# Tridiagonal doubly stochastic matrices

#### Geir Dahl\*

#### April 19, 2004

#### Abstract

We study the facial structure of the polytope  $\Omega_n^t$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  consisting of the tridiagonal doubly stochastic matrices of order n. We also discuss some subclasses of  $\Omega_n^t$  with focus on spectral properties and rank formulas. Finally we discuss a connection to majorization.

**Keywords:** Doubly stochastic matrix, Birkhoff polytope, eigenvalue, random walk, majorization.

### 1 Introduction

A (real)  $n \times n$  matrix A is *doubly stochastic* if it is nonnegative and all its row and column sums are one. The *Birkhoff polytope*, denoted by  $\Omega_n$ , consists of all doubly stochastic matrices of order n. A well-known theorem of Birkhoff and von Neumann (see [3]) states that  $\Omega_n$  is the convex hull of all permutation matrices of order n. In this paper we discuss the subclass of  $\Omega_n$  consisting of the tridiagonal doubly stochastic matrices and the corresponding subpolytope

$$\Omega_n^t = \{ A \in \Omega_n : A \text{ is tridiagonal} \}$$

of the Birkhoff polytope. We call  $\Omega_n^t$  the tridiagonal Birkhoff polytope.  $\Omega_n^t$  is a face of  $\Omega_n$  and the structure of this face is investigated in the next section. Throughout the paper we assume that  $n \geq 2$ .

The permanent of tridiagonal doubly stochastic matrices was investigated in [7] and it was shown that the minimum permanent in this class is  $1/2^{n-1}$  (where *n* denotes the order of the matrices). We remark that this result may also be derived from a related result in [4].

Tridiagonal doubly stochastic matrices arise in connection with random walks on the integers  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  where (i) in a single transition from an integer *i* the process (say, a person) either stays in *i* or moves to an adjacent integer, and (ii) the transition probabilities are symmetric in the sense that  $p_{i,i+1} = p_{i+1,i}$   $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ . We return to this example in section 4.

<sup>\*</sup>Centre of Mathematics for Applications, University of Oslo, c/o Dept. of Mathematics, P.O. Box 1053 Blindern, NO-0316 Oslo, NORWAY (geird@ifi.uio.no)

The notation in this paper is as follows. An all zeros matrix is denoted by O, and we let  $J_n$  (or simply J) denote the all ones square matrix of order n. For a matrix (or vector) A we write  $A \ge O$  if A is (componentwise) nonnegative. As usual the components of a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are denoted by  $x_i$ , so  $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ . The cardinality of a finite set S is denoted by |S|.

## **2** The polytope $\Omega_n^t$

We first describe a representation of all matrices in  $\Omega_n^t$ . Define the polytope

$$P_n = \{ \mu \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : \mu \ge 0 , \quad \mu_i + \mu_{i+1} \le 1 \quad (1 \le i \le n-2) \}$$
(1)

in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 3$ . We also define  $P_2 = [0, 1]$ . For each vector  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  we define the associated  $n \times n$  matrix

$$A_{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \mu_{1} & \mu_{1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \mu_{1} & 1 - \mu_{1} - \mu_{2} & \mu_{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{2} & 1 - \mu_{2} - \mu_{3} & \mu_{3} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \mu_{n-2} & 1 - \mu_{n-2} - \mu_{n-1} & \mu_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \mu_{n-1} & 1 - \mu_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

So this is a symmetric matrix and its subdiagonal is equal to  $\mu$ . If  $\mu \in P_n$ , then the matrix  $A_{\mu}$  is doubly stochastic and tridiagonal, i.e.,  $A_{\mu} \in \Omega_n^t$ . A useful fact is that every matrix in  $\Omega_n$  has the form  $A_{\mu}$  for some  $\mu \in P_n$ .

#### **Proposition 1**

$$\Omega_n^t = \{A_\mu : \mu \in P_n\}.$$

**Proof.** The inclusion  $\{A_{\mu} : \mu \in P_n\} \subseteq \Omega_n^t$  is clear. For the opposite inclusion, consider a tridiagonal doubly stochastic matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{n\,n-1} & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Define  $\mu_i = a_{i\,i+1}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n-1 and let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_{n-1})$ . We now verify that  $A = A_{\mu}$ . As A is doubly stochastic,  $a_{11} = 1 - \mu_1$  and  $a_{21} = \mu_1$  as desired. Assume, for a given i, that  $a_{i\,i-1} = \mu_{i-1}$ . Since the i'th row sum is one and  $a_{i\,i+1} = \mu_i$ , we obtain  $a_{ii} = 1 - \mu_{i-1} - \mu_i$ . Similarly, by considering the i'th column, we calculate  $a_{i+1\,i} = 1 - a_{ii} - a_{i-1\,i} = 1 - (1 - \mu_{i-1} - \mu_i) - \mu_{i-1} = \mu_i$ . It follows, by induction, that  $A = A_{\mu}$ .

