

**THE STRUCTURE OF  $Simp_{<\infty}(A)$  FOR  
 FINITELY GENERATED  $k$ -ALGEBRAS  $A$ .**

OLAV ARNFINN LAUDAL

Institute of Mathematics  
 University of Oslo

**Introduction.** Let  $k$  be any field, most often assumed to be algebraically closed, and consider a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . Let

$$Simp_{<\infty}(A) = \bigcup_n Simp_n(A)$$

be the set of (iso-classes of) finite dimensional simple right  $A$ -modules. An  $n$ -dimensional simple  $A$ -module  $V \in Simp_n(A)$  defines a surjective homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras,  $\rho : A \rightarrow End_k(V)$ , the kernel of which is a two-sided maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_V$ , of  $A$ . Let  $Max_{\leq \infty}$  be the set of all such maximal ideals of  $A$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . To exclude some strange and for our purposes non-interesting cases, we shall assume that  $A$  has the following property:

$$Rad(A)^\infty := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in Max_{<\infty}(A), n \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^n = 0$$

For want of a better name, we shall call such algebras *geometric*. It is easy to see that any finitely generated left (or right) Noetherian  $k$ -algebra  $A$  is geometric. The condition above is actually satisfied for most finitely generated  $k$ -algebras that we have come across and, in particular, for the free  $k$ -algebra on  $d$  symbols,  $A = k \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d \rangle$ , see the example (4.19) of [La 1].

We shall be concerned with the structure of the individual  $Simp_n(A)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and we shall construct natural completions  $Simp_\Gamma(A)$ , of the scheme  $Simp_n(A)$ , adding indecomposable modules. We shall also see that the scheme of indecomposable two-dimensional representations induces interesting correspondences for hypersurfaces, and in particular for plane curves. The study of  $Ind_\Gamma(A) := Simp_\Gamma(A) - Simp_n(A)$  may also throw light on the classical McKay correspondence. As a tool for studying  $Simp_\Gamma(A)$  we introduce the Jordan morphism, and corresponding generalizations of the Deligne-Simpson problem. Finally we shall discuss to what extent the family  $\{Simp_n(A)\}_{n \geq 1}$  of schemes determine the *globale* structure of  $A$ . In particular, are the K-groups (resp. the cyclic homology) of  $A$  determined by the K-groups, (resp. the de Rham cohomology) of the different  $Simp_n(A)$ ? Conversely, what can we

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

learn about the de Rham cohomology of  $Simp_n(A)$ , knowing the cyclic cohomology of  $A$ ?

This paper is meant as an introduction to a more comprehensive study of non-commutative plane curves, see [Jø-La-Sl].

**Some general results.** In [La 1] we introduced non-commutative deformations of families of modules of non-commutative  $k$ -algebras, and the notion of *swarm* of right modules (or more generally of objects in a  $k$ -linear abelian category). Let  $\underline{a}_r$  denote the category of  $r$ -pointed not necessarily commutative  $k$ -algebras  $R$ . The objects are the diagrams of  $k$ -algebras,

$$k^r \xrightarrow{\iota} R \xrightarrow{\rho} k^r$$

such that the composition of  $\iota$  and  $\rho$  is the identity. Any such  $r$ -pointed  $k$ -algebra  $R$  is isomorphic to a  $k$ -algebra of  $r \times r$ -matrices  $(R_{i,j})$ . The radical of  $R$  is the bilateral ideal  $Rad(R) := \ker \rho$ , such that  $R/Rad(R) \simeq k^r$ . The dual  $k$ -vectorspace of  $Rad(R)/Rad(R)^2$  is called the tangent space of  $R$ .

For  $r = 1$ , there is an obvious inclusion of categories

$$\underline{l} \subseteq \underline{a}_1$$

where  $\underline{l}$ , as usual, denotes the category of commutative local artinian  $k$ -algebras with residue field  $k$ .

Fix a not necessarily commutative  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and consider a right  $A$ -module  $M$ . The ordinary deformation functor

$$Def_M : \underline{l} \rightarrow \underline{Sets}$$

is then defined. Assuming  $Ext_A^i(M, M)$  has finite  $k$ -dimension for  $i = 1, 2$ , it is well known, see [Sch], or [La 0], that  $Def_M$  has a noetherian prorepresenting hull  $H$ , *the formal moduli of  $M$* . Moreover, the tangent space of  $H$  is isomorphic to  $Ext_A^1(M, M)$ , and  $H$  can be computed in terms of  $Ext_A^i(M, M)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and their *matrix* Massey products, see [La 0].

In the general case, consider a finite family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$  of right  $A$ -modules. Assume that,

$$\dim_k Ext_A^1(V_i, V_j) < \infty.$$

Any such family of  $A$ -modules will be called a *swarm*. Define a deformation functor,

$$Def_{\mathcal{V}} : \underline{a}_r \rightarrow \underline{Sets}$$

generalizing the functor  $Def_M$  above. Given an object  $\rho : R = (R_{i,j}) \rightarrow k^r$  of  $\underline{a}_r$ , consider the  $k$ -vectorspace and  $R$ -left module  $(R_{i,j} \otimes_k V_j)$ .  $\rho$  defines a  $k$ -linear and left  $R$ -linear map,

$$\rho(R) : (R_{i,j} \otimes_k V_j) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_i,$$

inducing a homomorphism of  $R$ -endomorphism rings,

$$\tilde{\rho}(R) : (R_{i,j} \otimes_k Hom_k(V_i, V_j)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r End_k(V_i).$$

The right  $A$ -module structure on the  $V_i$ 's is defined by a homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras,  $\eta_0 : A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{End}_k(V_i)$ . Let

$$\text{Def}_{\mathcal{V}}(R) \in \underline{\text{Sets}}$$

be the isoclasses of homomorphisms of  $k$ -algebras,

$$\eta' : A \rightarrow (R_{i,j} \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))$$

such that,

$$\tilde{\rho}(R) \circ \eta' = \eta_0,$$

where the equivalence relation is defined by inner automorphisms in the  $k$ -algebra  $(R_{i,j} \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))$ . One easily proves that  $\text{Def}_{\mathcal{V}}$  has the same properties as the ordinary deformation functor and we prove the following, see [La 1-2, (2.6)]:

**Theorem 1.** *The functor  $\text{Def}_{\mathcal{V}}$  has a prorepresentable hull, i.e. an object  $H$  of the category of pro-objects  $\underline{\hat{a}}_r$  of  $\underline{a}_r$ , together with a versal family,*

$$\tilde{V} = (H_{i,j} \otimes V_j) \in \varprojlim_{n \geq 1} \text{Def}_{\mathcal{V}}(H/\mathfrak{m}^n)$$

such that the corresponding morphism of functors on  $\underline{a}_r$ ,

$$\rho : \text{Mor}(H, -) \rightarrow \text{Def}_{\mathcal{V}}$$

is smooth, and an isomorphism on the tangent level. Moreover,  $H$  is uniquely determined by a set of matrix Massey products of the form

$$\text{Ext}^1(V_i, V_{j_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{Ext}^1(V_{j_{n-1}}, V_k) \cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(V_i, V_k).$$

The right action of  $A$  on  $\tilde{V}$  defines a homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras,

$$\eta : A \longrightarrow O(\mathcal{V}) := \text{End}_H(\tilde{V}) = (H_{i,j} \otimes \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j)),$$

and the  $k$ -algebra  $O(\mathcal{V})$  acts on the family of  $A$ -modules  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}$ , extending the action of  $A$ . If  $\dim_k V_i < \infty$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , the operation of associating  $(O(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{V})$  to  $(A, \mathcal{V})$  turns out to be a closure operation.

Moreover, we prove the crucial result,

**A generalized Burnside theorem.** *Let  $A$  be a finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra,  $k$  an algebraically closed field. Consider the family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$  of simple  $A$ -modules, then*

$$\eta : A \longrightarrow O(\mathcal{V}) = (H_{i,j} \otimes \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))$$

is an isomorphism.

We also proved that there exists, in the noncommutative deformation theory, an obvious analogy to the notion of prorepresenting (modular) substratum  $H_0$  of the formal moduli  $H$ . The tangent space of  $H_0$  is determined by a family of subspaces

$$\text{Ext}_0^1(V_i, V_j) \subseteq \text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j), \quad i \neq j$$

the elements of which should be called the almost split extensions (sequences) relative to the family  $\mathcal{V}$ , and by a subspace,

$$T_0(\Delta) \subseteq \prod_i Ext_A^1(V_i, V_i)$$

which is the tangent space of the deformation functor of the full subcategory of the category of  $A$ -modules generated by the family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$ , see [La 1]. If  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$  is the set of all indecomposables of some artinian  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , we show that the above notion of *almost split sequence* coincides with that of Auslander, see [R].

Using this we consider, in [La 2], the general problem of classification of iterated extensions of a family of modules  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$ , and the corresponding classification of filtered modules with graded components in the family  $\mathcal{V}$ , and extension type given by a directed representation graph  $\Gamma$ , see under section Completion of  $Simp_n(A)$ . The main result is the following, see [La 2. (4.7)], and :

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $A$  be any  $k$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$  any swarm of  $A$ -modules, i.e. such that,*

$$\dim_k Ext_A^1(V_i, V_j) < \infty \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, r.$$

(i): *Consider an iterated extension  $E$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ , with representation graph  $\Gamma$ . Then there exists a morphism of  $k$ -algebras*

$$\phi : H(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow k[\Gamma]$$

such that

$$E \simeq k[\Gamma] \otimes_{\phi} \tilde{V}$$

in the above sense.

(ii): *The set of equivalence classes of iterated extensions of  $\mathcal{V}$  with representation graph  $\Gamma$ , is a quotient of the set of closed points of the affine algebraic scheme*

$$\underline{A}[\Gamma] = Mor(H(\mathcal{V}), k[\Gamma])$$

(iii): *There is a versal family  $\tilde{V}[\Gamma]$  of  $A$ -modules defined on  $A[\Gamma]$ , containing as fibres all the isomorphism classes of iterated extensions of  $\mathcal{V}$  with representation graph  $\Gamma$ .*

To any, not necessarily finite, swarm  $\underline{c} \subset \underline{mod}(A)$  of right- $A$ -modules, we have associated two associative  $k$ -algebras, see [La 1,3],  $O(|\underline{c}|, \pi)$ , and a sub-quotient  $\mathcal{O}_{\pi}(\underline{c})$ , together with natural  $k$ -algebra homomorphisms,

$$\eta(|\underline{c}|) : A \longrightarrow O(|\underline{c}|, \pi)$$

and,

$$\eta(\underline{c}) : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\pi}(\underline{c})$$

with the property that the  $A$ -module structure on  $\underline{c}$  is extended to an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module structure in an optimal way. We then defined an *affine non-commutative scheme* of right  $A$ -modules to be a swarm  $\underline{c}$  of right  $A$ -modules, such that  $\eta(\underline{c})$  is an isomorphism. In particular we considered, for finitely generated  $k$ -algebras, the swarm  $\text{Simp}_{<\infty}^*(A)$  consisting of the finite dimensional simple  $A$ -modules, and the *generic point*  $A$ , together with all morphisms between them. The fact that this is a swarm, i.e. that for all objects  $V_i, V_j \in \text{Simp}_{<\infty}$  we have  $\dim_k \text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j) < \infty$ , is easily proved. We have in [La 1] proved the following result, (see (5.20), loc.cit. and Lemma 2. above.)

