

Alterations of the bile microbiome in primary sclerosing cholangitis

Timur Liwinski ^{1,*}, Roman Zenouzi ^{1,*}, Clara John ², Hanno Ehlken ³, Malte C. Rühlemann ⁴, Corinna Bang ⁴, Stefan Groth ³, Guido Schachschal ³, Wolfgang Lieb ⁵ Marcus Kantowski ³, Thomas Rösch ³, Nils Andersen ³, Tom H. Karlsen ⁶, Johannes R. Hov ⁶, Ansgar W. Lohse ¹, Joerg Heeren ², Andre Franke ^{4,#}, Christoph Schramm ^{1,#, \$}

¹ I. Department of Medicine, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

² Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Cell Biology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

³ Department of Interdisciplinary Endoscopy, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

⁴ Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology, Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany

⁵ Institute of Epidemiology and Biobank popgen, Christian Albrechts University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany

⁶ Norwegian PSC Research Center, Section of Gastroenterology and Research Institute of Internal Medicine, Division of Surgery, Inflammatory Medicine and Transplantation, University of Oslo and Oslo University Hospital Rikshospitalet, Oslo, Norway

21

22 Running title: Bile microbiome in PSC

23

24 Word count: 3,936

25

26 Keywords: primary sclerosing cholangitis, enteric bacterial microflora, bile acid metabolism,
27 anti-bacterial mucosal immunity, biliary endoscopy

28

29 Abbreviations: ANOVA: analysis of variance; ALP: alkaline phosphatase; BMI: body mass
30 index; ERC: endoscopic retrograde cholangiography; FDR: false discovery rate; GCA:
31 glycocholic acid; GCDCA: glycochenodeoxycholic acid; GDCA: glycodeoxycholic acid;
32 KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes; NGS: next-generation sequencing;
33 PSC: primary sclerosing cholangitis; TE: transient elastography; TCA: taurocholic acid;
34 TCDCA: taurochenodeoxycholic acid; TDCA: taurodeoxycholic acid; TLCA:
35 tauroolithocholic acid; UDCA: ursodeoxycholic acid; VAP-1: vascular adhesion protein 1.

36

37 * TL and RZ contributed equally to this study

38 # CS and AF jointly supervised this study

39

40 \$ Correspondence to: Professor Dr. Christoph Schramm. I. Department of Medicine and
41 Martin Zeitz Center for Rare Diseases, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf.
42 Martinistrasse 52, 20246 Hamburg, Germany. Telephone: +49 (0) 40 7410 – 52545; telefax:
43 +49 (0) 40 7410 - 40272; email: c.schramm@uke.de

44

45 Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflicts related to the present study.

46

47 Grant support: This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) “
48 Clinical Research Group 306” (KFO306) — Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis. Furthermore, the
49 study was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) Cluster of Excellence
50 “Inflammation at Interfaces” (<http://www.inflammation-at-interfaces.de>, no.: EXC306 and
51 EXC306/2), the Collaborative Research Center 1182 “Origin and Function of Metaorganisms”
52 (www.metaorganism-research.com, no: SFB1182) and the German Ministry of Education and
53 Research (BMBF) program e:Med sysINFLAME ([http://www.gesundheitsforschung-](http://www.gesundheitsforschung-bmbf.de/de/5111.php)
54 [bmbf.de/de/5111.php](http://www.gesundheitsforschung-bmbf.de/de/5111.php), no.: 01ZX1306A). CS receives support from the Helmut and Hannelore
55 Greve-Foundation.

56 **Abstract**

57 **Background:** Patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) display an altered colonic
58 microbiome compared to healthy controls. However, little is known on the bile duct
59 microbiome and its interplay with bile acid metabolism in PSC.

60 **Methods:** PSC patients (n=43) and controls without sclerosing cholangitis (n=22) requiring
61 endoscopic retrograde cholangiography were included prospectively. Leading indications in
62 controls were sporadic choledocholithiasis and papillary adenoma. A total of 260 biospecimens
63 were collected from the oral cavity, duodenal fluid and mucosa and ductal bile. Microbiomes
64 of the upper alimentary tract and ductal bile were profiled by sequencing the 16S-rRNA-
65 encoding gene (V1-V2). Bile fluid bile acid composition was measured by high-performance
66 liquid chromatography mass-spectrometry and validated in an external cohort (n=20).

67 **Results:** The bile fluid harboured a diverse microbiome that was distinct from the oral cavity,
68 the duodenal fluid and duodenal mucosa communities. The upper alimentary tract microbiome
69 differed between PSC patients and controls. However, the strongest differences between PSC
70 patients and controls were observed in the ductal bile fluid, including reduced biodiversity
71 (Shannon entropy, $P=0.0127$) and increase of pathogen *Enterococcus faecalis*
72 ($P_{FDR}=4.18 \times 10^{-5}$) in PSC. *Enterococcus* abundance in ductal bile was strongly correlated with
73 concentration of the noxious secondary bile acid tauroolithocholic acid ($r=0.60$, $P=0.0021$).

74 **Conclusion:** PSC is characterised by an altered microbiome of the upper alimentary tract and
75 bile ducts. Biliary dysbiosis is linked with increased concentrations of the pro-inflammatory
76 and potentially cancerogenic agent tauroolithocholic acid.

77 **Significance of this study**

78 **What is already known on this subject?**

- 79 • Primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) is associated with alterations of the colonic
80 microbiome
- 81 • Opposed to traditional understanding, human bile is a non-sterile environment (even in
82 healthy humans).

83 **What are the new findings?**

- 84 • Composition of bile duct microbiome is different from other upper digestive sites such
85 as the oral cavity and duodenum.
- 86 • PSC patients display ecologic alterations of ductal bile, including reduced biodiversity
87 and expansion of pathogenic bacteria.
- 88 • Microbial dysbiosis in PSC is associated with an increase of the proinflammatory and
89 potentially cancerogenic bile acid tauroolithocholic acid.

