbf{Q}[S_n]$, denoted $e_n^{(k)}$, with the following properties;

- i) $e_n^{(k)}$ is a polynomial in $s_n^{(k)}$ without constant term
- ii) $\text{sgn}(e_n^{(k)}) = 1$

- iii) $\partial e_n^{(k)} = e_{n-1}^{(k)} \partial$
- iv) $(e_n^{(k)})^2 = e_n^{(k)}$
- v) $e_n^{(k)} \cdot s_I = s_I$ for all k -shuffleproducts s_I ;
 $I = (p_1, \dots, p_k)$ and $p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k = n$

Proof. We have $\text{sgn } s_n^{(k)} \neq 0$, in fact [L] gives $\text{sgn } s_n^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{i-1} i^n$. Put $e_k^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k!} s_k^{(k)} = \epsilon_k$. Suppose we have found $e_k^{(k)}, e_{k+1}^{(k)}, \dots, e_{n-1}^{(k)}$ satisfying the given conditions. Suppose $e_{n-1}^{(k)} = p(s_{n-1}^{(k)})$. We define

$$e_n^{(k)} = p(s_n^{(k)}) + (1 - p(s_n^{(k)})) \cdot \frac{s_n^{(k)}}{\text{sgn } s_n^{(k)}}$$

We start by proving the lemma for $e_k^{(k)}$. By construction it satisfies i) and ii). Furthermore $\partial \epsilon_k = 0 = e_{k-1}^{(k)} \partial$. $\epsilon_k^2 = \epsilon_k$ and the only k -shuffling in s_k is multiplication by ϵ_k . Hence ϵ_k satisfies i)-v).

Consider $e_n^{(k)}$. Once more; by construction it satisfies i) and ii). In [L] Loday proves that $\partial s_n^{(k)} = s_{n-1}^{(k)} \partial$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \partial e_n^{(k)} &= p(s_{n-1}^{(k)}) \partial + \frac{1}{\text{sgn } s_n^{(k)}} (1 - p(s_{n-1}^{(k)})) \cdot s_{n-1}^{(k)} \partial \\ &= e_{n-1}^{(k)} \partial + \frac{1}{\text{sgn } s_n^{(k)}} (1 - e_{n-1}^{(k)}) \cdot s_{n-1}^{(k)} \partial \\ &= e_{n-1}^{(k)} \partial \end{aligned}$$

since $s_{n-1}^{(k)} = \sum_I s_I$ and $s_{n-1}^{(k)} - e_{n-1}^{(k)} s_{n-1}^{(k)} = 0$. Furthermore, $\partial (e_n^{(k)})^2 = (e_{n-1}^{(k)})^2 \partial = e_{n-1}^{(k)} \partial = \partial e_n^{(k)}$. Hence $\partial ((e_n^{(k)})^2 - e_n^{(k)}) = 0$ and therefore $(e_n^{(k)})^2 = e_n^{(k)}$. The equalities

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial e_n^{(k)} s_I[r_1, \dots, r_n] \\ &= e_{n-1}^{(k)} \partial s_I[r_1, \dots, r_n] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\alpha_j} e_{n-1}^{(k)} s_{I(j)}[r_1, \dots, r_{\alpha_j}] \otimes \partial[r_{\alpha_j+1}, \dots, r_{\alpha_j+1}] \otimes [r_{\alpha_j+1+1}, \dots, r_n] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\alpha_j} s_{I(j)}[r_1, \dots, r_{\alpha_j}] \otimes \partial[r_{\alpha_j+1}, \dots, r_{\alpha_j+1}] \otimes [r_{\alpha_j+1+1}, \dots, r_n] \\ &= \partial s_I[r_1, \dots, r_n] \end{aligned}$$

implies that $\partial (e_n^{(k)} s_I - s_I) = 0$ hence $e_n^{(k)} s_I - s_I = \text{sgn}(e_n^{(k)} s_I - s_I) \epsilon_n = 0$. Thus we have also proved v), which completes the proof. ◇

Corollary 9.

The ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ generated by all $(i, n - i)$ -shufflings equals the principal ideal generated by $e_n^{(k)}$, equals the principal ideal generated by $s_n^{(k)}$.

Proof. An immediate consequence of Lemma 8. ◇

Theorem 10. ([B-V], [G-S], [Q], [L])

If $\mathbb{Q} \subset k$ the decomposition of Hochschild cohomology

$$H^n(A, M) = \bigoplus_i H_{(i)}^n(A, M)$$

is obtained by putting

$$H_{(i)}^n(A, M) = E_1^{-i, n+i}$$

where the term $E_1^{-i, n+i}$ refers to the spectral sequence of Th.3.

Proof. Loday has shown [L] that there is a γ -filtration $F_i^\gamma B_n$ of B_n which in the case $\mathbb{Q} \subset k$ gives the same decomposition of Hochschild homology as studied in [Q], [B-V] and [G-S]. Following Loday it is easy to show that F_m^γ is generated by $s_n^{(m-1)}, s_n^{(m)}, \dots, s_n^{(n)}$. But this is exactly the ideal generated by the $(m - 1)$ -multishuffles, and the γ -filtration and the shuffle-filtration coincide. Hence Th.3.7. of [L] gives the desired result. ◇

Referances

- [B] Barr, M. Harrison homology, Hochschild homology and triples.
J. Algebra 8 (1968) pp.314-323.
- [B-V] Burghlea, D. and Vigue-Poirrier, M. Cyclic homology of commutative algebras I.
Lecture Notes in Maths, Vol. 1318 (1988) pp.51-72.
- [G-S] Gerstenhaber, M. and Schack, S.D. A Hodge-type decomposition for commutative algebras.
J. of Pure Appl. Alg. 48 no.3 (1987) pp.229-247.
- [L] Loday, J.-L., Operations sur l'homologie cyclique des algebres commutatives.
Invent. Math.(1989) pp.205-230.

[R] Ronco, M. Sur l'homologie d'Andre-Quillen.
Preprint, IRMA, Strasbourg (1990).

[Q] Quillen, D. On the (co)homology of commutative rings.
Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 17 (1970) pp.65-87.

MATEMATISK INSTITUTT
UNIVERSITETET I OSLO
Pb. 1053 BLINDERN
N-0316 OSLO 3
NORGE