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $A$  be a geometric  $k$ -algebra, then the natural homomorphism,*

$$\eta(\text{Simp}^*(A)) : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\pi(\text{Simp}_{<\infty}^*(A))$$

*is an isomorphism, i.e.  $\text{Simp}_{<\infty}^*(A)$  is a scheme for  $A$ .*

In particular,  $\text{Simp}_{<\infty}^*(k \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d \rangle)$ , is a scheme for  $k \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d \rangle$ . To analyze the local structure of  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ , we need the following, see [La 2], §4:

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1, \dots, r}$  be a finite subset of  $\text{Simp}_{<\infty}(A)$ , then the morphism of  $k$ -algebras,*

$$A \rightarrow O(\mathcal{V}) = (H_{i,j} \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))$$

*is topologically surjective.*

*Proof.* Since the simple modules  $V_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$  are distinct, there is an obvious surjection,  $\pi : A \rightarrow \prod_{i=1, \dots, r} \text{End}_k(V_i)$ . Put  $\mathfrak{r} = \ker \pi$ , and consider for  $m \geq 2$  the finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra,  $B := A/\mathfrak{r}^m$ . Clearly  $\text{Simp}(B) = \mathcal{V}$ , so that by the generalized Burnside theorem, see [La], §4, we find,  $B \simeq O^B(\mathcal{V}) := (H_{i,j}^B \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))$ . Consider the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & (H_{i,j}^A \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j)) =: O^A(\mathcal{V}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & (H_{i,j}^B \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} O^A(\mathcal{V})/\text{rad}^m \end{array}$$

where all morphisms are natural. In particular  $\alpha$  exists since  $B = A/\mathfrak{r}^m$  maps into  $O^A(\mathcal{V})/\text{rad}^m$ , and therefore induces the morphism  $\alpha$  commuting with the rest of the morphisms. Consequently  $\alpha$  has to be surjective, and we have proved the contention.

□

**Localization and topology on  $\text{Simp}(A)$ .** Let  $s \in A$ , and consider the *open subset*  $D(s) = \{V \in \text{Simp}(A) \mid \rho(s) \text{ invertible in } \text{End}_k(V)\}$ . The Jacobson topology on  $\text{Simp}(A)$  is the topology with basis  $\{D(s) \mid s \in A\}$ . It is clear that the natural morphism,

$$\eta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\pi(D(s))$$

maps  $s$  into an invertible element of  $O(D(s), \pi)$ . Therefore we may define the localization  $A_{\{s\}}$  of  $A$ , as the  $k$ -algebra generated in  $O(D(s), \pi)$  by  $\mathcal{O}_\pi(D(s))$  and the inverse of  $\eta(s)$ . This furnishes a general method of localization with all the properties one would wish. And in this way we also find a canonical (pre)sheaf,  $\mathcal{O}$  defined on  $\text{Simp}(A)$ .

**Definition 5.** When the  $k$ -algebra  $A$  is geometric, such that  $\text{Simp}^*(A)$  is a scheme for  $A$ , we shall refer to the presheaf  $\mathcal{O}$ , defined above on the Jacobson topology, as the structure presheaf of the scheme  $\text{Simp}(A)$ .

In the next § we shall see that the Jacobson topology on  $\text{Simp}(A)$ , restricted to each  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$  is the Zariski topology for a classical scheme-structure on  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ .

Notice that, working on non-commutative invariant theory, one is led to believe that the topology on  $\text{Simp}(A)$  should be saturated with respect to infinitesimal incidence, i.e. should be such that  $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V') \neq 0$  implies  $V'$  is in the closure of  $V$ . We shall come back to this later.

**The algebraic (scheme) structure on  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ .** Recall that a standard  $n$ -commutator relation in a  $k$ -algebra  $A$  is a relation of the type,

$$[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}] := \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{2n}} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \dots a_{\sigma(2n)} = 0$$

where  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}\}$  is a subset of  $A$ . Let  $I(n)$  be the two-sided ideal of  $A$  generated by the subset,

$$\{[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}] \mid \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}\} \subset A\}.$$

Consider the canonical homomorphism,

$$p_n : A \longrightarrow A/I(n) =: A(n).$$

It is well known that any homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras,

$$\rho : A \longrightarrow \text{End}_k(k^n) =: M_n(k)$$

factors through  $p_n$ , see e.g. [Formanek].

**Corollary 6.** (i). Let  $V_i, V_j \in \text{Simp}_{\leq n}(A)$  and put  $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{m}_{V_i} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{V_j}$ . Then we have, for  $m \geq 2$ ,

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j) \simeq \text{Ext}_{A/\mathfrak{r}^m}^1(V_i, V_j)$$

(ii). Let  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ . Then,

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V) \simeq \text{Ext}_{A(n)}^1(V, V)$$

*Proof.* (i) follows directly from Lemma 2. To see (ii), notice that  $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V) = HH^1(A, \text{End}_k(V)) = \text{Der}_k(A, \text{End}_k(V))/\text{Triv} = \text{Der}_k(A(n), \text{End}_k(V))/\text{Triv} \simeq \text{Ext}_{A(n)}^1(V, V)$ . The third equality follows from the fact that any derivation maps a standard  $n$ -commutator relation into a sum of standard  $n$ -commutator relations.

□

**Example 7.** Notice that, for distinct  $V_i, V_j \in \text{Simp}_{\leq n}(A)$ , we may well have,

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j) \neq \text{Ext}_{A(n)}^1(V_i, V_j).$$

In fact, consider the matrix  $k$ -algebra,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} k[x] & k[x] \\ 0 & k[x] \end{pmatrix},$$

and let  $n = 1$ . Then  $A(1) = k[x] \oplus k[x]$ . Put  $V_i = k[x]/(x) \oplus (0)$ ,  $V_j = (0) \oplus k[x]/(x)$ , then it is easy to see that,

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j) = k, \quad \text{Ext}_{A(1)}^1(V_i, V_j) = 0.$$

**Lemma 8.** Let  $B$  be a  $k$ -algebra, and let  $V$  be a vectorspace of dimension  $n$ , such that the  $k$ -algebra  $B \otimes \text{End}_k(V)$  satisfies the standard  $n$ -commutator-relations, i.e. such that the ideal,  $I(n) \subset B \otimes \text{End}_k(V)$  generated by the standard  $n$ -commutators  $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}]$ ,  $x_i \in B \otimes \text{End}_k(V)$ , is zero. Then  $B$  is commutative.

*Proof.* In fact if  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  is such that  $[b_1, b_2] \neq 0$ , then the obvious  $n$ -commutator,

$$b_1 e_{1,1} b_2 e_{1,1} e_{1,2} e_{2,2} \dots e_{n-1,n} - b_2 e_{1,1} b_1 e_{1,1} e_{1,2} e_{2,2} \dots e_{n-1,n}$$

is different from 0. Here  $e_{i,j}$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix with all elements equal to 0, except the one in the  $(i, j)$  position, where the element is equal to 1.

□

**Lemma 9.** If  $A$  is a finite type  $k$ -algebra, then any  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$  is an  $A(n) := A/I_n$ -module, and the corresponding formal moduli,  $H^{A(n)}(V)$  is isomorphic to  $H^A(V)^{\text{com}}$ , the commutativization of  $H^A(V)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the natural diagram of homomorphisms of  $k$ -algebras,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A & \longrightarrow & O(\text{Simp}^*(A), \pi) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z(A(n)) & \longrightarrow & A(n) & & O(\text{Simp}_n^*(A), \pi) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H(V)^{\text{com}} & \longrightarrow & H(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V) & \longleftarrow & (H_{i,j} \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j)) \end{array}$$

where  $Z(A(n))$  is the center of  $A(n) := A/I_n$ ,  $V_i, V_j \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ , and  $H(V)^{\text{com}}$  is the commutativization of  $H(V)$ . Clearly there are natural morphisms of formal moduli,

$$H^A(V) \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V) \rightarrow H^A(V)^{\text{com}} \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}}.$$

Since moreover

$$A(n) \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V) \otimes \text{End}_k(V)$$

is topologically surjective, we find using (Lemma 6), that  $H^{A(n)}(V)$  is commutative. But then the composition,

$$H^{A(n)}(V) \rightarrow H^A(V)^{\text{com}} \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}},$$

is an isomorphism. Since by Corollary 4. the tangent spaces of  $H^{A(n)}(V)$  and  $H^A(V)$  are isomorphic, the lemma is proved.

□

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $A = k \langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle$  be the free  $k$ -algebra on  $d$  symbols, and let  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ . Then*

$$H^A(V)^{\text{com}} \simeq H^{A(n)}(V) \simeq k[[t_1, \dots, t_{(d-1)n^2+1}]]$$

This should be compared with the results of [Procesi 1.], see also [Formanek]. There are further examples, some based upon the calculation of Tord Romstad, see [Romstad], showing that  $H^A(V)$  is not commutative, even though  $V \in \text{Simp}(A) = \text{Simp}_{\leq 2}(A)$ .

In general the natural morphism,

$$\eta(n) : A(n) \rightarrow \prod_{V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)} H^{A(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$$

is not an injection.

**Example 11.** *In fact, let*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} k & k & k \\ k & k & k \\ o & 0 & k \end{pmatrix}.$$

The ideal  $I(2)$  is generated by  $[e_{1,1}, e_{1,2}e_{2,2}e_{2,3}] = e_{1,3}$ . So

$$A(2) = \begin{pmatrix} k & k & k \\ k & k & k \\ o & k & k \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 & k \\ o & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = M_2(k) \oplus M_1(k).$$

However,

$$\prod_{V \in \text{Simp}_2(A)} H^{A(2)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V) = M_2(k),$$

therefore  $\ker \eta(2) = M_1(k) = k$ .