90 **How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?**

- 91 • Microbial dysbiosis of the ductal bile fluid highlights the potential pathophysiologic
92 importance of the biliary microbiome in PSC.
- 93 • This finding encourages precise modulation of biliary microbial colonisation to reduce
94 the risk of adverse health outcomes associated with PSC.

95 **Introduction**

96 Primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) is a cholestatic liver disease of unknown origin which is
97 characterised by progressive fibrotic strictures of bile ducts and ulcerative lesions of the bile
98 duct mucosa.¹⁻³ PSC is strongly associated with a unique phenotype of inflammatory bowel
99 disease. Patients with PSC suffer from an increased mortality, mainly due to increased risk of
100 cholangiocarcinoma and cancers of the gallbladder and colon.^{1,2} Liver transplantation is the
101 only curative treatment option available.

102 The pathogenesis of PSC remains obscure. Genetic studies support the hypothesis that PSC is
103 an autoimmune disorder, but male preponderance and poor response to immunosuppression
104 render it different from typical autoimmune diseases.^{1,2} Multiple lines of evidence point at
105 commensal bacterial communities as key players in the pathophysiology of PSC.^{2,3} Recent next-
106 generation sequencing (NGS) studies revealed an altered gut bacterial microbiome in patients
107 with PSC, both at the faecal and mucosal level, which was different from both healthy
108 individuals and patients with ulcerative colitis.⁴⁻¹⁰ Until the advent of NGS, healthy human bile
109 has been widely considered sterile. Nevertheless, earlier culture-based studies implicated
110 bacteria inhabiting the bile fluid in T helper cell type 17 immune response and clinical outcomes
111 in PSC.^{11,12} A recent NGS study showed that both PSC patients and controls without sclerosing
112 cholangitis harbour a diverse bile microbiome.¹³

113 Bile acids are the major organic solutes of human bile.¹⁴ Bile acids are believed to play a crucial
114 role in pathogenesis of PSC, although evidence of a “toxic” bile composition per se in PSC
115 patients is lacking.^{15,16} Since conversion of the primary bile acids cholic acid and
116 chenodeoxycholic acid into the secondary and potentially noxious bile acids deoxycholic acid
117 and lithocholic acid is thought to be primarily driven by the bacterial gut microbiome, microbial
118 dysbiosis is expected to exert a profound influence on the bile acid pool and in turn mucosal
119 homeostasis in PSC.¹⁵ However, to the best of our knowledge, the link between bile acids and
120 microbiome of the bile fluid has not been investigated so far.

121 In the present study, we aimed to investigate the bacterial ecology of the upper alimentary tract
122 as well as ductal bile fluid in selected cohorts of PSC patients and controls undergoing
123 endoscopic retrograde cholangiography (ERC). Furthermore, we assayed the entire bile fluid
124 bile acid profiles of the respective cohorts in order to analyse potential interactions between
125 bile fluid bacteria and bile acids in PSC.

126 **Methods**

127 *Patient recruitment and biospecimen acquisition*

128 All patients with PSC and controls were recruited at the University Medical Center Hamburg-
129 Eppendorf. The diagnosis of PSC was established based on presence of typical biliary lesions
130 on cholangiography, liver biopsy (if available) and exclusion of secondary causes of sclerosing
131 cholangitis, according to most recent guidelines.^{17,18}

132 Exclusion criteria were acute bacterial cholangitis on index ERC, previous ERC within the last
133 12 months, patient age <18 years, severe medical comorbidity, small duct PSC and any
134 evidence of secondary sclerosing cholangitis. Patients were required not having received any
135 antibiotic treatment during 6 months before ERC as this time interval is expected to be sufficient
136 for broad microbiome recovery.^{19,20} In order to reduce the influence of geography and diet all
137 recruited participants were residents of Northern Germany for years and consumed a mixed
138 Western style diet.

139 In total, 65 patients were eligible for the analysis (PSC n=43, controls n=22). A detailed patient
140 description is provided in Table 1. Indications for ERC in PSC patients included cholestasis or
141 suspicion of dominant strictures on magnetic resonance imaging. In controls, PSC was excluded
142 by cholangiography and clinical follow-up. Detailed indications for ERC for both cohorts and
143 diagnoses of the control cohort can be found in **Supplementary Table 1**. Intervention and
144 specimen acquisition are described in the **Supplementary Methods** in detail. During ERC, bile
145 fluid aspiration was performed before application of contrast media or periinterventional
146 antibiotic prophylaxis, which is standard in our unit. There were no patients with biopsy proven
147 bile duct dysplasia or cholangiocarcinoma.

148 All participants provided written informed consent. The protocol was reviewed by the
149 appropriate ethics committee (PV4114). The study was conducted in compliance with the 1975
150 Declaration of Helsinki.

151 *Sequencing, bioinformatics and bile acid assay*

152 A detailed description is provided in the **Supplementary Methods**. In brief, DNA extraction
153 and sequencing of the variable regions V1-V2 of the 16S rRNA gene were performed on
154 Illumina MiSeq (Illumina Inc., San Diego, California, USA), as described previously.²¹ DADA2
155 was used for meta-taxonomic bioinformatics, a method which retrieves unique ribosomal
156 sequence variants. Sequences abundance was normalised according to the GMPR method.²²
157 SILVA was chosen as the taxonomic reference database (v132; <https://www.arb-silva.de>).
158 Tax4Fun was employed for inferred metagenome profiling against canonical pathways of the
159 Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG; <http://tax4fun.gobics.de>).

160 High-performance liquid chromatography mass spectrometry was performed, essentially as
161 described previously (**Supplementary Methods**).²³

162

163 *Data analysis*

164 All analyses were carried out with R (v3.4.3, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna,
165 Austria). A detailed account is given in the **Supplementary Methods** section. To summarise,
166 standard community ecology analyses were carried out mainly using `vegan`. Single bacteria
167 differential abundance testing was conducted with negative-binomial generalised linear models
168 from the `MASS` package, and negative-binomial hurdle models, which account for zero-inflation
169 in count data, implemented in the `pscl` library. To exclude that contamination via the
170 endoscopic route accounted for the abundance patterns observed, normalised distributions of
171 each taxon at the respective proximal sampling sites were included as covariables in the
172 respective models, alongside clinical variables with significant differences between cohorts
173 (Table 1), where possible. An α -level <0.05 was set as the threshold for statistical significance.
174 P-values were adjusted for the false discovery rate (FDR), where necessary.