Thus, every matrix in  $\Omega_n^t$  is determined by its superdiagonal (or subdiagonal). Moreover we see that  $P_n$  and  $\Omega_n^t$  are affinely isomorphic. This means that the polyhedral structure of the tridiagonal Birkhoff polytope is found directly from the corresponding structure of  $P_n$ .

Let  $f_n$  denote the *n*'th Fibonacci number. So  $f_1 = f_2 = 1$  and  $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$ for each  $n \ge 3$ . We recall that  $f_n$  is given explicitly as  $f_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n$ (see e.g. [2]). Polyhedral properties of the tridiagonal Birkhoff polytope are collected in the following theorem where we use the notation  $K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and J = [1].

**Theorem 2** (i)  $\Omega_n^t$  is a polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  of dimension n-1 with  $f_{n+1}$  vertices. (ii) Its vertex set consists of all tridiagonal permutation matrices; these are the matrices of order n that can be written as a direct sum

$$A = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_t \tag{2}$$

where each matrix  $A_i$   $(i \leq t)$ , hereafter called a block, equals either J or K. (iii) Consider a vertex A as in (2). Then each adjacent vertex of A is obtained from A by either (a) interchanging a sequence of consecutive blocks  $J, K, K, \ldots, K$  (with  $t \geq 1$  K's) and the sequence  $K, K, \ldots, K, J$  (with t K's), or (b) by interchanging a sequence of consecutive blocks  $K, K, \ldots, K$  (with  $t \geq 1$  K's) and the sequence  $J, K, K, \ldots, K, J$  (with t - 1 K's).

Proof. Since  $\Omega_n^t$  and  $P_n$  are affinely isomorphic, we may prove the theorem by considering  $P_n$ . Clearly,  $P_n$  has dimension n-1, since it contains all coordinate vectors and the zero vector. Therefore,  $\Omega_n^t$  has dimension n-1. Using the extreme point property it is easy to verify that  $P_n$  has only integral vertices, i.e., all components are integers. It follows that the vertex set of  $P_n$ , denoted by  $V_n$ , consists of all (0, 1)vectors  $\mu$  of length n-1 not having two consecutive 1's. (Actually,  $P_n$  is the stable set polytope associated with the graph which is a path of length n-1.) The corresponding matrices  $A_{\mu}$  are the direct sum of matrices in the set  $\{J, K\}$ . We next determine the cardinality of the vertex set  $V_n$ . There is a bijection between  $\{\mu \in V_n : \mu_{n-1} = 0\}$ and  $V_{n-1}$ ; it is obtained by dropping the last component of  $\mu \in V_n$  (as  $\mu_{n-1} = 0$ ). Similarly, there is a bijection between  $\{\mu \in V_n : \mu_{n-1} = 1\}$  and  $V_{n-2}$ ; it is obtained by dropping the last two components of  $\mu \in V_n$  (as  $\mu_{n-1} = 1$  and  $\mu_{n-2} = 0$ ). It follows that  $|V_n| = |V_{n-1}| + |V_{n-2}|$  for  $n \ge 4$ . Clearly,  $|V_2| = 2$  and  $|V_3| = 3$ . This means that the cardinalities  $|V_n|$   $(n \ge 2)$  are given by the Fibonacci numbers:  $|V_n| = f_{n+1}$ for each n. This proves (i) and (ii).

To prove (iii) consider two distinct vertices  $\mu, \mu'$  of  $P_n$ , and let  $S = \{j : \mu_j = 1\}$ ,  $S' = \{j : \mu'_j = 1\}$ . We may write

$$S\Delta S' = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_p$$

where  $I_r = \{i_r, i_r + 1, ..., j_r\}$  for some integers  $i_r \leq j_r$   $(r \leq p)$  with  $i_{r+1} \geq j_r + 2$  $(r \leq p - 1)$ .

Claim:  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  are adjacent if and only if p = 1, i.e.,  $S\Delta S'$  is an (integer) interval.