Let  $O(n)$ , be the image of  $A(n)$ , then obviously,

$$O(n) \rightarrow \prod_{V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)} H^{O(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$$

is injective and,

$$H^{O(n)}(V) \simeq H^{A(n)}(V).$$

for every  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ . Put  $B = \prod_{V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)} H^{A(n)}(V)$ . Let  $x_i \in A, i = 1, \dots, d$  be generators of  $A$ , and consider the images  $(x_{p,q}^i) \in B \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n)$  of  $x_i$  via the injective homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras,

$$O(n) \rightarrow B \otimes \text{End}_k(k^n),$$

obtained by choosing bases in all  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ . Now,  $B$  is commutative, so the  $k$ -subalgebra  $C(n) \subset B$  generated by the elements  $\{x_{p,q}^i\}_{i=1, \dots, d; p, q=1, \dots, n}$  is commutative. We have an injection ,

$$O(n) \rightarrow C(n) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n).$$



and for all  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$  there is a natural projection,

$$C(n) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n) \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V).$$

This defines a set theoretical map,

$$t : \text{Simp}_n(A) \longrightarrow \text{Simp}(C(n)).$$

Since  $A(n) \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$  is topologically surjective,  $H^{A(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$  is topologically generated by the images of  $x_i$ . It follows that we have a surjective homomorphism,

$$\hat{C}_{t(V)}(n) \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V).$$

Categorical properties implies, as usual, that there is another natural morphism,

$$H^{A(n)}(V) \rightarrow \hat{C}_{t(V)}(n),$$

which composed with the former is an automorphism of  $H^{A(n)}(V)$ . Since

$$C(n) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n) \subseteq \prod_{V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)} H^{O(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V).$$

It follows that for  $v \in \text{Simp}(C(n))$ , corresponding to  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ , the finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $C(n)/\underline{m}_v^2 \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n)$  sits in a finite dimensional quotient of,

$$\prod_{V \in V} H^{O(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V).$$

where  $V \subset \text{Simp}_n(A)$  is finite. However, by Lemma 4. the composition of the morphisms,

$$A \longrightarrow O(n) \longrightarrow \prod_{V \in V} H^{O(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$$

is topologically surjectiv. Therefore the morphism,

$$A \longrightarrow C(n)/\underline{m}_v^2 \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n)$$

is surjectiv, implying that the map

$$H^{A(n)}(V) \rightarrow \hat{C}_{t(V)}(n),$$

is surjectiv, and consequently,  $H^{A(n)}(V) \simeq \hat{C}_{t(V)}(n)$ .

Moreover  $t$  is injective, so  $\text{Simp}_n(A) \subset \text{Simp}(C(n))$ . We have the following theorem, see Chapter VIII, §2, of the book of C. Procesi, [Procesi 2.], where part of this theorem is proved.

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ , correspond to the point  $v \in \text{Spec}(C(n))$ . Then there exist a Zariski neighborhood  $U_v$  of  $v$  in  $\text{Spec}(C(n))$  such that any  $v' \in U$  corresponds to a point  $V' \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ . Let  $U(n)$  be the open subscheme of  $\text{Spec}(C(n))$ , the union of all  $U_v$  for  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ .  $O(n)$  defines a non-commutative structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}(n) := \mathcal{O}_{\text{Simp}_n(A)}$  of Azumaya algebras on the topological space  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$  (Jacobson topology). The center  $\mathcal{S}(n)$  of  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ , defines a scheme structure on  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ . Moreover, there is a morphism of schemes,*

$$\kappa : U(n) \longrightarrow \text{Simp}_n(A),$$

Such that for any  $v \in U(n)$ ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}(n)_{\kappa(v)} \simeq H^{A(n)}(V)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\rho : A \longrightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$  be the surjective homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras, defining  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ . Let, as above  $e_{i,j} \in \text{End}_k(V)$  be the elementary matrices, and pick  $y_{i,j} \in A$  such that  $\rho(y_{i,j}) = e_{i,j}$ . Let us denote by  $\sigma$  the cyclical permutation of the integers  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and put,

$$s_k := [y_{\sigma^k(1), \sigma^k(2)}, y_{\sigma^k(2), \sigma^k(3)}, \dots, y_{\sigma^k(n), \sigma^k(1)}], \quad s := \sum_{k=0,1,\dots,n-1} s_k \in A.$$

Clearly  $s \in I(n-1)$ . Since  $[e_{\sigma^k(1), \sigma^k(2)}, e_{\sigma^k(2), \sigma^k(3)}, \dots, e_{\sigma^k(n), \sigma^k(1)}] = e_{\sigma^k(1), \sigma^k(n)} \in \text{End}_k(V)$ ,  $\rho(s) := \sum_{k=0,1,\dots,n-1} \rho(s_k) \in \text{End}_k(V)$  is the matrix with non-zero elements, equal to 1, only in the  $(\sigma^k(1), \sigma^k(n))$  position, so the determinant of  $\rho(s)$  must be +1 or -1. The determinant  $\det(s) \in C(n)$  is therefore nonzero at the point  $v \in \text{Spec}(C(n))$  corresponding to  $V$ . Put  $U = D(\det(s)) \subset \text{Spec}(C(n))$ , and consider the localization  $O(n)_{\{s\}} \subseteq C(n)_{\{\det(s)\}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$ , the inclusion following from general properties of the localization, see above. Now, any closed point  $v' \in U$  corresponds to a  $n$ -dimensional representation of  $A$ , for which the element  $s \in I(n-1)$  is invertible. But then this representation cannot have a  $m < n$  dimensional quotient, so it must be simple.

Since  $s \in I(n-1)$ , the localized  $k$ -algebra  $O(n)_{\{s\}}$  does not have any simple modules of dimension less than  $n$ , and no simple modules of dimension  $> n$ . In fact, for any finite dimensional  $O(n)_{\{s\}}$ -module  $V$ , of dimension  $m$ , the image  $\hat{s}$  of  $s$  in  $\text{End}_k(V)$  must be invertible. However, the inverse  $\hat{s}^{-1}$  must be the image of a polynomial (of degree  $m-1$ ) in  $s$ . Therefore, if  $V$  is simple over  $O(n)_{\{s\}}$ , i.e. if the homomorphism  $O(n)_{\{s\}} \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$  is surjective,  $V$  must also be simple over  $A$ . Since now  $s \in I(n-1)$ , it follows that  $m \geq n$ . If  $m > n$ , we may construct, in the same way as above an element in  $I(n)$  mapping into a nonzero element of  $\text{End}_k(V)$ . Since, by construction,  $I(n) = 0$  in  $A(n)$ , and therefore also in  $O(n)_{\{s\}}$ , we have proved what we wanted. By a theorem of M.Artin, see [Artin],  $O(n)_{\{s\}}$  must be an Azumaya algebra over its center,  $\mathcal{S}(n)_{\{s\}} := Z(O(n)_{\{s\}})$ . Therefore  $O(n)$  defines a presheaf  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  on  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ , of Azumaya algebras over its center  $\mathcal{S}(n) := Z(\mathcal{O}(n))$ . Clearly, any  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ , corresponding to  $v \in \text{Spec}(C(n))$  maps to a point  $s := \kappa(v) \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(n))$ . Since we know that,

$$H^{O(n)}(V) \simeq H^{A(n)}(V),$$

and since  $O(n)$  is, locally Azumaya, it is clear that,

$$\hat{S}(n)_s \simeq H^{O(n)}(V) \simeq H^{A(n)}(V).$$

The rest is clear.

□

Moreover,  $\text{Spec}(C(n))$  is, in a sense, a compactification of  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ , and we shall be able, using this embedding to study the degeneration processes that occur, at *infinity* in  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ .

**Example 13.** Let us check the case of  $A = k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ , the free non-commutative  $k$ -algebra on two symbols. First, let us compute  $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V)$  for  $V \in \text{Simp}_2(A)$ , and find a basis  $\{t_i^*\}_{i=1}^5$ , represented by derivations  $\psi_i \in \text{Der}_k(A, \text{End}_k(V))$ ,  $i=1,2,3,4,5$ . This is easy, since we have the exact sequence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(V_1, V_2) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(A, \text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2)) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(V_1, V_2) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

proving that,  $\text{Ext}_A^1(V_1, V_2) = \text{Der}_k(A, \text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2)) / \text{Triv}$ , where  $\text{Triv}$  is the subspace of trivial derivations. Pick  $V \in \text{Simp}_2(A)$  defined by the homomorphism  $A \rightarrow M_2(k)$  mapping the generators  $x_1, x_2$  to the matrices

$$X_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} =: e_{1,2}, \quad X_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} =: e_{2,1}.$$

Notice that

$$X_1 X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} =: e_{1,1} = e_1, \quad X_2 X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} =: e_{2,2} = e_2,$$

and recall also that for any  $2 \times 2$ -matrix  $(a_{p,q}) \in M_2(k)$ ,  $e_i(a_{p,q})e_j = a_{i,j}e_{i,j}$ . The trivial derivations are generated by the derivations  $\{\delta_{p,q}\}_{p,q=1,2}$ , defined by,

$$\delta_{p,q}(x_i) = x_i e_{p,q} - e_{p,q} x_i.$$

Clearly  $\delta_{1,1} + \delta_{2,2} = 0$ . Now, compute and show that the derivations  $\psi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ , defined by,

$$\psi_i(x_p) = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, p = 1, \quad \psi_i(x_p) = 0, \text{ for } i = 4, 5, p = 2$$

by,

$$\psi_1(x_2) = e_{1,1}, \psi_2(x_2) = e_{1,2}, \psi_3(x_1) = e_{1,2}, \psi_4(x_1) = e_{2,1}, \psi_5(x_1) = e_{2,1}$$

and by,

$$\psi_3(x_2) = e_{2,1}$$

form a basis for  $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V) = \text{Der}_k(A, \text{End}_k(V))/\text{Triv}$ . Therefore  $H(V) = k[[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5]]$ , and the formal versal family  $\tilde{V}$ , is defined by the actions of  $x_1, x_2$ , given by,

$$X_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1+t_3 \\ t_5 & t_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 := \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ 1+t_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One checks that there are polynomials of  $X_1, X_2$  which are equal to  $t_i e_{p,q}$ , modulo the ideal  $(t_1, \dots, t_5)^2 \subset H(V)$ , for all  $i, p, q = 1, 2$ . This proves that  $\hat{C}(2)_v \simeq H(V)$ , and that the composition,

$$A \longrightarrow A(2) \longrightarrow M_2(C(2)) \subset M_2(H(V))$$

is topologically surjective.