175 **Results**

176 *Ductal bile fluid harbours a unique and diverse microbiome*

177 Since it is unknown whether microbial ecology of any of the upper alimentary sites investigated
178 resembles the bile fluid microbiome, we first examined differences of microbiome structure
179 between oral cavity, duodenal fluid, duodenal mucosa and bile fluid by constrained analysis of
180 principal coordinates using Bray-Curtis distance (Figure 1A, 1B). Microbial communities
181 obtained from duodenal mucosal biopsies clustered separately from the other sites (ANOVA-
182 like permutation test, $P < 0.01$, respectively). While in both cohorts oral and duodenal fluid
183 communities were similar ($P > 0.1$), there was a clear separation between bile fluid microbiome
184 and all other communities ($P \leq 0.01$, respectively).

185 We next explored the community structures on the phylum level (highest taxonomic hierarchy
186 level). Only five phyla accounted for virtually the entire microbiome in all sites, which are
187 Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Fusobacteria and Proteobacteria. Comparing PSC
188 patients and controls, we observed no significant differences in phyla abundances in the oral
189 cavity and duodenal sites (Welch's t-test, $P > 0.05$). Regarding the ductal bile fluid,
190 Proteobacteria showed a significant increase in PSC patients compared to controls (Mean
191 relative abundance = 25% vs 12%; $P = 0.0384$; Figure 1C). Other biliary phyla in PSC patients
192 showed an abundance similar to controls ($P > 0.1$, respectively).

193 Since the baseline structure of the healthy bile fluid core microbiome is unclear, we investigated
194 the biliary core microbial profiles of the control cohort and PSC patients on the genus
195 taxonomic level.²² Both groups harboured a diverse core microbiome with *Streptococcus* as the
196 predominant genus (Figure 1D). However, patients with PSC displayed decreased richness of
197 the core microbiome evidenced by decreased prevalence of genera with $> 0.1\%$ relative
198 abundance. These results highlight the deviation in PSC from a stable core bile microbial
199 composition in a healthy state (Figure 1D).

200

201 *Altered upper alimentary and bile duct fluid microbiome in PSC*

202 We compared the within-sample diversity (α -diversity) between PSC patients and controls in
203 the different body sites. The bile fluid microbial communities of PSC patients displayed a
204 reduced average α -diversity compared to controls (Shannon entropy, Welch's t-test, $P = 0.0127$;
205 Figure 2A). Differences of α -diversity were not observed in the other body sites, which
206 indicates that the biliary microbiome in PSC is more severely altered than the other upper
207 digestive communities tested. We observed significant differences in the overall community
208 structure (β -diversity) between PSC patients and controls in the oral cavity, duodenal fluid and

209 bile duct fluid (ANOVA-like permutation test, $P \leq 0.01$, respectively; Figure 2B), and a trend in
210 the duodenal mucosal communities ($P=0.064$).

211

212 *Expansion of pathogens in bile fluid of PSC patients*

213 We tried to identify differentially abundant bacteria on the lower taxonomic hierarchy levels
214 (genus and species) between PSC patients and controls.

215 We first investigated differential abundance patterns in the oral cavity, duodenal fluid and
216 duodenal mucosa. (**Supplementary Results, Supplementary Figure 1**). Notably, we found a
217 pronounced overrepresentation of the species *Escherichia coli* ($\log_2FC=4.19$,
218 $P_{FDR}=6.02 \times 10^{-29}$) and *Veillonella dispar* ($\log_2FC=1.7$, $P_{FDR}=0.0034$) in duodenal mucosal
219 biopsies of PSC patients.

220 The biliary communities of PSC patients showed the most extensive differences on the single
221 taxa level compared to controls (Figure 2C). The strongest increase was observed for
222 *Enterococcus* ($\log_2FC=8.13$, $P_{FDR}=4.18 \times 10^{-5}$), followed by *Staphylococcus* ($\log_2FC=6.71$,
223 $P_{FDR}=4.07 \times 10^{-6}$). Amongst other bacteria, *Neisseria* ($\log_2FC=5.97$, $P_{FDR}=7.63 \times 10^{-5}$) was also
224 overabundant in PSC patients.

225 Regarding the differential abundance of bile fluid species (Figure 2C), *Enterococcus faecalis*
226 showed the strongest increase in PSC patients ($\log_2FC=10.01$, $P_{FDR}=0.0054$), followed by
227 *Veillonella dispar* ($\log_2FC=3.03$, $P_{FDR}=0.0256$).

228 Bile samples obtained within our study were sent for standard culture. We compared the results
229 obtained by NGS with bile culture results in PSC patients and controls. There were no
230 differences in the rate of positive bacterial bile cultures (46% vs 47% with positive culture, χ^2
231 test, $P > 0.1$). Patients with PSC showed a trend towards an increased rate of culturally detected
232 known pathogenic bacteria in bile fluid (*Enterococcus spp.*, *Klebsiella spp.*, *Enterobacter*
233 *cloacae*, *Citrobacter freundii* or *Staphylococcus spp.*; 23% versus 5%, Fisher's test, $P=0.082$).

234 *Previous ERC has minor influence on bile duct bacterial composition in PSC*

235 We aimed to identify clinical factors that may influence bile fluid bacterial communities in PSC
236 patients.

237 We first investigated potential association with overall ecologic indices in a multivariate
238 approach, including the variables sex, body mass index (BMI), presence of PSC-associated
239 colitis, bilirubin, transaminase, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and leukocytes levels, liver
240 stiffness measured by transient elastography (TE), previous bacterial cholangitis and previous
241 ERC.

242 These variables were neither significantly associated with α -diversity using best subset
243 selection by leaps algorithm ($P>0.05$, respectively), nor were they significant predictors of β -
244 diversity using stepwise forward selection for constrained ordination ($P>0.05$, respectively;
245 **Supplementary Methods**).