Assume first that  $p \geq 2$ . Let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  be the vector obtained from  $\mu$  by letting  $\gamma_j = 1 - \mu_j$  for each  $j \in I_1$ . Similarly, let  $\gamma' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  be obtained from  $\mu'$  by letting  $\gamma'_j = 1 - \mu'_j$  for each  $j \in I_1$ . Then  $\mu, \mu', \gamma, \gamma'$  are four distinct vertices of  $P_n$  satisfying  $(1/2)(\mu + \mu') = (1/2)(\gamma + \gamma')$  which implies that the smallest face of  $P_n$  containing  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  has dimension at least two. Thus, if  $p \geq 2$ , then  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  are not adjacent. Next, assume that p = 1 and define the vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  as follows:  $w_j = n^2$  when  $j \in S \cap S', w_j = -1$  when  $j \notin S \cup S', w_j = |S \setminus S'|$  when  $j \in S' \setminus S$  and, finally,  $w_j = |S' \setminus S|$  when  $j \in S \setminus S'$ . Then one can check that the only vertices of  $P_n$  that maximize the linear function  $w^T z$  for  $z \in P_n$  are  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$ . This implies that these two vertices are adjacent on  $P_n$ . This proves our claim, and (iii) follows by translating this adjacency characterization into matrix language.

Let  $G(\Omega_n^t)$  denote the graph of  $\Omega_n^t$  (or 1-skeleton), i.e., the vertices and edges of the graph  $G(\Omega_n^t)$  correspond to the vertices and edges of the polytope  $\Omega_n^t$ . In Theorem 2 the vertices and edges of  $\Omega_n^t$  were described. We now determine the diameter of  $G(\Omega_n^t)$  which is defined as the maximum of d(u, v) taken over all pairs u, v of vertices, where d(u, v) is the smallest number of edges in a path between u and v in  $G(\Omega_n^t)$ .

**Theorem 3** The diameter of  $G(\Omega_n^t)$  equals  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ .

**Proof.** Consider two distinct vertices  $\mu, \mu'$  of  $P_n$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2 we let  $S = \{j : \mu_j = 1\}, S' = \{j : \mu'_j = 1\}$  so

$$S\Delta S' = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_p.$$

Since each  $I_t$  is nonempty and consecutive intervals are nonadjacent, it follows that  $p + (p-1) \le n-1$ . So  $p \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ . We may now find a path

$$Q: \mu = \mu^{(0)}, \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)} = \mu'$$

of length p in  $G(\Omega_n^t)$  where  $\mu^{(t)}$  is obtained from  $\mu^{(t-1)}$  by complementing zeros and ones for indices in  $I_t$   $(t \leq p)$ . We see from the adjacency characterization of Theorem 2 that  $\mu^{(t-1)}$  and  $\mu^{(t)}$  are adjacent. Thus,  $G(\Omega_n^t)$  contains a path between any pair of vertices of length  $p \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ , and therefore the diameter of  $G(\Omega_n^t)$  is at most  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ . To prove equality here consider first the case when n is even, say n = 2k. The distance (in  $G(\Omega_n^t)$ ) between the matrices  $A = J \oplus J \oplus \cdots \oplus J$  (with 2k J's) and  $B = K \oplus K \oplus \cdots \oplus K$  (with k K's) is at least k since for any two adjacent vertices their number of K's differ by at most one (see Theorem 2). If n is odd, n = 2k + 1, we consider the matrices obtained from A and B above by adding a J block (at the end) and conclude that their distance is at least  $k = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  as desired.

We conclude this section by some observations concerning optimization over the set  $\Omega_n^t$ . Let C be a given square matrix of order n. The well-known assignment problem is to maximize a linear function  $\langle C, A \rangle = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} a_{ij}$  over all permutation matrices A. Equivalently, we may here maximize over the set  $\Omega_n$  of doubly stochastic matrices; this follows from Birkhoff's theorem as the objective function is linear. Consider now the more restricted problem of maximizing  $\langle C, A \rangle$  over the tridiagonal

permutation matrices A, or equivalently, over  $A \in \Omega_n^t$ . We may then assume that C is also tridiagonal. By using the relation between  $\Omega_n^t$  and the polytope  $P_n$  (see Proposition 1) our problem reduces to a linear optimization problem over  $P_n$  (where the  $d_i$ 's are calculated from C):

$$\max\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j \mu_j : \mu \in P_n\}.$$
(3)

Now, this problem may be solved by dynamic programming as follows. Define  $v_k = \max\{\sum_{j=1}^k d_j \mu_j : \mu_j + \mu_{j+1} \le 1 \ (j \le k-1), \ \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k \ge 0\}$  and note that  $v_{n-1}$  is the optimal value of (3). The algorithm is: (i)  $v_1 = \max\{0, d_1\}, v_2 = \max\{v_1, d_2\}$ , (ii) for  $k = 3, 4, \ldots, n-1$  let  $v_k = \max\{v_{k-1}, v_{k-2} + d_k\}$ . This simple algorithm is linear, and by storing some more information we also find an optimal solution  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_{n-1}$ .