**Completions of  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ .** In the example above it is easy to see that elements of the complement of  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$  in the affine subscheme  $\text{Spec}(C(n))$  may not be represented by simple, nor indecomposable, representations. A decomposable representation  $W$  will not, however, in general be deformable into a simple representation, since good deformations should conserve  $\text{End}_A(W)$ . Therefore, even though we have termed  $\text{Spec}(C(n))$  a compactification of  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ , it is a bad *completion*. The missing points *at infinity* of  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ , should be represented as indecomposable representations, with  $\text{End}_A(W) = k$ . Any such is an iterated extension of simple representations  $\{V_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,s}$ , with representation graph  $\Gamma$  (corresponding to an *extension type*, see [La 2]), and  $\sum_{i=1}^s \dim(V_i) = n$ . To simplify the notations we shall write,  $|\Gamma| := \{V_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,s}$ . In [La 2] we treat the problem of classifying all such, up to isomorphisms. Assume now that this problem is solved, i.e. that we have identified the *non-commutative* scheme of indecomposable  $\Gamma$ -representation, call it  $\text{Ind}_\Gamma(A)$ . Put  $\text{Simp}_\Gamma(A) := \text{Simp}_n(A) \cup \text{Ind}_\Gamma(A)$ . Now, repeat the basics of the construction of  $\text{Spec}(C(n))$  above. Consider for every open affine subscheme  $D(s) \subset \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$ , the natural morphism,

$$A \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\underline{c} \subset D(s)} O(\underline{c}, \pi)$$

$\underline{c}$  running through all finite subsets of  $D(s)$ , and consider, in particular, its projection,

$$A \rightarrow A(n) \rightarrow \prod_{V \in D(s)} H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V).$$

Put  $B_s(\Gamma) := \prod_{V \in D(s)} H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}}$ . Let  $x_i \in A, i = 1, \dots, d$  be generators of  $A$ , and consider the images  $(x_{p,q}^i) \in B_s(n) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n)$  of  $x_i$  via the homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras,

$$A \rightarrow B_s(\Gamma) \otimes M_n(k),$$

obtained by choosing bases in all  $V \in \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$ . Notice that since  $V$  no longer is (necessarily) simple, we do not know that this map is topologically surjective.

Now,  $B_s(\Gamma)$  is commutative, so the  $k$ -subalgebra  $C_s(\Gamma) \subset B_s(\Gamma)$  generated by the elements  $\{x_{p,q}^i\}_{i=1,\dots,d; p,q=1,\dots,n}$  is commutative. We have a morphism,

$$I_s(\Gamma) : A \rightarrow C_s(\Gamma) \otimes_k M_n(k) = M_n(C_s(\Gamma)).$$

Moreover, these  $C_s(\Gamma)$  define a presheaf,  $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ , on the Jacobson topology of  $\text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$ . The rank  $n$  free  $C_s(\Gamma)$ -modules with the  $A$ -actions given by  $I_s(\Gamma)$ , glue together to form a locally free  $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ -Module  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$  on  $\text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$ , and the morphisms  $I_s(n)$  induce a morphism of sheaves of algebras,

$$I(\Gamma) : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)}(\mathcal{E}(\Gamma)).$$

As for every  $V \in \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$ ,  $\text{End}_A(V) = k$ , the commutator of  $A$  in  $H^A(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$  is  $H^A(V)^{\text{com}}$ . The morphism,

$$\zeta(V) : H^A(V)^{\text{com}} \rightarrow HH^0(A, H^A(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V))$$

is therefore an isomorphism, and we may assume that the corresponding morphism,

$$\zeta : \mathcal{C}(\Gamma) \rightarrow HH^0(A, \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)}(\mathcal{E}(\Gamma)))$$

is an isomorphism of sheaves. For all  $V \in D(s) \subset \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$  there is a natural projection,

$$\kappa(\Gamma) : C_s(\Gamma) \otimes_k M_n(k) \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V),$$

which, composed with  $I_s(\Gamma)$  is the natural homomorphism,

$$A \longrightarrow H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$$

$\kappa$  defines a set theoretical map,

$$t : \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A) \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)),$$

and a natural surjectiv homomorphism,

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)_{t(V)} \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}}.$$

Categorical properties implies, as usual, that there is another natural morphism,

$$\iota : H^{A(n)}(V) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)_{t(V)},$$

which composed with the former is the obvious surjection, and such that the induced composition,

$$A \longrightarrow H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)_{t(V)} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V),$$

is  $I(\Gamma)$  formalized at  $t(V)$ . From this, and from the definition of  $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ , it follows that  $\iota$  is surjective, such that for every  $V \in \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$  there is an isomorphism

$H^{A(n)}(V)^{com} \simeq \hat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)_{t(V)}$ . For  $V \in \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$  there is also a natural commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
ZA(n) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(\Gamma) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A(n) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)}(\mathcal{E}(\Gamma)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^{A(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V) & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)_{t(V)}(n) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)
\end{array}$$

Formally at a point  $V \in \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$ , we have therefore proved that the local, commutative structure of  $\text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$  (as  $A$  or  $A(n)$ -module), and the corresponding local structure of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma))$  at  $V$ , coincide. We have actually proved the following,

**Theorem 14.** *The topological space  $\text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$ , with the Jacobson topology, together with the sheaf of commutative  $k$ -algebras  $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$  defines a scheme structure on  $\text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$ , containing an open subscheme, etale over  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ . Moreover, there is a morphism,*

$$\pi(\Gamma) : \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(ZA(n)),$$

extending the natural morphism,

$$\pi_0 : \text{Simp}_n(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(ZA(n)).$$

*Proof.* As in Theorem 12. we prove that if  $v = t(V)$ ,  $V \in \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$ , then there exists an open subscheme of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma))$  containing only indecomposables with  $\text{End}_A(V) = k$ . The rest is clear.

□

These morphisms  $\pi(\Gamma)$  are our candidates for the possibly different completions of  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ . Notice that for  $W \in \text{Spec}(C(n)) - \text{Simp}_n(A)$ , the formal moduli  $H^A(W)$  is not always prorepresenting, since  $\text{End}_A(W) \neq k$  when  $W$  is semisimple, but not simple. The corresponding modular substratum will, locally, correspond to the semisimple deformations of  $W$ , thus to a closed subscheme of  $\text{Spec}(C(n)) - \text{Simp}_n(A) \subset \text{Spec}(C(n))$ .

**The McKay correspondence.** Let us consider a special case, where a finite group  $G$  acts on a finite dimensional  $k$ -vectorspace,  $U$ . Put,  $A_0 := \text{Sym}_k(U^*)$ , and let  $A := O(\text{Simp}^*(A_0 - G))$  be the  $k$ -algebra of observables of the  $A - G$ -swarm of orbits of the  $G$ -action. Recall, see [La 3], §8, that  $ZA = A_0^G$ , and that the classical quotient scheme  $U/G$  (exist and) is isomorphic to  $\text{Spec}(A_0^G)$ . Let  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$  be the finite family of irreducible (simple)  $G$ -representations. Let  $\Gamma$  be a representation graph (defining an extension type) of dimension  $n$ , i.e. such that  $|\Gamma| = \{V_{i_p}\}_{p=1}^s$ ,  $\sum_{p=1}^s \dim_k V_{i_p} = n$ , and use Theorem 14. It says that there exist a scheme  $\text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$  and a morphism,

$$\pi : \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A) \rightarrow U/G = \text{Spec}(A_0^G),$$

extending the natural morphism,

$$\pi_0 : \text{Simp}_n(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_0^G).$$

If  $n \geq \text{ord}G + 1$  the scheme  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$  has to be empty, since any  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$  with support outside the origin in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ , correspond to a reduced orbit, and so necessarily have length less or equal to the order of  $G$ , and any  $V$  with support in  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$  is a simple  $G$ -representation with trivial  $A$ -action, so  $\dim_k V \leq |G|$ . Now, suppose  $G$  acts freely on an open subset of  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ , and let  $\Gamma$  be a representation graph (corresponding to the extension type) of the regular representation of  $G$ . Under which conditions is the morphism,

$$\pi : \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_0^G),$$

a desingularization of the affine scheme  $\text{Spec}(A_0^G)$ ? If it is, is the representation graph uniquely determined? We shall come back to these well known problems in a later paper. However, to see how we may compute the morphism  $\pi$  let us here consider two very simple examples:

1. Consider the group  $G = Z/(2)$ , generated by  $\tau$ , acting on  $U = k^2$  by  $\tau = -id$ . In this case  $A_0 = k[x, y]$ , and  $\tau(x) = -x$ ,  $\tau(y) = -y$ , and  $A_0^G = k[x^2, y^2, xy]$  is the well known singularity. Clearly  $G$  has two simple (irreducible) representations of dimension 1,  $V_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ , where  $\tau$  acts as  $(-1)^i$ , respectively, and the regular representation, is the sum of these. The orbits of  $G$  in  $\text{Spec}(A_0) = \mathbf{A}^2$ , are either of length 2, corresponding to a simple  $A$ -module of dimension 2, or is reduced to the origin. Therefore the indecomposable  $A$ -modules of dimension 2, must all have support at the origin. They must therefore be given by the indecomposables of representation graph,

$$V_0 \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet V_1.$$

Now all such are given in terms of the following actions of  $x, y, \tau$  on the vectorspace  $k^2$ .

$$V_t : X = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0 \\ 1, 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0 \\ t, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0 \\ 0, -1 \end{pmatrix}, t \in k$$

or

$$V_\infty : X = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0 \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0 \\ 1, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0 \\ 0, -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Compute,

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(V_t, V_t) = \text{HH}^1(A, \text{End}_k(V_t)) = \text{Der}_k(A, \text{End}_k(V_t)) / \text{Triv}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\text{Ext}_A^1(V_t, V_t) = k^2$ , generated by the derivations, acting as follows:

$$\delta(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0, w \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \delta(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0, tw \\ v, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \delta(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0 \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

parametrized by  $v, w$ . The corresponding formal moduli, and formal miniversal family are given by,

$$H(V_t)^{\text{com}} = k[[v, w]], \tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0, w \\ 1, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0, tw + vw \\ v + t, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0 \\ 0, -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is easily seen by checking the relations,  $xy = yx, x\tau = -\tau x, y\tau = -\tau y$  in  $A$ .