246 Most single bacteria identified overrepresented in PSC patients in the previous section did not
247 show any significant differential abundance between PSC patients with or without previous
248 ERC ($P_{FDR}>0.05$, respectively). However, amongst bacteria overrepresented in PSC
249 *Staphylococcus* ($\log_2FC=7.47$, $P=0.004$) and *Streptococcus sanguinis* ($\log_2FC=9.15$,
250 $P=5.33\times 10^{-4}$) were overrepresented in PSC patients who formerly received ERC.

251

252 *Altered metabolic functional profiles of bile fluid microbiome in PSC*

253 Since metagenomic shotgun sequencing was hindered by the relatively large amount of human
254 sequences in the bile fluid, we inferred the functional profiles from the 16S profiles
255 (**Supplementary Methods**).

256 Of the 321 pathways recovered in the bile duct fluid, 52 showed an altered expression (16.2%,
257 34 under-expressed, 18 over-expressed; Figure 3A). Corresponding the reduced alpha diversity,
258 we observed an extensive loss of basic microbiome functions such as “tryptophan metabolism”
259 ($P_{FDR}=1.72\times 10^{-4}$) or “biosynthesis of amino acids” ($P_{FDR}=2.24\times 10^{-3}$). We observed an increase
260 in several potentially pathogenic bacterial pathways, including “shigellosis” ($P_{FDR}=5.12\times 10^{-5}$),
261 “*Salmonella* infection” ($P_{FDR}=3.01\times 10^{-3}$) and “pathogenic *Escherichia coli* infection”
262 ($P_{FDR}=5.11\times 10^{-3}$).

263 Metabolic pathways were differently distributed in PSC patients and controls also in the other
264 upper digestive tract sites, e.g., an increase of “biofilm formation by *Escherichia coli*” in the
265 oral cavity ($P_{FDR}=0.0190$) and the duodenal fluid ($P_{FDR}=1.17\times 10^{-10}$), as well as an increase of

266 “lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis” ($P_{FDR}=1.89\times 10^{-4}$) and “bacterial invasion of epithelial cells”
267 ($P_{FDR}=0.0087$) in the duodenal fluid.

268 As we were interested in bacterially triggered dysregulated biliary mucosal immunity
269 associated with inflammation-driven carcinogenesis we additionally applied targeted Bayesian
270 generalised linear modelling to inferred bacterial genes involved in nuclear factor (NF-)kappaB
271 signalling (**Supplementary Methods**). “NF-kappa B signalling pathway” was overrepresented
272 in PSC patients (**Supplementary Figure 2**).

273

274 *Altered bile acid concentrations and noxious lithocholic acid levels associated with bile*
275 *dysbiosis in PSC*

276 We aimed to investigate if microbiome composition was associated with bile acid composition
277 in the bile fluid.

278 Relative bile acid concentrations in controls were as expected from the literature with little
279 difference in PSC patients (**Supplementary Figure 3**). As expected from the treatment of PSC
280 patients, the absolute concentrations of ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) conjugates were greatly
281 increased in PSC patients (measured in $\mu\text{g/l}$; Welch’s t-test, $P<0.0001$, respectively). Most
282 other bile acids showed reduced absolute concentrations in PSC samples ($P<0.05$,
283 respectively). However, taurolithocholic acid (TLCA), a potentially noxious agent, was the
284 only bile acid with similar concentrations between PSC patients and controls ($P>0.05$, Figure
285 3B).

286 To exclude that the reduced absolute bile acid concentrations in PSC patients could be
287 explained by UDCA treatment, we analysed the biliary bile acid profiles in an independent
288 cohort of 20 patients with PSC without UDCA treatment from the Norwegian PSC Research
289 Center, Oslo. Here, we observed the same trend towards reduced single bile acid concentrations
290 (**Supplementary Figure 4**), suggesting that this observation relates, at least partly, to PSC
291 pathophysiology itself.

292 We tried to establish relationships between bile fluid microbiome and bile acid concentrations
293 in the PSC cohort. To reduce the burden of multiple testing, we first identified variables which
294 maximised the correlation between bile fluid microbial genera abundances and bile acid
295 concentrations by sparse canonical correlation analysis (PMA library, **Supplementary**
296 **Methods**). Next, we tested partial correlations between genera and bile acids adjusting for sex,
297 BMI, ALP and TE levels (Figure 3C). The strongest correlation was observed between
298 *Enterococcus* and TLCA ($r=0.60$, test for zero partial association, $P=0.0021$).

299 Thus, the biliary genus with the strongest increase in PSC, *Enterococcus*, was associated with
300 an increase in the noxious and potentially carcinogenic bile acid TLCA.

301 Discussion

302 PSC is a disease that mainly affects the bile ducts, which represent a large mucosal barrier
303 within the body.¹ An altered microbiome may significantly contribute to the non-genetic risk
304 associated with PSC.^{2,15} In the present study, we detected differences in the microbial
305 composition between PSC patients and controls without sclerosing cholangitis in the oral
306 cavity, the duodenum, and the ductal bile fluid. Adding to previously reported changes of the
307 faecal and colonic mucosal microbiome in PSC, our study shows that the upper alimentary tract
308 and bile ducts of PSC patients are likewise affected by microbial dysbiosis. The biliary
309 microbiome in PSC patients exhibited the most extensive alterations which were evident on
310 both the taxonomic and inferred functional levels. *Enterococcus*, the genus with the strongest
311 increase in bile ducts of PSC patients, was associated with lithocholic acid, a noxious and
312 potentially carcinogenic bile acid.

313 In the single previous study on the biliary microbiome in PSC, the authors detected only slight
314 microbial alterations in patients with either biliary dysplasia or cholangiocarcinoma, while
315 patients without disease complications showed virtually no microbial differences compared to
316 controls.¹³ In contrast, we observed significant biliary microbial alterations with considerable
317 effect sizes in well-characterized PSC patients without dysplasia or carcinoma. The significant
318 results may have been facilitated by a more refined statistical approach, and a well-controlled
319 design with an extended period after last antibiotic or ERC treatment. Furthermore, additionally
320 sequencing the microbiome from proximal upper digestive sites allowed us to control for the
321 effect of bile fluid contamination via the endoscopic route, which may have been a shortcoming
322 of the previous study.¹³ Clearly, the biliary microbiome was found to be distinct from the
323 duodenal mucosal or luminal microbiome, demonstrating that duodenal fluid cannot be used as
324 a proxy in studies aiming to address the biliary microbiome.