# **3** Diagonally dominant matrices in $\Omega_n^t$

In this section we consider the tridiagonal doubly stochastic matrices that are diagonally dominant. Recall that a matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  of order n is called *(row) diagonally dominant* if  $|a_{ii}| \geq \sum_{j:j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$ . If all these inequalities are strict, then A is called *strictly (row) diagonally dominant*, and it is well-known that this property implies that A is nonsingular.

Let

$$\Omega_n^{t,d} = \{A \in \Omega_n^t : A \text{ is diagonally dominant}\}$$

and note that, since each  $A \in \Omega_n^t$  is symmetric, we need not distinguish between row and column diagonally dominance. We remark that every matrix A in  $\Omega_n^{t,d}$  is also *completely positive*, i.e.,  $A = BB^T$  for some nonnegative  $n \times k$  matrix B. Moreover, the smallest k in such a representation (called the cp-rank of A) is equal to the rank of A. We refer to the recent book [1] for a survey of completely positive matrices. These two facts concerning matrices in  $\Omega_n^t$  follow from the general theory in [1], or a direct verification is also possible.

The following theorem shows that  $\Omega_n^{t,d}$  is very similar to  $\Omega_n^t$ . In the following discussion we define  $\mu_0 = \mu_n = 0$ .

**Theorem 4** (i)  $\Omega_n^{t,d}$  is a subpolytope of  $\Omega_n^t$ .

(*ii*)  $\Omega_n^{t,d} = \{A_\mu : \mu \ge 0, \ \mu_i + \mu_{i+1} \le 1/2 \ (i \le n-2)\} = \{A_\mu : \mu \in (1/2)P_n\}.$ 

(iii) The vertex set of  $\Omega_n^{t,d}$  consists of the matrices of order n that may be written as a direct sum of matrices in the set  $\{J_1, (1/2)J_2\}$ .

**Proof.** The matrix  $A_{\mu}$  is diagonally dominant if and only if  $1-(\mu_{i-1}+\mu_i) \ge \mu_{i-1}+\mu_i$  $(1 \le i \le n)$ , i.e., iff  $\mu_{i-1} + \mu_i \le 1/2$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ . This implies (ii) and also (i). To see (iii) we recall from the proof of Theorem 2 that the vertex set of  $P_n$  consists of all (0, 1)-vectors  $\mu$  (of length n - 1) not having two consecutive 1's. So the vertices of the polytope  $(1/2)P_n$  are the (0, 1/2)-vectors not having two consecutive  $\frac{1}{2}$ 's. This implies (iii).

We now investigate the rank of the matrices in the class  $\Omega_n^{t,d}$ .

**Theorem 5** Let  $A_{\mu} \in \Omega_n^{t,d}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{rank}(A_{\mu}) = n - |\{i : \mu_i = 1/2\}|.$$

In particular,  $\operatorname{rank}(A_{\mu}) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ .

**Proof.** Consider a matrix  $A_{\mu} \in \Omega_n^{t,d}$ , so  $\mu \in (1/2)P_n$ . If  $\mu_i = 0$ , for some i with  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , then  $A_{\mu}$  is the direct sum of two matrices of order i and n-i, respectively. Therefore, since the rank of a direct sum of some matrices is the sum of the ranks of these matrices, it suffices to prove the result for the case when  $\mu_i > 0$   $(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ . There are two possibilities. First, if  $\mu_i = 1/2$  for some i, then it follows from the diagonal dominance that  $\mu_{i-1} = \mu_{i+1} = 0$ . This implies that n = 2 and that  $A_{\mu} = (1/2)J_2$  and the rank formula holds. Alternatively, when  $\mu_i < 1/2$  for each i, then  $a_{11} = 1 - \mu_1 > \mu_1 = \sum_{j=2}^n a_{1j}$  and this combined with the diagonal dominance of  $A_{\mu}$  (and that each  $\mu_i > 0$ ) implies that  $A_{\mu}$  is nonsingular (confer Theorem 3.6.8 in [3]). This implies the rank formula. The lower bound on the rank is due to the fact  $\mu$  does not contain two consecutive components that are 1/2 whenever  $\mu \in (1/2)P_n$ .