Notice that the formal miniversal family is algebraic, and that for  $w = 0$  this gives us indecomposable  $A$ -modules, while for  $w \neq 0$  the corresponding  $A$ -module is simple. Moreover, the map,

$$A_0^G = k[x^2, y^2, xy] \subset k[v, w]$$

is given by,

$$x^2 = w, \quad y^2 = (t+v)^2w, \quad xy = (v+t)w,$$

which proves that,

$$\pi(\Gamma) : \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_0^G),$$

is a desingularization of the affine scheme  $\text{Spec}(A_0^G)$ . In fact it is just the ordinary desingularization of the  $A_1$ -singularity  $A_0^G = k[x^2, y^2, xy]$ , and  $\Gamma$  is just the corresponding Dynkin diagram. The exceptional fibre of  $\pi$  is obviously  $\mathbf{P}^1$ , given by  $w = 0$ , and  $V_\infty$ , see above.

2. Consider now the group  $G = Z/(2)$ , generated by  $\tau$ , acting on  $U = k^2$  by  $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0 \\ 0, -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . In this case  $A_0 = k[x, y]$ , and  $\tau(x) = x, \tau(y) = -y$ , and  $A_0^G = k[x, y^2]$  is non-singular.  $G$  has the two simple (irreducible) representations of dimension 1,  $V_i, i = 0, 1$ , where  $\tau$  acts as  $(-1)^i$ , respectively, and the regular representation, is the sum of these. The orbits of  $G$  in  $\text{Spec}(A_0) = \mathbf{A}^2$ , are either of length 2, corresponding to a simple  $A$ -module of dimension 2, or is supported by the  $x$ -axis. Therefore the non-simple indecomposable  $A$ -modules of dimension 2, must all have support at the  $x$ -axis. They must be given by the indecomposables with representation graph,

$$V_0 \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet V_1.$$

Now all such are easily seen to be given by  $k[x, y]/(x - t, y^2)$ , identified with the  $x$ -axis. A similar computation as above shows that,

$$\pi(\Gamma) : \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_0^G),$$

is an isomorphism.

The general problem posed above seems not to be very easy, although the story is well known in case  $G \subset Sl_2(k)$ , and there is a long list of papers on the subject, see [B-K-R].

Now, consider for  $s_2 \leq s_1 \leq n, V_1 \in \text{Simp}_{s_1}(A), V_2 \in \text{Simp}_{s_2}(A)$ , the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z(n) & \longrightarrow & A(n) & & \\ \downarrow \rho_1 & & \downarrow & & \\ Z(s_1) & \longrightarrow & A(s_1) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_k(V_1) \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow & & \\ Z(s_2) & \longrightarrow & A(s_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_k(V_2). \end{array}$$

Put  $\rho_2 := \rho\rho_1$ , and let  $t(V_i) \in \text{Simp}(Z(s_i))$  be the points corresponding to the simple modules  $V_i$ .



**Lemma 15.** *In the situation above, if  $\text{Ext}_{A(n)}^1(V_i, V_j) \neq 0$  then*

$$\rho_i : \text{Simp}(Z(s_i)) \rightarrow \text{Simp}(Z(n)), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

maps  $t(V_i)$  to the same point.

*Proof.* If  $\rho_1(t(V_1)) \neq \rho_2(t(V_2))$ , the two corresponding maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , of  $Z(n)$  will be distinct, the sum  $\mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2$  is then  $Z(n)$ . However,  $\mathfrak{m}_i$  annihilates  $V_i$ , therefore the sum will annihilate  $\text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j)$ , which therefore must be zero.

□

**Quantum correspondences of plane curves.**

Let  $f \in k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ , and put  $A = k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle / (f)$ . Consider the algebraic plane curve,

$$C := \text{Simp}_1(A) = \text{Spec}(k[x_1, x_2]/(f)).$$

Put

$$\Gamma = \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet$$

then there are two natural morphisms

$$pr_i : \text{Ind}_\Gamma(A) \longrightarrow \text{Simp}_1(A), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

defining a correspondence,

$$\Phi = pr_1 pr_2^{-1} : C \dashrightarrow C.$$

We shall be interested in computing  $\Phi$ , in general, or rather, we shall be concerned with the domain of definition of  $\Phi$ , and its degree.

Clearly  $p_2 \in \Phi(p_1)$  if and only if

$$E((p_1), k(p_2)) := \text{Ext}_A^1(k(p_1), k(p_2)) \neq 0$$

since then

$$\begin{pmatrix} k(p_1) & E((p_1), k(p_2))^* \\ 0, & k(p_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

will be an indecomposable  $A$ -module of dimension 2. Here  $k(p_1), k(p_2)$  are, of course the two simple one-dimensional  $A$ -modules, corresponding to the points  $p_1, p_2 \in C$ . Now, we have an exact sequence of Hochschild cohomology,

$$\text{Hom}_k(k(p_1), k(p_2)) \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Der}_k(A, \text{Hom}_k(k(p_1), k(p_2))) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(k(p_1), k(p_2)) \rightarrow 0.$$

The kernel of  $\phi$  is  $\text{Hom}_A(k(p_1), k(p_2))$ , which is zero if  $p_1 \neq p_2$ , so  $\phi$  must be injective, and therefore,

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(k(p_1), k(p_2)) = \text{Der}_k(A, \text{Hom}_k(k(p_1), k(p_2))) / k.$$

This implies that  $\text{Ext}_A^1(k(p_1), k(p_2)) \neq 0$  if and only if,

$$J_x(f : p_1; p_2) = 0, \quad J_y(f : p_1; p_2) = 0$$

Here  $J_{x_i}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2))$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are polynomials in two sets of non-commuting variables,  $(x_1, x_2)$  and  $(u_1, u_2)$ , linear functions in  $f$ , and defined on monomials  $m_1 m_2$  such that

$$J_{x_i}(m_1 m_2) = J_{x_i}(m_1) m_2(u_1, u_2) + m_1(x_1, x_2) J_{x_i}(m_2), \quad J_{x_i}(x_j) = \delta_{i,j}.$$

In particular,  $J_{x_1}([x_1, x_2]) = u_2 + x_2$ ,  $J_{x_2}([x_1, x_2]) = x_1 + u_1$ . Assume the two equations,

$$J_{x_i}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

admits solutions,  $x_i = x_i(u_1, u_2)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then put,

$$\tilde{f} := f(x_1(u_1, u_2), x_2(u_1, u_2)).$$

Clearly, the condition for the correspondence  $\Phi$  to be defined on an open subscheme of the curve  $C$ , is that  $\tilde{f}(u_1, u_2) = 0$  on an open subscheme of  $C = Z(f(u_1, u_2))$ .

The remarkable fact is that for any  $f \in k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ , we have the following result,

**Proposition 16.** *Put  $f_q = f + q[x_1, x_2]$ . Then, for generic  $q$ ,  $\tilde{f}_q$  vanish on an open subscheme of  $C$ .*

This is equivalent to saying that for generic  $q$ , the morphisms

$$pr_i : \text{Ind}_\Gamma(A) \longrightarrow \text{Simp}_1(A), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

are dominant and finite. We notice that if they are finite, they must be of degree  $\leq (\deg(f)-1)^2$

### Non-commutative Maclaurin series..

Before we prove the Proposition, let us take a second look at the Maclaurin expansion in classical calculus.

**Definition 17.** *Let  $f \in k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$  then, for any sequence  $I_r = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$  with  $i_l \in \{1, 2\}$  we define inductively,*

$$\begin{aligned} J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) = \\ J_{x_{i_r}}(J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_{r-1}}}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) \end{aligned}$$

We shall call  $J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2))$  the non-commutative  $r$ 'th derivative (Jacobian) of  $f$  with respect to  $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}$ .

Now these derivatives are really very nice, in fact they have the properties of divided powers,

**Lemma 18.** *Let  $S(I_r)$  be the group of permutations of the sequence  $I_r$ , then in  $k[u_1, u_2]$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{S(I_r)} J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (u_1, u_2)) \\ &= 1/r_1! r_2! \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r-1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f \right) (u_1, u_2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_1, r_2$  are the numbers of, respectively 1 and 2's in the sequence  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$

*Proof.* The formula is true for  $r = 1$ , by definition. Assume that it is true for all monomials  $f$  of degree  $\leq n - 1$ , and consider  $f = m.x_i$ , then, putting  $x_l := x_{i_l}$  to save space,

$$J_{x_j}(m.x_i : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) = J_{x_j}(m : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2))u_i + m.\delta_{i,1}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{S(I_r)} J_{x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r}}(m.x_i : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) = \\ & \sum_{S(I_r)} J_{x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r}}(m : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2))u_i + \\ & \sum_{S(I_r)} J_{x_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_r}}(m : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2))\delta_{i,1} \end{aligned}$$

By induction, this is equal to,

$$1/r_1!r_2!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r-1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} m\right)(u_1, u_2)u_i + 1/(r_1-1)!r_2!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r-1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} m\right)(u_1, u_2)\delta_{i,1}$$

which is easily seen to be equal to

$$1/r_1!r_2!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r-1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} m.x_i\right)(u_1, u_2)$$

proving the theorem.

□

Therefore we have, formally, the following result,

**Proposition 19.** *The Maclaurin ( or Taylor) series expansion in  $k[u_1, u_2, x_1, x_2]$  of  $f \in k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$  is the following formula:*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2) &= f(u_1, u_2) + \\ & \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} J_{x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (u_1, u_2))(x_{i_1} - u_{i_1})(x_{i_2} - u_{i_2}) \dots (x_{i_r} - u_{i_r}) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that this may be extended to a Taylor-series expansion in the non-commutative polynomial  $k$ -algebra. In fact, introduce the following notation:

**Definition 20.** *Let  $f \in k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ , and let  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  be new non-commuting variables. Denote by,*

$$J_{x_i}(f : \underline{x}; \underline{v}, \underline{u}) \in k \langle \underline{x}, \underline{v}, \underline{u} \rangle$$

the linear function in  $f$ , defined for  $f = x_i$ , resp for  $f = mx_j$ , by:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{x_i}(x_j : \underline{x}; \underline{v}, \underline{u}) &= \delta_{i,j}v_i \\ J_{x_i}(mx_j : \underline{x}; \underline{v}, \underline{u}) &= J_{x_i}(m : \underline{x}; \underline{v}, \underline{u})u_j + \delta_{i,j}mv_i \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 21.** For  $f \in k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ , and for some non-commuting variables  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  we have, in  $k \langle \underline{u}, \underline{v} \rangle$ , the following identity,

$$f(u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2) = f(u_1, u_2) + \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (v_1, v_2); (u_1, u_2))$$

Now, let us prove Proposition 16. For  $f = f_1 + q[x_1, x_2]$  the equations,

$$J_{x_i}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

admits solutions,  $x_i = x_i(u_1, u_2)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , in  $k[u_1, u_2]$ . Use the Maclaurin series expansion of,

$$J_{x_i}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) \quad i = 1, 2,$$

in  $k[[u_1, u_2]]$ .