325 We observed a significant increase of the facultative anaerobic phylum Proteobacteria in the
326 bile fluid of patients with PSC. The abnormal bloom of Proteobacteria, which comprises many
327 known human pathogens, such as members of the Enterobacteriaceae family, typically occurs
328 in association with increased epithelial oxygen availability and is therefore believed to be a
329 hallmark of inflammation, epithelial dysfunction and disease.²⁵ Furthermore, we observed a
330 reduced average biodiversity of the bile fluid microbiome in PSC patients. From an ecological
331 standpoint, a decreased biodiversity is a critical event that leads to a loss of ecosystem resilience
332 and a loss of favourable ecosystem functions.²⁶

333 Regarding the results of bile fluid cultures, known pathogens of cholangitis were detected more
334 frequently in samples of patients with PSC. This trend was confirmed on the 16S rRNA gene
335 level, where we observed an overrepresentation of potential pathogens such as *Enterococcus*

336 *spp.*, *Prevotella spp.*, *Staphylococcus spp.*, *Lawsonella spp.*²⁷ and *Cutibacterium*. Here,
337 *Enterococcus faecalis* showed the most marked increase in PSC patients. *Enterococcus* has
338 previously been shown to be more abundant in faeces of patients with PSC.⁶ *Enterococcus*
339 *faecalis* has been associated with epithelial barrier damage and mucosal inflammation due to
340 its production of matrix metalloproteinases.²⁸ In addition, biliary isolates of *Enterococcus*
341 *faecalis* have been shown to induce T helper type 17 immune responses in peripheral blood of
342 patients with PSC.¹² In a recent report, *Enterococcus gallinarum* was among the gut pathobionts
343 translocating into mesenteric lymph nodes and driving T helper 17 cells mediated hepatobiliary
344 injury in a model of PSC.²⁹

345 We detected an increased abundance of *Veillonella dispar* on the duodenal mucosa as well as
346 the bile duct fluid of PSC patients. This pathogen has previously been repeatedly detected as
347 overrepresented in faecal communities of patients with PSC.^{9,10} In previous studies on Crohn's
348 disease, a disease which shares considerable overlap with PSC in clinical phenotype,
349 *Veillonella spp.* alongside *Enterococcus spp.* were associated with an increased risk of recurrent
350 disease after surgical resection and predisposition to penetrating complications in paediatric
351 patients.^{30,31} Thus, we believe that the potential functional and prognostic role of *Veillonella*
352 and *Enterococcus* in PSC should be studied not only in the intestine but also within the bile
353 ducts in future follow-up studies.

354 Interestingly, we found a marked increase of *Escherichia coli* on the duodenal mucosal surfaces
355 of PSC patients. An increased prevalence of mucosa-adherent *Escherichia coli* is well-
356 recognised in inflammatory bowel diseases, where it is believed to instigate mucosal injury.³²
357 Furthermore, *Escherichia* has been shown to produce cysteamine which is the most potent
358 inducer of vascular adhesion protein (VAP)-1. Elevated levels of soluble VAP-1 have been
359 linked to poor prognosis in PSC patients, therefore, providing a link between overgrowth of
360 *Escherichia coli* and clinical outcomes in PSC.³³

361 The expansion of bacterial pathogens was reflected on the level of the upper alimentary tract
362 and biliary microbiome functional profiles, in which an increase of invasive and
363 proinflammatory bacterial metabolic capacity was observed. Amongst increased microbial
364 pathways, we found epithelial cell adhesion and invasion as well as synthesis of
365 lipopolysaccharides, molecules which may drive biliary epithelial inflammation in PSC.³⁴ Since
366 the bile duct mucosa is the primary site of inflammation in PSC, these results point towards a
367 possible contribution of altered biliary microbiome to cholangiocyte and bile duct mucosal
368 damage. Furthermore, the extensive loss of function in the PSC bile fluid communities might
369 reflect a decline of beneficial microbial contribution to bile duct mucosal homeostasis. This
370 result may relate to the recent discovery of an altered bile metabolome in PSC.³⁵ Furthermore,

371 the dysbiotic bile fluid microbiome in PSC was associated with increased NF-kappaB
372 signalling. Bacterial activation of NF-kappaB is an important factor in the immunopathology
373 of the bile ducts.³⁶ Moreover, the NF-kappaB family of transcription factors are linked to
374 inflammation driven carcinogenesis.³⁷ It is therefore tempting to speculate on a link between
375 microbially triggered bile duct inflammation and development of cholangiocarcinoma in PSC.

376 Examining the bile acid profiles, we found a reduced bile acid pool in patients with PSC, except
377 for the secondary bile acid TLCA. Our observation is in accordance with previously reported
378 globally reduced bile acid concentrations in patients with obstructive cholestasis due to PSC.¹⁶
379 The causes of this alteration are unknown, but reabsorption of bile acids, reduced synthesis and
380 dilution of stagnant bile are obvious explanations,¹⁶ and may represent protective mechanisms
381 in cholestatic liver disease. Lithocholic acid is a rare example of a noxious endobiotic, which
382 together with its conjugates is considered the most harmful bile acid.³⁸ Lithocholic acid causes
383 segmental bile duct obstruction, destructive cholangitis and periductal fibrosis³⁹ and exerts
384 cancerogenic effects.⁴⁰ For PSC it was proposed that bile duct injury induced by bile acids is
385 caused by more vulnerable bile ducts rather than by absolute excess of noxious bile
386 components.^{3,16} Therefore, physiologic TLCA concentrations may already cause bile duct
387 injury in PSC patients with cholestasis and a damaged mucosal barrier. Interestingly, TLCA
388 levels were strongly correlated with *Enterococcus* abundance in PSC samples. *Enterococcus*
389 *faecalis* expresses higher bile salt hydrolase activity than other human commensal microbes.⁴¹
390 Bile salt hydrolases catalyse the crucial step of deconjugation in the process of converting
391 primary to secondary bile acids. Hence, the dysbiotic excess of *Enterococcus spp.* may be
392 causally linked to secondary bile acid levels with both proinflammatory and cancerogenic
393 impact on PSC patients. Previously, the increased malignancy rate and disease progression in
394 PSC patients imposed by high-dose UDCA treatment has been linked to increased lithocholic
395 acid levels resulting from conversion of UDCA.⁴² As both the abundance of *Enterococcus* and
396 concentration of TLCA were heterogenous amongst PSC patients, it is an interesting question
397 to be addressed in follow-up studies whether patients with increased TLCA levels represent a
398 subgroup at risk for adverse outcomes such as cholangiocarcinoma.