Thus, we have a simple formula for the rank of matrices in the subclass  $\Omega^{t,d}$ . On the other hand, it is not as straightforward to determine the rank of a matrix  $A \in \Omega_n^t \setminus \Omega_n^{t,d}$ . A is then a direct sum of matrices  $A_i$ , say of order  $k_i$ , for which the corresponding  $\mu_i$ 's are positive. Clearly each  $A_i$  has rank  $k_i$  or  $k_1 - 1$ , and to decide which is the case one can solve a triangular linear system (in order to determine if the first column of  $A_i$  lies in the span of the other columns). The nonsingularity of each  $A_i$  may be expressed by a polynomial equation in the  $\mu_j$ 's, but it seems very complicated.

## 4 Matrices in $\Omega^{t,d}$ with constant subdiagonal

Consider the subpolytope

$$\Omega_n^{t,=} = \{ A_\mu \in \Omega_n^t : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_{n-1} \}$$

of  $\Omega_n^t$ . The corresponding subpolytope of  $P_n$  (in the space of the  $\mu$ -variables) is simply the line segment [O, (1/2)e]. Note that a matrix in  $\Omega_n^{t,=}$  may or may not be diagonally dominant.

Our main goal is to find explicitly all eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors for every matrix  $A_{\mu} \in \Omega_n^{t,=}$ . This is done by solving certain difference equations. A similar approach for finding eigenvalues and eigenvectors of tridiagonal Toeplitz matrices may be found in e.g. [10] and [6] (the latter reference also treats an extension to so-called pseudo-Toeplitz matrices). Let  $0 \le x \le 1/2$  and consider the (general) matrix

$$A_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1-x & x & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ x & 1-2x & x & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & x & 1-2x & x & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & x & 1-2x & x \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & x & 1-x \end{bmatrix}$$

in  $\Omega_n^{t,=}$ . Observe that  $A_x = I - x \cdot W_n$  where  $W_n$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix

$$W_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that the eigenvalues of  $A_x$  are  $1 - x\lambda$  where  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $W_n$ . The corresponding eigenvectors are the same. Thus, we need to determine the spectrum of  $W_n$ . Note that  $W_n$  resembles the tridiagonal Toeplitz matrix

$$T_n = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

which has eigenvalues  $2 - 2\cos(\frac{j\pi}{n+1})$  and corresponding eigenvector  $s_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $s_j = (\sin(\frac{j\pi}{n+1}), \sin(\frac{2j\pi}{n+1}), \ldots, \sin(\frac{nj\pi}{n+1}))$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  (see e.g. [10]). We now show that the eigenvalues of  $W_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $T_{n-1}$  plus the eigenvalue 0 (so  $W_n$  is singular).

**Theorem 6** The eigenvalues of  $W_n$  are

$$2 - 2\cos(j\pi/n)$$
  $(0 \le j \le n - 1).$ 

In particular  $W_n$  is singular. The corresponding (orthogonal) eigenvectors are

$$(2\cos(\pi j(k-1/2)/n))_{k=1}^n \quad (0 \le j \le n-1).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue and y a corresponding eigenvector of  $W_n$ . The eigenvector equation  $(W_n - \lambda I)y = O$  may then be written as

$$-y_{k-1} + (2-\lambda)y_k - y_{k+1} = 0 \quad (1 \le k \le n)$$
(4)

where  $y_0 := y_1$  and  $y_{n+1} := y_n$ . This is a linear second order difference equation with rather special boundary conditions. The corresponding characteristic equation  $z^2 + (\lambda - 2)z + 1$  has solutions  $r_1, r_2 = (1/2)(2 - \lambda) \pm \sqrt{(\lambda - 2)^2 - 4}$ . Consider first the case when the roots coincide, i.e. when  $\lambda$  is 0 or 4. If  $\lambda = 4$ , then  $r_1 = r_2 = -1$ and the general solution of (4) is  $y_k = (\alpha + \beta k)(-1)^k$  where  $\alpha, \beta$  are constants. It is easy to see that the boundary conditions lead to a contradictions in this case (we get from  $y_0 = y_1$  that  $\beta = 2\alpha$ , and then the second boundary condition  $y_n = y_{n+1}$ has no solution). Therefore  $\lambda = 4$  is not an eigenvalue of  $W_n$ . On the other hand, if  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $r_1 = r_2 = 1$  and the solution of (4) is  $y_k = \alpha + \beta k$ . But  $y_0 = y_1$  implies  $\beta = 0$  so  $y_k = \alpha$  for some constant  $\alpha$ . This proves that 0 is an eigenvalue of  $W_n$  with corresponding eigenvector  $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ .

Consider next when the the roots  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are distinct. Since  $z^2 + (\lambda - 2)z + 1 = (z - r_1)(z - r_2)$  we must have  $r_1r_2 = 1$ , i.e.,  $r_2 = r_1^{-1}$ . Thus, the general solution of (4) is

$$y_k = \alpha r_1^k + \beta r_1^{-k}$$

The condition  $y_0 = y_1$  gives  $\alpha + \beta = \alpha r_1 + \beta r_1^{-1}$ . We may assume  $r_1 \neq 1$  (for otherwise  $\lambda = 0$ ; a case already discussed). Therefore  $\beta = \alpha r_1$  so

$$y_k = \alpha (r_1^k + r_1^{1-k}).$$

Note that  $\alpha \neq 0$ ; otherwise y = O contradiction that y is an eigenvector. The boundary condition  $y_n = y_{n+1}$  gives  $r_1^n + r_1^{1-n} = r_1^{n+1} + r_1^{-n}$ . Multiplying this equation by  $r_1^n$  and reorganizing terms gives  $r_1^{2n}(1-r_1) = 1-r_1$ . Therefore, as  $r_1 \neq 1$ , we must have  $r_1^{2n} = 1$ . So  $r_1^2 = e^{2\pi i j/n}$  (where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ) for some j with  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  (j = n is excluded as  $r_1 \neq 1$ ). This shows that  $r_1 = e^{\pi i j/n}$  and  $r_2 = e^{-\pi i j/n}$ . Moreover, using that  $r_1 + r_2 = 2 - \lambda$  we obtain

$$\lambda = 2 - 2\cos(j\pi/n).$$

We have therefore found all the eigenvalues of  $W_n$ . An eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda = 2 - 2\cos(j\pi/n)$  (for fixed j) is  $y = (y_k)$  given by

$$y_k = \alpha (e^{\pi i j k/n} + e^{\pi i j (1-k)/n})$$

Letting  $\alpha = e^{-(1/2)\pi i j/n}$  we get

$$y_k = e^{\pi i j(k-1/2)/n} + e^{-\pi i j(k-1/2)/n} = 2\cos(\pi j(k-1/2)/n).$$

which gives the desired eigenvector.

We may now determine the spectrum of  $A_x$  (where again  $0 \le x \le 1/2$ ).

**Corollary 7** The eigenvalues of  $A_x$  are

$$1 - 2x(1 - \cos(j\pi/n)) \quad (0 \le j \le n - 1).$$

and the corresponding eigenvectors are described in Theorem 6.

**Proof.** This follows directly from Theorem 6 using the relation  $A_x = I - x \cdot S$ .

The rank of  $A_x$  is determined in the next corollary.

**Corollary 8** If  $x \in \{1/(2 - 2\cos(j\pi/n)) : \lceil n/3 \rceil \le j \le n - 1\}$ , then  $A_x$  has rank n - 1. Otherwise  $A_x$  is nonsingular.

**Proof.** The last n-1 columns of  $A_x$  are linearly independent, so  $A_x$  has rank n-1 or n. The result now follows from Corollary 7.

Also note that the kernel of  $A_x$  (when  $A_x$  is singular) is known explicitly since we have determined a complete set of eigenvectors of  $A_x$ . The matrix  $A_x \in \Omega_n^{t,=}$  is diagonally dominant if and only if  $0 \le x \le 1/4$ . From Corollary 7 it follows that  $A_x$ is positive semidefinite if and only if  $0 \le x \le 1/(2 + 2\cos(\pi/n))$ . Thus, when n is large, the class of positive semidefinite matrices in  $\Omega_n^{t,=}$  is just "slightly larger" than the class of diagonally dominant matrices in  $\Omega_n^{t,=}$ .

For a general doubly stochastic matrix A the bound

$$|1 - \lambda| \ge 2(1 - \cos(\pi/n))\mu(A)$$
 (5)

for eigenvalues  $\lambda \neq 1$  of A was found by Fiedler. Here  $\mu(A)$  is a measure of the irreducibility of A given by  $\mu(A) = \min_M \sum_{i \in M} \sum_{j \notin M} a_{ij}$  where the minimum is taken over all nonempty strict subsets M of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ . See [8] for a discussion of such estimates. It is interesting to check the quality of the bound (5) for matrices  $A_x \in \Omega_n^{t,=}$ , as we know the eigenvalues for these matrices. Let  $A_x \in \Omega_n^{t,=}$ . Then we find that  $\mu(A_x) = x$ . So if  $\lambda$  denotes the second largest eigenvalue of  $A_x$ , we get from Corollary 7 that  $1 - \lambda = 2x(1 - \cos(\pi/n)) = 2(1 - \cos(\pi/n))\mu(A)$ . This means that Fiedler's estimate is tight for this subclass  $\Omega_n^{t,=}$  of the doubly stochastic matrices.