Then vi get,

$$J_{x_{i_1}}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) = J_{x_{i_1}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (u_1, u_2)) + \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (u_1, u_2))(x_{i_2} - u_{i_2}) \dots (x_{i_r} - u_{i_r})$$

Since

$$J_{x_i}(f : (x_1(u_1, u_2), x_2(u_1, u_2)); (u_1, u_2)) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

we find

$$J_{x_{i_1}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (u_1, u_2))(x_{i_1} - u_{i_1}) = - \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (u_1, u_2))(x_{i_1} - u_{i_1})(x_{i_2} - u_{i_2}) \dots (x_{i_r} - u_{i_r})$$

Using the Maclaurin series in the above Proposition, we obtain,

$$\tilde{f} := f(x_1(u_1, u_2), x_2(u_1, u_2)) = f(u_1, u_2),$$

in  $k[[u_1, u_2]]$ .

It is easy to see that the above can be extended to any hypersurface, and so to schemes in general. In fact, what we obtain is a kind of Abels addition theorem. See forthcoming preprint, Oslo University.

### The smooth locus of an affine non-commutative scheme.

Recall from [La] that a point  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$  is called smooth (regular would probably have been better), if the natural  $k$ -linear map,

$$\kappa : \text{Der}_k(A, A) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(V, V)$$

is surjective.

**Definition 22.** Let  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ , then  $V$  is called *formally smooth* if,

$$HH^2(A, \text{End}_k(V)) = 0$$

Problem: Does

$$HH^2(A, A) = 0$$

imply that all  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$  are (formally) smooth?

Let  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ , and let  $v \in \text{Simp}(Z(A))$  be the point corresponding to  $V$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_v$  the corresponding maximal ideal of  $Z(A)$ . Clearly  $Z(A)$  operate naturally on the Hochschild cohomology,  $HH^1(A, A)$ , and the map  $\kappa$  factors through,  $HH^1(A, A)/\mathfrak{m}_v HH^1(A, A)$ , so that if  $V$  is smooth, we obtain a surjectiv  $k$ -linear map,

$$\kappa_0 : HH^1(A, A)/\mathfrak{m}_v HH^1(A, A) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(V, V).$$

It follows that  $\max_{V \in \text{Simp}(A)} \{\dim_k HH^1(A, A)/\mathfrak{m}_v HH^1(A, A)\}$  is an upper bound for the dimensions of the smooth locus of  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Clearly the definition of (formal) smoothness also works for any representation  $V$ .

**Proposition 23.** If  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$  is smooth or formally smooth, then the corresponding point  $v \in \text{Spec}(C(n))$  is also smooth.

*Proof.* Assume that  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$  is formally smooth, then obviously the completion of the local ring of  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$  at  $V$  is  $H(V)^{com}$ , which since  $H(V)$  has no obstructions and therefore must be the completion of the free non-commutative  $k$ -algebra, is a formal power series algebra, and thus  $V$  is a smooth point of  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ .

Now, assume  $V$  is smooth, and consider the natural commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Der}_k(A, A) & & \\
 \downarrow \rho & & \\
 \text{Der}_k(A(n), A(n)) & \searrow \gamma & \\
 \downarrow \kappa & \longrightarrow \delta & \text{Ext}_A^1(V, V) \\
 \text{Der}_k(O(n), O(n)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \\
 \downarrow \lambda & \nearrow \epsilon & \\
 \text{Der}_k(O(n)_{\{s\}}, O(n)_{\{s\}}) & & \\
 \uparrow \alpha & \longrightarrow & \uparrow \beta \\
 \text{Der}_k(S(n), S(n)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Der}_k(S(n), k(v)).
 \end{array}$$

Notice that  $\beta$  is an isomorphism. This has been proved above. That  $\rho$  exists is easily seen, since for any derivation  $\delta \in \text{Der}_k(A)$ , and for any standard commutator  $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}] \in I(n)$ , we must have  $\delta([x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}]) \in I(n)$ . Notice that the kernel of the homomorphism,  $A(n) \rightarrow O(n)$  is the image in  $A(n)$  of

$$\mathfrak{n} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}_n(A), m \geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^m.$$

Clearly any derivation will map an element of  $\mathfrak{n}$  into  $\mathfrak{n}$ , proving the existence of  $\kappa$ .  $\lambda$  is defined by localization at the point  $v \in \text{Spec}(C(n))$ , as in the proof of Theorem 9. We may assume  $O(n)_{\{s\}}$  is a matrix algebra  $M_n(S(n))$ , and use the fact that any derivation of a matrix algebra is given by a derivation of the centre and an inner derivation, ( $HH^1$  is Morita invariant). The inner derivation will map to zero in  $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V)$ , and so the composition of  $\alpha$  and  $\epsilon$  is surjective.

□

The converse is not true.

### Some examples.

1. Let  $S$  be any commutative algebra, and denote by  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \subset S$  two ideals of  $S$ . Consider the  $k$ -algebra,

$$A := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \mid a_{i,j} \in S, a_{1,1} - a_{2,2} \in \mathfrak{a}, a_{1,2}, a_{2,1} \in \mathfrak{b} \right\}.$$

Clearly the centre of  $A = A(2) = O(2)$ , is  $S(2) = C(2) = S$  and a simple calculation shows that,

$$A(1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_{1,1} & \tilde{a}_{1,2} \\ \tilde{a}_{2,1} & \tilde{a}_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \mid \tilde{a}_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}, i \neq j, \tilde{a}_{1,1}, \tilde{a}_{2,2} \in S/\mathfrak{b}^2, \tilde{a}_{1,1} - \tilde{a}_{2,2} \in \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}^2 \right\}.$$

Then  $A(1)$  is the commutative  $k$ -algebra expressed by Nagata rings, i.e.

$$A(1) = ((S/\mathfrak{b}^2)[(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}^2)])[(\mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})^2].$$

Consider the subschemes  $V(\mathfrak{a}) \subset V(\mathfrak{b}) \subset \text{Spec}(S)$ . Then,  $\text{Simp}_2(A) = \text{Spec}(S) - V(\mathfrak{b})$  and a simple calculation shows that  $\text{Simp}_1(A) = \text{Spec}(A(1))$  is a thickening of the affine scheme  $\text{Spec}((S/\mathfrak{b}^2)[(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}^2)])$ . In the special case,

$$S = k[t_1, t_2], \mathfrak{a} = (f, g), \mathfrak{b} = (f)$$

where  $f, g \in S$ , correspond to two curves,  $V(f), V(g)$  that intersect in a finite set  $U$ , one finds that  $\text{Simp}_2(A)$  is an open affine subscheme of  $\text{Spec}(S)$ , and that  $\text{Simp}_1(A) = \text{Spec}(A(1))$  is the disjoint union of the curve  $V(f)$  with itself, amalgamated at the points of  $U$ . If both  $V(f)$  and  $V(g)$  are smooth, and intersect normally at the points of  $U$ , then the embedding-dimension of  $\text{Simp}_1(A) = \text{Spec}(A(1))$  at a point not in  $U$ , is 2, and at the points of  $U$ , 6!

2. Let in the above example,  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a} = (t_1, t_2)$ , then  $\text{Simp}_2(A) = \text{Spec}(S) - \{(0, 0)\}$ , therefore not affine, and  $\text{Simp}_1(A) = \text{Spec}(A(1))$  is a thick point situated at the origin of the affine 2-space  $\text{Spec}(S)$ .

3. Let us compute the  $\text{Simp}_2(A)$  for the non-commutative cusp, i.e. for the  $k$ -algebra,

$$A = k \langle x, y \rangle / (x^3 - y^2).$$

We first notice that the center  $Z(A) \subset A$  is the subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $t := x^3 = y^2$ . Put

$$u_1 = x^2y, \quad v_1 = yx^2.$$

Then there is a surjective morphism,

$$k[t, t^{-1}] \langle u, v \rangle / (uvu - vuv) \longrightarrow A(t^{-1})$$

mapping  $u$  to  $u_1$  and  $v$  to  $v_1$ . In fact,  $u_1v_1 = t^2x$  and  $v_1u_1v_1 = t^3y$ , and finally  $u_1v_1u_1 = t^3y = v_1u_1v_1$ . (The relations with the equation of Yang-Baxter, if any, will have to be discovered.)

Now let us compute the  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ . It is clear that any surjectiv homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras,

$$\rho_v : A \longrightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$$

will map  $Z(A) = k[t]$  into  $Z(\text{End}_k(V)) = k$ , inducing a point  $v \in \text{Simp}(k[t]) = \mathbf{A}^1$ . This means that  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$  is fibred over the affine line  $\text{Spec}(k[t]) = \mathbf{A}^1$ . Let  $\rho_v(x)^3 = \rho_v(y)^2 = \kappa(v)\mathbf{1}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity matrix, and where  $\kappa(v)$  is a parameter of the cusp. Then either  $v = \text{origin} =: \underline{0}$  or we may assume  $\kappa(v) \neq 0$ . Consider now the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[t = x^3 = y^2] & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\rho_v} & \text{End}_k(V) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ k[x]/(x^3 - \kappa(v)) * k[y]/(y^2 - \kappa(v)) & & \end{array}$$

Clearly, if  $\kappa(v) \neq 0$  the simple representations of  $A$  are fibred on the cusp with fibres being the simple representations of  $U := k[x]/(x^3 - \kappa(v)) * k[y]/(y^2 - \kappa(v))$ , isomorphic to the group algebra of the modular group  $Sl_2(\mathbf{Z})$ . Since the representation theory of  $Sl_2(\mathbf{Z})$  is known, this shows, in principle, how to go about describing the open subscheme of  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$  corresponding to  $\kappa(v) \neq 0$ , for all  $n \geq 0$ .

We shall however have to work a little to find the fibre of  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$  corresponding to the singular point of the cusp. When  $n = 2$  it is clear that we have no choice, but to fix the Jordan form of  $\rho_v(y)$  equal to the Jordan form of

$$\rho_v(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $I(\rho_v(x))$  be the isotropy subgroup of the action of  $Gl_n(k)$  on  $M_n(k)$ , at  $\rho_v(x)$ . Set theoretically, the fiber is then the double quotient,

$$I(\rho_v(x)) \backslash Gl_n(k) / I(\rho_v(x))$$

To find the scheme structure we may compute the formal moduli of the simple module given by,

$$\rho_v(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_v(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We compute and find the following,

**Example 24.** Let  $A$  be the non-commutative cusp. Then

(i)  $\text{Simp}_1(A) = \text{Spec}(k[x, y]/(x^3 - y^2))$

(ii)  $\text{Simp}_2(A)$  is fibered on the cusp minus the origin, with fiber  $E(\underline{t}) = U_2/T^2$  where  $U_2$  is an open subscheme of the 3-dimensional scheme of all pairs of 2-vectors, with vector product equal 1, and  $T^2$  is a two dimensional torus, acting naturally on  $U_2$ .