399 Our study has strengths and limitations. It is difficult to study human ductal bile and almost
400 impossible to obtain samples either in a sterile way or without prior perioperative antibiotic
401 prophylaxis. By controlling for the microbiome in the oral cavity as well as duodenum we tried
402 to circumvent this technical problem. Selecting proper controls is challenging, since ERC is a
403 method associated with health risks for the examined individual and thus cannot be performed
404 on healthy volunteers for ethical reasons. Although we required no antibiotic treatment during
405 6 months before biliary sampling during ERC, an effect of prior antibiotic treatment on the
406 biliary microbiome cannot be excluded entirely. In addition, species level and functional

407 information obtained through 16S rRNA gene sequencing are not as reliable as by employing
408 shotgun metagenomic sequencing of the entire DNA. However, due to the low abundance of
409 bacterial DNA as compared to human DNA in bile fluid, metagenomic shotgun sequencing
410 could not be performed successfully on our samples at reasonable sequencing depths. Our
411 microbiome analysis is confined to an ethnically, geographically and dietary homogenous
412 single centre cohort. Future studies should assess the robustness of the alterations observed by
413 including international multicentric cohorts and by analysing potential impact of differing diets.
414 While a comparison of the biliary microbiome between patients with PSC and other chronic
415 liver diseases may add to the understanding of disease-specific pathophysiological implications
416 of microbial alterations, obtaining bile via ERC from patients with parenchymal liver diseases
417 is not feasible for ethical reasons. Therefore, caution is warranted regarding the specificity of
418 our result for PSC. Many microorganisms cannot be grown using routine cultivation methods.
419 The present results show that an NGS approach surpasses the constraints of standard bile
420 culture, as has been previously demonstrated for numerous human and environmental
421 habitats.⁴³

422 In summary, the present study demonstrates a dysbiosis in the microbial communities of the
423 upper alimentary tract and bile ducts of patients with PSC, with the most significant alterations
424 found in the bile fluid. We hypothesise that changes in the biliary microbiome may contribute
425 to PSC pathogenesis by enhancing the damage of bile duct mucosa and potentially by effects
426 on the concentration of the noxious bile acid lithocholic acid. As our study is cross-sectional
427 and therefore cannot prove causality, this hypothesis should be further investigated in future
428 follow-up and more functional experimental studies. Multiple lines of evidence point towards
429 microbial factors influencing clinical outcomes in PSC.^{11,33,44-46} As advances in microbiome
430 research are spurring the development of precision medicine interventions, such as techniques
431 of finely tuned control of bacterial strain abundance⁴⁷ and selective inhibition of pathogen
432 expansion in inflammation,⁴⁸ our results may provide a starting-point for clinical studies on the
433 bile microbiome in PSC.

434 Acknowledgements: We thank Professor Jun Chen, Ph.D., from the Division of Biomedical
435 Statistics and Informatics and Center for Individualized Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester,
436 MN, USA, for the statistical advice.

437 **References**

- 438 1. Hirschfeld GM, Karlsen TH, Lindor KD, et al. *Lancet* 2013; 382:1587–99. doi:
439 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60096-3
- 440 2. Karlsen TH, Folseraas T, Thorburn D, et al. Primary sclerosing cholangitis - a
441 comprehensive review. *J Hepatol* 2017; 67:1298–323. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.07.022
- 442 3. Dyson JK, Beuers U, Jones DEJ, et al. Primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Lancet* 2018;
443 391:2547–59. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30300-3
- 444 4. Rossen NG, Fuentes S, Boonstra K, et al. The mucosa-associated microbiota of PSC
445 patients is characterized by low diversity and low abundance of uncultured Clostridiales
446 II. *J Crohns Colitis* 2015; 9:342–8. doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jju023
- 447 5. Kevans D, Tyler AD, Holm K, et al. Characterization of Intestinal Microbiota in
448 Ulcerative Colitis Patients with and without Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis. *J Crohns*
449 *Colitis* 2016; 10:330–7. doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjv204
- 450 6. Sabino J, Vieira-Silva S, Machiels K, et al. Primary sclerosing cholangitis is characterised
451 by intestinal dysbiosis independent from IBD. *Gut* 2016; 65:1681–9. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-
452 2015-311004
- 453 7. Torres J, Bao X, Goel A, et al. The features of mucosa-associated microbiota in primary
454 sclerosing cholangitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2016; 43:790–801. doi:
455 10.1111/apt.13552
- 456 8. Quraishi MN, Sergeant M, Kay G, et al. The gut-adherent microbiota of PSC-IBD is
457 distinct to that of IBD. *Gut* 2017; 66:386-388. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2016-311915
- 458 9. Kummen M, Holm K, Anmarkrud J et al. The gut microbial profile in patients with
459 primary sclerosing cholangitis is distinct from patients with ulcerative colitis without
460 biliary disease and healthy controls. *Gut* 2017; 66:611–619. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-
461 310500
- 462 10. Rühlemann MC, Heinsen FA, Zenouzi R, et al. Faecal microbiota profiles as diagnostic
463 biomarkers in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gut* 2017; 66:753–4. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-
464 2016-312180
- 465 11. Pohl J, Ring A, Stremmel W, Stiehl A. The role of dominant stenoses in bacterial
466 infections of bile ducts in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*
467 2006; 18:69-74.
- 468 12. Katt J, Schwinge D, Schoknecht T, et al. Increased T helper type 17 response to pathogen
469 stimulation in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Hepatology* 2013; 58:1084-93.
470 doi: 10.1002/hep.26447