An application. We briefly discuss an application of Corollary 7 to Markov chains. Recall the specific random walk discussed in the introduction and assume that the one-step transition matrix of the chain is  $A_x$  for some  $x \in [0, 1/2]$ . Thus, if  $p_{ij}$  is the probability of moving in one step from state *i* to state *j*, then we have  $p_{i\,i+1} = p_{i+1\,i} = x \ (1 \le i \le n-1), \ p_{i\,i} = 1 - 2x \ (2 \le i \le n-1), \ \text{and} \ p_{11} = p_{n\,n} = p_{n\,$ 1 - x while all other  $p_{ij}$ 's are zero. The explicit knowledge of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $A_x$ , presented in Corollary 7, is very useful for analyzing the behavior of this random walk. To be specific, let U be the  $n \times n$  matrix with the eigenvectors of  $A_x$  as its columns, and let D be the diagonal matrix with the associated eigenvalues along the diagonal. So  $U^T A_x U = D$  and since U is orthogonal we get  $A_x^k = U D^k U^T$ for each positive integer k. The (i, j)'th entry of  $A_x^k$  equals the probability that the process goes from state i to state j in k transitions (see e.g. [5] for the theory of Markov chains). This means that one can calculate the k step transition probabilities (the powers of  $A_x$ ) efficiently. Moreover, one can get explicit information about how fast the chain converges towards its stationary distribution (which is the uniform distribution as  $A_x$  is doubly stochastic) since we know all the eigenvalues.

# 5 $\Omega_n^t$ and majorization

Doubly stochastic matrices are important in the area of majorization. For two vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we say that x is majorized by y if  $\sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]}$  for  $k \leq n$  and where equality holds when k = n. Here  $x_{[i]}$  denotes the *i*'th largest component of x. A basic result here is a theorem of Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya saying that x is majorized by y if and only if there is a doubly stochastic matrix A such that x = Ay. For a discussion of this result and a strengthened result concerning restricted doubly stochastic matrices, so-called T-transforms, see [9].

Motivated by the mentioned theorem we now define a majorization concept which is stronger than ordinary majorization. Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be monotone vectors, i.e., the components are nonincreasing. We say that x is tridiagonally majorized by y if there is a tridiagonal doubly stochastic matrix A such that x = Ay. So, if x is tridiagonally majorized by y, then x is majorized by y. Intuitively, if x is tridiagonally majorized by y, then x may be obtained from y by a redistribution among consecutive components in y. (Remark: in contrast to majorization, tridiagonal majorization is not a transitive relation, an therefore not a preorder.)

It is natural to ask for a characterization of tridiagonal majorization in terms of linear inequalities involving the components of x and y. We now give such a result. In the theorem we consider a monotone vector  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , so there are indices  $1 \leq i_s \leq i'_s \leq n-1$   $(1 \leq s \leq p)$  with  $i'_s \leq i_{s+1}-2$  and  $y_i > y_{i+1}$  for  $i_s \leq i \leq i'_s$   $(1 \leq s \leq p)$  and  $y_i = y_{i+1}$  for all remaining indices  $i \leq n-1$ . We also define  $i_{p+1} = n+1$  and the index set  $I = \{1, \ldots, i_1-1\} \cup \bigcup_{s=1}^p \{i'_s + 2, \ldots, i_{s+1}-1\}$ .

**Theorem 9** Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be monotone, and let  $i_s$ ,  $i'_s$   $(1 \le s \le p)$  and I be as above. Then x is tridiagonally majorized by y if and only if  $x_i = y_i$   $(i \in I)$  and for  $1 \le s \le p$ 

(i) 
$$\sum_{i=i_s}^{i'_s+1} x_i = \sum_{i=i_s}^{i'_s+1} y_i$$
  
(ii)  $\sum_{i=i_s}^k x_i \le \sum_{i=i_s}^k y_i$   $(i_s \le k \le i'_s)$   
(iii)  $x_k \ge y_{k+1} + \frac{y_{k-1} - y_{k+1}}{y_{k-1} - y_k} (\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i)$   $(i_s \le k \le i'_s - 1)$ 

If x is tridiagonally majorized by y and y is strictly decreasing, then there is a unique tridiagonal doubly stochastic matrix A such that x = Ay.