(iii)  $S(2) = k[t^2, t^3, u]$ .

(iv) The fiber  $E(\underline{q})$  over  $\underline{q}$  is given by,

$$\tilde{\rho}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} t & 1 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\rho}(y) = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 1+v & -u \end{pmatrix}$$

parametrized by the  $k$ -algebra  $k[t, u, v]/(t^2, u^2, (1+v)t)$ , i.e. it is the open subscheme of the double line parametrized by  $v$ , with the point  $v = -1$  removed.

(v) In particular we find that  $E(\underline{q})$  is a component of  $\text{Simp}_2(A)$ .

**The Jordan correspondence.** As we have seen in the above example, the computation of the structure of the different  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$  for a given  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , is naturally related to the problem of finding the possible Jordan forms for the action of the generators  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^d$  of  $A$  on a vector space of dimension  $n$ .

Notice that when  $A$  is the group algebra of the homotopy group of the  $p$ -pointed Poincaré sphere this problem is, in some quarters, called the Deligne-Simpson problem, and is related to classical problems in monodromy theory, see e.g. [Katz], [Kostov] and [Simpson].

We shall now see how this can be formulated in non-commutative algebraic geometry, using the existence of a non-commutative moduli space for iso-classes of endomorphisms, developed in [La 1], § 8. Let  $\text{End}_k(k^n) = \text{Spec}(k[x_{i,j}])$ , and let  $B := k[x_{i,j}]$  and  $G := \text{GL}_n(k)$ . For each formal normal Jordan form of dimension  $n$ , there is an orbite, such that the affine ring of its closure is a  $B - G$ -representation  $\rho_i : B \rightarrow V_i$ . Corresponding to a family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_i$  of  $B - G$ -modules, there is a deformation functor and a versal family of  $B - G$ -modules,  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ , together with a homomorphism of  $B$ -modules,

$$\tilde{\rho} : B \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}} = (H_{i,j} \otimes V_j).$$

In all cases known to us, there is an algebraic  $k$ -algebra  $H' \subset (H_{i,j})$ , and a universal family defined on  $H'$ , inducing the formal one above. This  $H'$ , from now on called  $\text{End}(n)$ , is simply  $O(\mathcal{V}^*, \pi)$ , the affine  $k$ -algebra of the non-commutative moduli scheme  $\mathbf{End}(n)$  of iso-classes of endomorphisms, see [La 2]. Here  $\mathcal{V}^*$  is the  $A - G$ -swarm defined by the morphisms,  $\rho_i : B \rightarrow V_i$ . There is a homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras,

$$\eta : B \rightarrow O(\mathcal{V}, \pi) = (H_{i,j} \otimes \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j)).$$

inducing a homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras,

$$\eta : B^G \rightarrow \text{End}(n).$$

In [La 1] we have computed  $\text{End}(n)$  for  $n = 2$  and in a forthcoming paper, see [Siq 2], Arvid Siqueland has computed  $\text{End}(n)$  for  $n = 3$ . There is, however, a problem with this set up; the lack of an algebraic structure on the map,

$$M_n(k) := \text{End}_k(k^n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{End}(n).$$



To overcome this, let us go back to the general theory for a while. Let  $A$  be given, as above, and consider a swarm,  $\underline{c} \subset A - \text{mod}$ . Let  $V_i, V_j \in |\underline{c}|$ . We shall say that  $V_i$  is *above*  $V_j$ , and write it  $V_i > V_j$  if  $\text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j) \neq 0$ . Given a point  $V \in |\underline{c}|$  we shall call the subset  $\{V' \in |\underline{c}| \mid V' > V\}$  *the focal swarm* of  $V$ , and the subset  $\{V' \in |\underline{c}| \mid V > V'\}$  will be called the *local swarm* of  $V$ . In the case of the swarm  $\text{Simp}(B - G)$ , if  $V$  is an object, i.e. the affine algebra of the closure of an orbit, there is a finite focal swarm  $\mathcal{V}_V$  of  $V$ , corresponding to the orbits  $\text{Simp}(V_i)$  containing  $\text{Simp}(V)$  in their closure, i.e. to the set of points  $V_i$  for which there is a  $B - G$ -module homomorphism of  $V_i$  onto  $V$ .

Now consider the left  $\text{End}(n)$  and right  $B$ -module  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ , and fix an element  $q \in \text{Simp}(B)$ . Then there exists a unique closed orbit  $\text{Simp}(V(q))$  containing  $q$ , such that  $q \in \text{Simp}(V) - \cup_{V_j < V} \text{Simp}(V_j)$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}_q := \mathcal{V}_{V(q)}$ , and consider the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\mathcal{V} \subset \text{Simp}(B-G)} (H_{i,j}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes V_j) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \otimes_B k(q) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\mathcal{V} \subset \text{Simp}(B-G)} (H_{i,j}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes V_j \otimes_B k(q)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{H}(\mathcal{V}_q) & \longrightarrow & H(\mathcal{V}_q) \end{array}$$

Here  $\mathcal{V}$  runs through all finite subsets of  $\text{Simp}(B - G)$ , and  $k(q)$  is the residue field of the point  $q \in \text{Simp}(B)$ . This induces an  $\text{End}(n)$ -module homomorphism,

$$\tilde{q} : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \longrightarrow H(\mathcal{V}_q)$$

Notice that the points, i.e. simple quotient modules, of the  $\text{End}(n)$ -module  $H(\mathcal{V}_q)$  correspond precisely to the local swarm  $\mathcal{V}_q$ . Moreover, this defines a unique, *algebraic*, morphism, the Jordan morphism,

$$J : \text{End}_k(k^n) \longrightarrow \{\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbf{End}(n) \mid \mathcal{V} \text{ local swarm}\}.$$

Notice also that  $\tilde{H}(\mathcal{V}_q)$  is a left  $\text{End}(n)$  and a right  $B$ -module. Fixing  $q$ , any element  $c \in \text{Simp}(\text{End}(n))$ , i.e. any Jordan form, therefore defines a simple  $B$ -module, an element  $c(q) \in \text{Simp}(B)$ . In this way we obtain a local section of the  $\text{Gl}_n(k)$ -orbit stratification of  $M_n(k)$  parametrized by  $\text{Simp}(\text{End}(n))$ .

Now, assume given a  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , generated by the elements  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^p$ , and a simple  $n$ -dimensional representation  $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ . Recall again the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ S(n) & \longrightarrow & O(n) & \longrightarrow & C(n) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & H^O(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V) \simeq & & \hat{C}_{t(V)} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V). \end{array}$$

Clearly, the element  $x_i \in A$  induces a homomorphism,

$$x_i : B \rightarrow C(n),$$

therefore a natural map,

$$X_i : \text{Simp}_n(A) \rightarrow \text{Simp}(B) = M_n(k).$$

Together we have proved the following,

**Theorem 25.** *There exists a natural algebraic correspondence,*

$$J(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) : \text{Simp}_n(A) \longrightarrow \{\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbf{End}(n) \mid \mathcal{V} \text{ local swarm}\}^r$$

Let us compute  $J$  in the first non-trivial case, i.e. for  $n = 2$ . For this we first need to compute the versal family,  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ , i.e. the action of  $B$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = H \otimes \mathcal{V}$ . This is easily done by using the  $k$ -linear and  $Gl(2)$ -invariant section of the morphism  $B \rightarrow V_1 = B/(s_1, s_2)$ , induced by fixing a  $k$ -basis for  $V_1$ ,

$$\{x_{1,1}^{n_0} x_{1,2}^{n_1} x_{2,1}^{n_2} =: x_{1,1}^{n_0} v_0\}_{0 \leq n_0 \leq 1, 0 \leq n_1, n_2}$$

mapping, multiplicatively,  $x_{1,1}$  to  $1/2(x_{1,1} - x_{2,2})$ , and  $x_{i,j}, i \neq j$  to  $x_{i,j}$ , see §10 of [La 1]. We obtain,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = (H(\{V_i\})_{i,j} \otimes V_j) = \begin{pmatrix} k[s_1, s_2] \otimes V_1 & H_{1,2} \otimes V_2 \\ 0 & k[s] \otimes V_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $V_2 = k$ , subject to the relation in  $H_{1,2} = k[s_1, s_2] \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle / k[s]$ ,

$$t_1 s^2 - s_2 t_1 - 2 \cdot t_2 s + s_1 t_2 = 0,$$

with the  $k[x_{i,j}]$ -action given by,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix} x_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_1 x_{i,j} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $i \neq j$ , and,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix} x_{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_0 x_{1,1} - 1/2 s_1 \otimes v_0 & -1/2 t_1 \otimes \bar{v}_0 \\ 0 & -s \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix} x_{2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \otimes v_0 x_{1,1} - 1/2 s_1 \otimes v_0 & -1/2 t_1 \otimes \bar{v}_0 \\ 0 & -s \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover, the (1,1)-term of the matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix} x_{1,1}$$

for  $v_1 = v_0 x_{1,1}$ , looks like,

$$-1 \otimes v_0 x_{1,2} x_{2,1} - 1/2 s_1 \otimes v_0 x_{1,1} + s_2 \otimes v_0$$

and the (1,2)-term has the form,

$$t_2 \otimes v'_0 - 1/2 t_1 s \otimes v'_0 - (s_1/2)^2 / (1 - (s_1/2)) t_1 \otimes v'_0,$$

The (1,1)-term of the matrix,  $x$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix} x_{2,2}$$

has the form,

$$1 \otimes v_0 x_{1,2} x_{2,1} - 1/2 s_1 \otimes v_0 x_{1,1} - s_2 \otimes v_0$$

and the (1,2)-term looks like,

$$-t_2 \otimes v'_0 + 1/2 t_1 s \otimes v'_0 + (s_1/2)^2 / (1 - (s_1/2)) t_1 \otimes v'_0.$$

Here  $v'_0$  is the image of  $v_0$  in  $V_2$ . Notice that for  $s_1 = 2$  these formulas are undefined. Assume  $s_1 \neq 2$ , then  $J$  is defined, and in particular,

$$J(\underline{0}) = ((0, 0), 0).$$

The (generalized Deligne-Simpson) problem we encountered above, is now the following:

**Problem 26.** *Given a  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , finitely generated by the elements  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^r$ , characterize the image of the morphism,*

$$J(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) : \text{Simp}_n(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{End}(n)^P.$$

In the case of the cusp above, it is easy to compute the image of  $J$ , when  $n = 1, 2$ , and not so easy when  $n \geq 3$ .