- 471 13. Pereira P, Aho V, Arola J, et al. Bile microbiota in primary sclerosing cholangitis: Impact
472 on disease progression and development of biliary dysplasia. *PLoS One* 2017;
473 12:e0182924. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0182924
- 474 14. Kuipers F, Bloks VW, Groen AK. Beyond intestinal soap--bile acids in metabolic control.
475 *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* 2014;10:488–98. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2014.60
- 476 15. Li Y, Tang R, Leung PSC, et al. Bile acids and intestinal microbiota in autoimmune
477 cholestatic liver diseases. *Autoimmun Rev* 2017; 16:885–96. doi:
478 10.1016/j.autrev.2017.07.002
- 479 16. Fickert P, Wagner M. Biliary bile acids in hepatobiliary injury - What is the link? *J*
480 *Hepatol* 2017; 67:619–31. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.04.026
- 481 17. Beuers U, Boberg KM, Chapman RW, et al. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines:
482 management of cholestatic liver diseases. *J Hepatol* 2009; 51:237–67. doi:
483 10.1016/j.jhep.2009.04.009
- 484 18. Lindor KD, Kowdley KV, Harrison ME, et al. ACG Clinical Guideline: Primary
485 Sclerosing Cholangitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2015; 110:646–59. doi:
486 10.1038/ajg.2015.112
- 487 19. Dethlefsen L, Relman DA. Incomplete recovery and individualized responses of the
488 human distal gut microbiota to repeated antibiotic perturbation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
489 2011; 108 Suppl 1:4554-61. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1000087107
- 490 20. Zaura E, Brandt BW, Teixeira de Mattos MJ, et al. Same Exposure but Two Radically
491 Different Responses to Antibiotics: Resilience of the Salivary Microbiome versus Long-
492 Term Microbial Shifts in Feces. *MBio* 2015; 6:e01693-15. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01693-15
- 493 21. Wang J, Thingholm LB, Skiecevičienė J et al. Genome-wide association analysis
494 identifies variation in vitamin D receptor and other host factors influencing the gut
495 microbiota. *Nat Genet* 2016; 48:1396–1406. doi: 10.1038/ng.3695
- 496 22. Chen L, Reeve J, Zhang L, et al. GMPR: A robust normalization method for zero-inflated
497 count data with application to microbiome sequencing data. *PeerJ* 2018; 6:e4600. doi:
498 10.7717/peerj.4600
- 499 23. Worthmann A, John C, Rühlemann MC, et al. Cold-induced conversion of cholesterol to
500 bile acids in mice shapes the gut microbiome and promotes adaptive thermogenesis. *Nat*
501 *Med* 2017; 23:839–49. doi: 10.1038/nm.4357
- 502 24. Shetty SA, Hugenholtz F, Lahti L, et al. Intestinal microbiome landscaping: insight in
503 community assemblage and implications for microbial modulation strategies. *FEMS*
504 *Microbiol Rev* 2017; 41:182–99. doi: 10.1093/femsre/fuw045
- 505 25. Litvak Y, Byndloss MX, Tsohis RM, et al. Dysbiotic Proteobacteria expansion: a
506 microbial signature of epithelial dysfunction. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2017; 39:1–6. doi:
507 10.1016/j.mib.2017.07.003

- 508 26. Elmqvist T, Folke C, Nyström M, et al. Response diversity, ecosystem change, and
509 resilience. *Front Ecol Environ* 2003; 1: 488–494.
- 510 27. Bell ME, Bernard KA, Harrington SM, et al. *Lawsonella clevelandensis* gen. nov., sp.
511 nov., a new member of the suborder Corynebacterineae isolated from human abscesses.
512 *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2016; 66:2929–35. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.001122
- 513 28. Steck N, Hoffmann M, Sava IG, et al. *Enterococcus faecalis* metalloprotease
514 compromises epithelial barrier and contributes to intestinal inflammation.
515 *Gastroenterology* 2011; 141:959–71. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2011.05.035
- 516 29. Nakamoto N, Sasaki N, Aoki R, et al. Gut pathobionts underlie intestinal barrier
517 dysfunction and liver T helper 17 cell immune response in primary sclerosing cholangitis.
518 *Nat Microbiol* 2019; 4:492-503. doi: 10.1038/s41564-018-0333-1
- 519 30. De Cruz P, Kang S, Wagner J, et al. Association between specific mucosa-associated
520 microbiota in Crohn's disease at the time of resection and subsequent disease recurrence:
521 a pilot study. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015; 30:268–78. doi: 10.1111/jgh.12694
- 522 31. Kugathasan S, Denson LA, Walters TD, et al. Prediction of complicated disease course
523 for children newly diagnosed with Crohn's disease: a multicentre inception cohort study.
524 *Lancet* 2017; 389:1710–18. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30317-3
- 525 32. Palmela C, Chevarin C, Xu Z, et al. Adherent-invasive *Escherichia coli* in inflammatory
526 bowel disease. *Gut* 2018; 67:574–87. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2017-314903
- 527 33. Trivedi PJ, Tickle J, Vesterhus MN, et al. Vascular adhesion protein-1 is elevated in
528 primary sclerosing cholangitis, is predictive of clinical outcome and facilitates
529 recruitment of gut-tropic lymphocytes to liver in a substrate-dependent manner. *Gut* 2018;
530 67:1135-1145. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312354
- 531 34. Karrar A, Broomé U, Södergren T, et al. Biliary epithelial cell antibodies link adaptive
532 and innate immune responses in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gastroenterology* 2007;
533 132:1504–14. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2007.01.039
- 534 35. Tietz-Bogert PS, Kim M, Cheung A, et al. Metabolomic Profiling of Portal Blood and
535 Bile Reveals Metabolic Signatures of Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis. *Int J Mol Sci* 2018;
536 19. pii: E3188. doi: 10.3390/ijms19103188
- 537 36. Harada K, Ohira S, Isse K, et al. Lipopolysaccharide activates nuclear factor-kappaB
538 through toll-like receptors and related molecules in cultured biliary epithelial cells. *Lab*
539 *Invest* 2003; 83:1657-67.
- 540 37. Karin M, Greten FR. NF-kappaB: linking inflammation and immunity to cancer
541 development and progression. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2005; 5:749-59. doi: 10.1038/nri1703
- 542 38. Denk GU, Maitz S, Wimmer R, et al. Conjugation is essential for the anticholestatic
543 effect of NorUrsodeoxycholic acid in tauro lithocholic acid-induced cholestasis in rat
544 liver. *Hepatology* 2010; 52:1758–68. doi: 10.1002/hep.23911