**Proof.** For given monotone x and y we consider the system x = Ay where  $A \in \Omega_n^t$ , i.e. (due to Proposition 1)  $A = A_\mu$  with  $\mu \in P_n$ . In component form the system  $x = A_\mu y$  becomes

$$x_i = \mu_{i-1}y_{i-1} + (1 - \mu_{i-1} - \mu_i)y_i + \mu_i y_{i+1} \quad (1 \le i \le n)$$

or equivalently

$$\mu_i(y_i - y_{i+1}) = \mu_{i-1}(y_{i-1} - y_i) + y_i - x_i \quad (1 \le i \le n)$$
(6)

where we define  $y_0 = \mu_0 = y_{n+1} = \mu_n = 0$ . This is a difference equation in the variables  $\mu_i$   $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ . Define  $\alpha_i = y_i - y_{i+1}$  and  $\Delta_i = y_i - x_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ , so

 $\alpha_i \geq 0$ . Then the system (6) decomposes into

$$\Delta_i = 0 \quad (1 \le i \le i_1 - 1)$$

and the following independent subsystems for  $1 \le s \le p$ 

$$\alpha_{i_s}\mu_{i_s} = \Delta_{i_s}$$

$$\alpha_{i_s+1}\mu_{i_s+1} = \alpha_{i_s}\mu_{i_s} + \Delta_{i_s+1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\alpha_{i'_s}\mu_{i'_s} = \alpha_{i'_s-1}\mu_{i'_s-1} + \Delta_{i'_s}$$

$$0 = \alpha_{i'_s}\mu_{i'_s} + \Delta_{i'_s+1}$$
(7)

and  $\Delta_i = 0$   $(i'_s + 2 \le i \le i_{s+1} - 1)$ . Here we have  $\alpha_i > 0$   $(i_s \le i \le i'_s)$ . Now, the subsystem (7) is consistent if and only if

$$\sum_{i=i_s}^{i'_s+1} \Delta_i = 0 \tag{8}$$

and then (7) has the unique solution  $\mu_i$   $(i_s \leq i \leq i'_s)$  given by

$$\mu_i = \frac{\sum_{j=i_s}^i \Delta_j}{\alpha_i} \quad (i_s \le i \le i'_s).$$

In the solution set of (6) the remaining variables  $\mu_i$  are free (i.e., when *i* is outside each set  $\{i_s, \ldots, i'_s\}$ ). In summary, (6) is consistent if and only if  $\Delta_i = y_i - x_i = 0$  $(i \in I)$  and (8) hold for  $1 \leq s \leq p$ . Moreover, the constraints  $\mu_i \geq 0$  and  $\mu_i + \mu_{i+1} \leq 1$ for each *i* (i.e.,  $A_{\mu}$  is doubly stochastic) translate into the remaining inequalities in the characterization of the theorem. Finally, if *y* is strictly decreasing, then p = 1and each  $\alpha_i$  is positive and therefore  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_{n-1}$  are uniquely determined by (6).

We recognize conditions (i) and (ii) in the theorem as ordinary majorization conditions for certain subvectors of x and y. The proof of Theorem 9 also contains a complete description of the set of all tridiagonal doubly stochastic matrices A satisfying x = Ay. Finally, from the proof one also finds a characterization of tridiagonal majorization for possible nonmonotone vectors, but these inequalities are more complicated (as some  $\alpha_i$  may be negative).

## References

 A. Berman and N. Shaked-Monderer. Completely positive matrices. World Scientific Publ., 2003.

- [2] R.A. Brualdi. Introductory Combinatorics. Prentice-Hall, 1999.
- [3] R.A. Brualdi and H.J. Ryser. Combinatorial matrix theory. Encyclopedia of Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [4] R.A. Brualdi. An interesting face of the polytope of doubly stochastic matrices. Linear and Multilinear Algebra Vol. 17, pp 5–18, 1985.
- [5] S. Karlin and H.M. Taylor. A first course in stochastic processes. Academic Press, 1975.
- [6] D. Kulkarni, D. Schmidt and Sze-Kai Tsui. Eigenvalues of tridiagonal pseudo-Toeplitz matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Appl.* Vol. 297, pp 63–80, 1999.
- [7] Seok-Zun Song and Young-Bae Jun. Minimum permanents of tridiagonal doubly stochastic matrices. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*. Vol. 50, No.4, pp 301–306, 2002.
- [8] M. Fiedler. An estimate of the nonstochastic eigenvalues of doubly stochastic matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Appl.* 214:133–143, 1995.
- [9] A.W. Marshall and I. Olkin. Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications. Academic Press, 1979.
- [10] C.D. Meyer. Matrix Analysis and Applied Linear Algebra. SIAM, 2000.