**A structure theorem for geometric  $k$ -algebras.** Let  $A$  be a geometric algebra, and assume moreover that  $I(n) = 0$  thus,  $A \simeq A(n)$ , so that  $A$  does not have any simple modules of dimension greater than  $n$ . Now, for any  $m \leq n$ , consider the natural morphism,

$$A \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{V} \subset \text{Simp}_m(A)} O^A(\mathcal{V})$$

where  $\mathcal{V}$  runs through all finite subsets of  $\text{Simp}_m(A)$ . Call the image  $D(m)$ . Clearly there is a natural surjective homomorphism,

$$D(m) \longrightarrow O(m) \subset \prod_{V \in \text{Simp}_m(A)} H^{A(m)} \otimes \text{End}_k(V),$$

see Proposition 11. Let  $\mathcal{D}(m)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(m)$ , be corresponding (non-commutative) sheaves on  $\text{Simp}_m(A)$ . Consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K(n) & \longrightarrow & A(n) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(n) \\ \downarrow \rho_1 & & \downarrow & & \\ K(n-1) & \longrightarrow & A(n-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(n-1) \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(1). \end{array}$$

where  $K(m)$  is the kernel of the morphism  $A(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(m)$ . Clearly  $K(1) = 0$ .

**Theorem 27.** For any geometric  $k$ -algebra with  $I(n) = 0$ , there is a sheaf of matrix algebras  $\mathcal{D}$ , defined on  $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ , and an injectiv homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras,

$$A \longrightarrow \mathcal{D},$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  is generated by matrices of the type,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}(n) & * & \dots & * \\ * & \mathcal{D}(n-1) & \ddots & \\ * & * & \cdot & \mathcal{D}(1) \end{pmatrix},$$

such that  $\text{Simp}_m(A) = \text{Simp}(\mathcal{D}(m))$ .

*Proof.* This is now just another way of stating Proposition 1., i.e. saying that  $A \simeq O(\text{Simp}^*(A))$ , since clearly  $O(\text{Simp}^*(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ .

□

The following simple consequence of the  $O$ -construction, is going to be rather useful,

**Corollary 28.** Suppose the geometric  $k$ -algebra  $A$  satisfies the following conditions,

- (1)  $I(n)=0$
- (2)  $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V') = 0$ , if  $\dim V < \dim V'$  (resp. if  $\dim V > \dim V'$ )

Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is a sheaf of upper triangular (resp. lower triangular) matrices of the form,

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}(n) & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \mathcal{D}(n-1) & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \mathcal{D}(1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Remark.** The above condition (2) is very often satisfied, and in particular, it is satisfied for the the coordinate  $k$ -algebras of affine subschemes of (non-commutative) orbit spaces of the action of a (finite dimensional) reductive Lie group. In fact, if the Lie group  $G$  acts on the affine scheme  $X = \text{Spec}(B)$  such that the (non-commutative) orbit space, see [La ?] is an affine (non-commutative)  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , then, for any local swarm  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r\}$ , of  $B - G$ -modules, corresponding to closed orbits  $\text{Spec}(V_1) \supset \text{Spec}(V_2) \supset \dots \supset \text{Spec}(V_r)$ , then

$$(3) \quad \text{Ext}_{A-G}^1(V_i, V_j) = 0, \text{ for all } j < i.$$

This implies that the corresponding formal moduli of  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r\}$  has the form,

$$H(\mathcal{V}) = \begin{pmatrix} H_{1,1} & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & H_{2,2} & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & H_{r,r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This again will imply that  $A$  will have the form,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}(n) & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \mathcal{S}(n-1) & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \mathcal{S}(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here  $\text{Simp}(\mathcal{S}(p))$  is the (possibly non-commutative) subscheme of  $\text{Simp}(A)$  corresponding to the  $p$ -dimensional orbits. Let us prove (3) above. There are two spectral sequences converging to  $\text{Ext}_{A-G}^*(V_i, V_j)$ , one given by

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G, \text{Ext}_B^q(V_i, V_j)),$$

the other with,

$$E_2^{p,q} = HH^p(B, H^q(G, \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))).$$

If  $p + q = 1$ , then the last one will be reduced to,

$$E_2^{0,1} = HH^0(B, H^1(G, \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))) = 0,$$

since  $G$  is reductive, and

$$E_2^{1,0} = HH^1(B, H^0(G, \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))) = 0,$$

since, obviously,  $H^0(G, \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j)) = \text{Hom}_G(V_i, V_j) = 0$  for  $j < i$ .

**A spectral sequence.** Let the finitely generated  $k$ -algebra  $A$  be such that  $A \simeq A(n)$ . Then  $\text{Simp}_m(A) = \emptyset$ , for  $m \geq n$ . To what extent will the globale scheme structures of the  $\text{Simp}_p(A)$  determine the globale structure of  $A$ , and vice versa? In particular, is the cyclic homology of  $A$  determined by the de Rham cohomology of the different  $\text{Simp}_p(A)$ , and conversely, what can we learn about the de Rham cohomology of  $\text{Simp}_p(A)$  knowing the cyclic cohomology of  $A$ ? The first result in this direction is the following trivial observation,

**Lemma 29.** *Suppose, in the above situation, that the ideals  $J(m-1) := I(m-1)/I(m) \subset A(m)$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , are  $H$ -unital, then there exists a spectral sequence with,*

$$E_{p,m}^1 = HC_p(J(m-1)),$$

converging to (abutting at)  $HC_*(A)$ .

*Proof.* See, e.g. [Loday]

□

**Theorem 30.** *Let  $A$  satisfy the following conditions,*

- (1)  $I(n)=0$
- (2)  $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V') = 0$ , if  $\dim V < \dim V'$  (resp. if  $\dim V > \dim V'$ ).
- (3)  $\text{Simp}_m(A) = \text{Spec}(C(n))$  is affine for  $m \geq 1$ .

Then,

$$A \simeq \mathcal{D}$$

and there is a spectral sequence with,

$$E_{p,m}^1 = HC_p(C(m)),$$

converging to (abutting at)  $HC_*(A)$ . Moreover, if all  $\text{Simp}_m(A)$  are smooth affine schemes, then

$$HC_p(C(m)) = \bigoplus_{l \geq 1} H_{d.R}^{p-2l}(Simp_m(A)) \oplus (\Omega_{Simp_m(A)}^p / d\Omega_{Simp_m(A)}^{p-1})$$

*Proof.* Use the Lemma 23. If  $Simp_m(A)$  is affine for  $m \geq 1$ , it follows that the map  $A(m) \rightarrow C(m) \otimes M_m$  is surjective. The problem is to show that  $I(m-1)$  maps surjectively onto  $C(m) \otimes M_m$ . However, the image of  $I^A(m-1)$  in  $C(m) \otimes M_m$  is  $I^{C(m) \otimes M_m}(m)$  which, obviously, is  $C(m) \otimes M_m$ , since  $C(m) \otimes M_m$  has no modules of dimension strictly less than  $m$ . But then  $A \simeq \mathcal{D}$ . Now,  $A \simeq \mathcal{D}$  is triangular, and the ideals  $J(m-1) := I(m-1)/I(m) \subset A(m)$  are obviously H-unital. Since cyclic homology is Morita invariant, the result follows from, e.g. [Loday], see 2.2.12, and Chapter 3.

□

## REFERENCES

- [Artin] Artin, M.: On Azumaya Algebras and Finite Dimensional Representations of Rings. Journal of Algebra 11, 532-563 (1969).
- [B-K-R], Bridgeland, T.-King, A.-Reid, M.: Mukai implies McKay: the McKay correspondence as an equivalence of derived categories. arXiv:math.AG/9908027 v2 2 May 2000.
- [Formanek] Formanek, E.: The polynomial identities and invariants of  $n \times n$  Matrices. Regional Conferec Series in Mathematics, Number 78. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, (1990)
- [Jø-La-Sl] Jøndrup, S, Laudal, O.A., Sletsjøe, A.B.: Noncommutative Plane Geometry. Forthcoming.
- [Katz] Katz, N.M.: Rigid local systems. Ann.Math.Studies, No. 139, Princeton University Press, (1995).
- [Kostov] Kostov, V.P.: On the existence of monodromy groups of Fuchsian systems on the Riemann's sphere, with unipotent generators. J.Dynam. Control Syst. 2 (1) (1996), pp.125-155.
- [La 0] Laudal, O.A.: Matric Massey products and formal moduli I. in (Roos, J.E.ed.) Algebra, Algebraic Topology and their interactions Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, vol 1183, (1986) pp. 218-240.
- [La 1] Laudal, O.A.: Noncommutative Algebraic Geometry. Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Preprint Series 2000 (115).
- [La 2] Laudal, O.A.: Noncommutative deformations of modules. Special Issue in Honor of Jan-Erik Roos, Homology, Homotopy, and Applications, Ed. Hvedri Inassaridze. International Press, (2002).
- [La 3] Laudal, O.A.: Noncommutative algebraic geometry : Proceedings of the International Conference in honor of Prof. Jos Luis Vicente Crdoba, Sevilla 2001. Revista Matematica Iberoamericana. ( 2002 ?).
- [Loday] Loday, J.-L.: Cyclic Homology. Springer-Verlag, (1991).
- [Procesi 1.] Procesi, C.: Non-commutative affine rings. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8)(1967), 239-255
- [Procesi 2.] Procesi, C.: Rings with polynomial identities. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, (1973).
- [Romstad] Romstad, T.: Non-commutative Algebraic Geometry Applied to Invariant Theory of Finite Group Actions. Cand.Scient.Thesis. Institute of Mathematics, University of Oslo (2000).
- [Simpson] Simpson, C.T.: Products of matrices. Differential Geometry, Global Analysis and Topology, Canadian Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. 12, Amer.Math. Soc. Providence, (1992), pp. 157-185.
- [Siq 2] Siqveland, A.: The moduli of endomorphisms of 3-dimensional vector spaces. Manuscript. Institute of Mathematics, University of Oslo (2001)

Box.1053, BLINDERN 0316 OSLO, NORWAY

E-mail address: arnfinnl@math.uio.no, <http://www.math.uio.no>