- 545 39. Fickert P, Fuchsbichler A, Marschall HU, et al. Lithocholic acid feeding induces
546 segmental bile duct obstruction and destructive cholangitis in mice. *Am J Pathol* 2006
547 Feb;168(2):410–22. doi: 10.2353/ajpath.2006.050404
- 548 40. Ridlon JM, Wolf PG, Gaskins HR. Taurocholic acid metabolism by gut microbes and
549 colon cancer. *Gut Microbes* 2016; 7:201-15. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2016.1150414
- 550 41. Chand D, Panigrahi P, Varshney N, et al. Structure and function of a highly active Bile
551 Salt Hydrolase (BSH) from *Enterococcus faecalis* and post-translational processing of
552 BSH enzymes. *Biochim Biophys Acta Proteins Proteom* 2018; 1866:507–18. doi:
553 10.1016/j.bbapap.2018.01.003
- 554 42. Eaton JE, Silveira MG, Pardi DS, et al. High-dose ursodeoxycholic acid is associated
555 with the development of colorectal neoplasia in patients with ulcerative colitis and
556 primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2011; 106:1638–45. doi:
557 10.1038/ajg.2011.156
- 558 43. Morgan XC, Huttenhower C. Chapter 12: Human microbiome analysis. *PLoS Comput Biol*
559 2012; 8:e1002808. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002808
- 560 44. Kummén M, Vesterhus M, Trøseid M, et al. Elevated trimethylamine-N-oxide (TMAO) is
561 associated with poor prognosis in primary sclerosing cholangitis patients with normal liver
562 function. *United European Gastroenterol J* 2017; 5:532-541. doi:
563 10.1177/2050640616663453
- 564 45. Jendrek ST, Gotthardt D, Nitzsche T, et al. Anti-GP2 IgA autoantibodies are associated
565 with poor survival and cholangiocarcinoma in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gut* 2017;
566 66:137-144. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2016-311739
- 567 46. Dhillon AK, Kummén M, Trøseid M, et al. Circulating markers of gut barrier function
568 associated with disease severity in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Liver Int* 2019; 39:371-
569 381. doi: 10.1111/liv.13979
- 570 47. Shepherd ES, DeLoache WC, Pruss KM, et al. An exclusive metabolic niche enables
571 strain engraftment in the gut microbiota. *Nature* 2018; 557:434-438. doi: 10.1038/s41586-
572 018-0092-4
- 573 48. Zhu W, Winter MG, Byndloss MX, et al. Precision editing of the gut microbiota ameliorates
574 colitis. *Nature* 2018; 553:208-211. doi: 10.1038/nature25172

575 **Table 1:** Clinical patient characteristics

	PSC	Controls	P-value
Patients, n	43	22	NA
Female, n	16 (37%)	11 (50%)	> 0.1
Age, years	39 (20, 55)	55 (22, 89)	< 0.01
BMI, kg/m ²	23.38 (15.9, 35.4)	25 (18.7, 41.3)	> 0.1
Liver cirrhosis ^a , n	7 (16.3%)	1 (5%)	> 0.1
Previous ERC, n	29 (67.4%)	1 (5%)	< 0.001
Previous bacterial cholangitis, n	2 (5%)	0	> 0.1
Transient elastography, kPa	8.7 (3.5, 66.4)	NA	NA
Disease duration, years	8 (0, 28)	#	NA
IBD, n	29 (67.4%)	0	< 0.001
Bilirubin, mg/dl	1 (0.2, 5.8)	0.8 (0.2, 7.0)	> 0.1
ALT, U/l	76.5 (9, 274)	49.0 (15, 580)	> 0.1
ALP, U/l	244 (49, 961)	129 (53, 539)	< 0.05
CRP, g/dl	< 5 (< 5, 39)	< 5 (< 5, 61)	> 0.1
WBC, 10 ³ /μl	6.1 (3.3, 17.2)	7.9 (3, 16.6)	< 0.01
UDCA ^b , n	40 (93%)	2 (9%)	< 0.001
Azathioprine, n	8 (18.6%)	0	< 0.05
Mesalazine, n	16 (37.2%)	0	< 0.001
Corticosteroids, n	3 (7%)	2 (9%)	> 0.1
Proton pump inhibitors, n	2 (5%)	2 (9%)	> 0.1

576

577 All data are provided for the time of index ERC. Median and range or counts and percentages
578 are reported, respectively. Continuous variables were tested by Wilcoxon rank-sum test.
579 Nominal variables were tested either with χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test. BMI: body mass index;
580 ERC: endoscopic retrograde cholangiography; IBD: inflammatory bowel disease; ALT: alanine
581 aminotransferase; ALP: alkaline phosphatase; CRP: C-reactive protein; WBC: white blood
582 count; UDCA: ursodeoxycholic acid. NA: not available/applicable. #: All control subjects
583 received first diagnosis of biliary obstruction. ^a Liver cirrhosis was diagnosed based on criteria
584 of clinical signs, imaging, transient elastography and biopsy (if available). ^b All PSC patients
585 treated with UDCA received a daily dose of 15-20 mg/kg.